

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct. City: Balto. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-6013
Email: dladylad@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number _____

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Lawrence Last Name: Fletcher-Hill

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto. City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: 24-C-17-004535

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): _____

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

(See "Attachment A" for more details)

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): Since Sept. 2018, I've requested in all of my Motions for a hearing, but has yet to have a hearing, although it was scheduled for hearing on [unclear]

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Presently, my civil case is being appealed in an In Banc Review in the Circuit Court.

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See "Attachment A" for each judge, which is attached to the Complaint with Judge Fletcher-Hill.

To: State of Maryland Commission on Judicial Disabilities

From: Diana R. Williams, Appellant in an In Banc Review in the Circuit Court, Civil Case No. 24-C-17-004535

Re: "Attachment A", which are material facts to substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been repetitiously and/or deliberately violated by the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber, and all of the other presiding Judges who Judge Fletcher-Hill assigned to preside over my Civil litigation, namely, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Michel Pierson in my initial civil litigation, and in my appeal in the In Banc Review, namely, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge J. Nugent, and Judge J. Geller

Date: 12-23-24

Because of my financial hardship, I'm unable to submit copies of all of my lengthy Motions, but thanks to the help of my family members, I'm able to maintain my website. Thus, the Exhibit numbers to my Motions, the Findings and Orders from the Judges, and/or any other material evidence can be read and copied from the Exhibits on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com. Moreover, in this "Attachment A" of the statement of material facts to substantiate these allegations cited above, I give the Exhibit number/s on my website to each of my Motions and the Exhibits to Findings and Orders by the presiding Judges.

As of 2018, Judge Fletcher-Hill became the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to preside over civil cases in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City. Thus far, Judge Fletcher-Hill has presided over assigning 10 Judges to preside over my civil litigation, which includes assigning himself as one of the Judges over 8 of my approximately 28 Motions filed from my initial civil litigation and my Motions filed in my appeal in the In Banc ReReview. The 10 Judges assigned to preside over my civil litigation, thus far, are the two present presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber, II, and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Geller, Judge J. Nugent, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Karen Friedman, and Judge Fletcher-Hill, who assigned himself to preside over 4 of my Motions from my initial civil litigation and to preside over 4 of my Motions from my present appeal in the In Banc Review. Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the presiding Judges were appointed by one of the former Governors of Maryland, Martin O'Malley (hereinafter "Martin O'Malley"), by former Chief Judge Barbera, by another former Governor of Maryland, Larry Hogan "(hereinafter "Larry Hogan") and/or by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore (hereinafter "Wes Moore"), all of whom are being alleged in my initial Civil Complaint filed in 2017, in my 12-23-24 Motions, in other Motions, and/or in my 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official Complaint to our Hon. President to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes acts due to

knowingly and willingly: a.) allowing our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards for almost three decades by the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City (the Mayor and Baltimore City Council) from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young and Brandon Schott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter "City Council") and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993. b.) having ignored for years the alleged heinous crimes against the Mayor of Baltimore City, owners of the public schools, namely, that of repetitiously and/or intentionally exposing our children to lead poisoning for decades and, thereby, breaching Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committing misconduct in office, and/or committing other possible criminal acts. c.) refusing to prosecute for over a quarter of a century the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials, who are being alleged to have repeatedly and/or deliberately infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or other criminal acts and, in some instances, for over 25 years. d.) and/or having accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or other crimes.

Judge Fletcher-Hill was appointed to the elite position as an Administrative Judge in 2009 by Martin O'Malley. Judge J. Geller was, too, appointed by Martin O'Malley to the elite position of an Administrative Judge in May of 2012. Judge Mellissa Phinn was appointed as an Associate Judge on the 8th judicial Court for Baltimore City in Maryland by Martin O'Malley on December 28, 2012. Judge Julie Rubin was, also, appointed as an Associate judge on the 8th judicial Court for Baltimore in Maryland by Martin O'Malley on December 28, 2012. Furthermore, Judge Karen Friedman, the first presiding Judge over my 2017 Civil Complaint, was appointed to her privileged position as an Administrative Judge in 2014 by Martin O'Malley. Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III, was appointed to the superlative Administrative position by Larry Hogan in 2016. Judge M. Schreiber, II was, also appointed to his honored position as an Administrative Judge in 2022 by Larry Hogan. Judge John Nugent was, too, appointed to his distinctive position in the Circuit Court in Baltimore City in 2016 by Larry Hogan. Moreover, although his term expired in 2019, Judge John Nugent was appointed as one of the Judges on the Alternative Dispute Resolution Committee by former Chief Judge Barbera in 2017. Judge Michel Pierson was appointed in 2013, also, by Chief Judge Barbera. Further, Judge Carrion's appointment by former Chief Judge Barbera as an Administrative Judge for the Eighth Circuit for Baltimore City became effective on January 12, 2020. As stated in my Motions, there was public acknowledgement in a local newspaper of the close relationship among the panel of In Banc judges and the former presiding Judges over my civil litigation, namely, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and Judge Karen Friedman. .

All of these presiding Judges, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill himself, were assigned by Judge Fletcher-Hill to preside over my civil litigation, although as the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over

assigning Judges to preside over my civil case and as one whom assigned himself as a presiding Judge over 8 of my Motions, Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other presiding Judges as Officers of the Court, knew and/or should have known upon reading my Motions, that, under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), they were breaching my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C Section 242 and, therefore, should have voluntarily disqualified and recused themselves as presiding Judges because there is an appearance that Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other presiding Judges cited above in which Judge Fletcher-Hill assigned to preside over my civil litigation, which includes assigning himself twice as one of the presiding Judges, would be impartial and/or biased due to their distinguished appointments by Martin O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbera, Larry Hogan and/or by Wes Moore because these present and/or former government officials are being alleged to have invaded Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. On 12-19-24, the Georgia Appellant Court recognized that there was an appearance of an Officer of the Court, the State Prosecutor for Georgia, Fani Willis, being biased and/or impartial and, removed the Fulton County District Attorney, Fani Willis from the Georgia election interference case against Donald Trump and others. The Georgia Appellant Court cited an “appearance of impropriety” and declared that “this is the rare case in which disqualification is mandated and no other remedy will suffice to restore public confidence in the integrity of these proceedings.” Moreover, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the present presiding Judges, and all of the other presiding Judges cited above have repeatedly and/or deliberately impinged upon my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C Section 242 due to impinging upon not only Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11, but other various laws, which include redundantly and/or willingly breaching Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311.

Further, the evidence in the record of the Courts, on my website, and elsewhere substantiate the allegations that, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey and Judge M. Schreiber, and all of the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Geller, Judge John Nugent, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Michel Pierson, and Judge Karen Friedman knew and/or should have known that they were breaching my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, therefore, transgressing the Rule of Law, because: **1.)** Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other Judges he assigned to preside over my initial civil litigation and/or in over my appeal in the In Banc Review knew and/or should have known that he/she should have set aside his/her judgment filed on the Circuit Court’s website and deem his/her Findings and Order void and of no effect as a matter of law under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) because there is an appearance that they would be biased and/or impartial as a result of being appointed to the prestige Administrative positions by Martin O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbera, Larry Hogan, and/or Wes Moore, all of whom are being alleged in my present Motions filed on 12-23-24, in my Motions filed on 12-14-24, in my 3 separate Motions filed on 10-15-24, in my Motions filed on 11-1-23, in other Motions, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. **2.)**

Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the Judges he assigned to preside over my initial civil litigation and/or in over my appeal in the In Banc Review knew and/or should have known that, as asserted in my present Motions dated 12-23-24, in my 3 individual Motions dated 10-15-24, in my Motions filed on 11-1-23 in other Motions, in my Memorandum for Judicial Review, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint, I've alleged that, due to the 2015 intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Judge Barbera, the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals have yet to be disclosed, considered, and resolved, which include the allegations that, in 2014, the In Banc Judges from the Circuit Court intentionally committed perjury, violated my 14th Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that, in 2014, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of assigning judges to preside over my present civil litigation, as the presiding Judge during this time deliberately violated my 14th Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' Motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint. 3.) Judge Fletcher-Hill and each of the presiding Judges, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill, knew and/or should have known that unlawfully denying my Motions, especially my Motion to dismiss the Defendant's Motion to dismiss my Civil Complaint, would prevent me from having the opportunity to move forward to the Discovery section in my civil litigation that would permit me to include the evidence to substantiate the material facts and legal arguments that would be revealed during my requested jury trial. The evidence would substantiate, unequivocally, that Martin O'Malley, Kurk Schmoke, Larry Hogan, former Chief Judge Barbera, Wes Moore, and/or other governmental officials are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 4.) Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other Judges he assigned to preside over my civil litigation, from Judge Michel Pierson to the presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, knew and/or should have known that they were deliberately and/or continuously impinging upon Maryland Rule 2-311 because each of these Judges failed to grant my right to a hearing as permitted under Maryland Rule 2-311, though I've pleaded for hearing on my Motions in all of my Motions filed since 12-17-18. 5.) Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, and all of the former presiding Judges Judge Fletcher-Hill assigned to preside over my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation, knew and/or should have known that they were intentionally and/or repetitiously breaching Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution in failing to grant me the right, as permitted under Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, to: a.) an oral hearing before deciding to deny or grant my Petition. b.) grant my right to have 3 Judges presiding over my In Banc Review as stipulated in Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution. 6.) The written Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution require judges to recuse themselves from cases in two situations, namely, where the judge has a financial interest in the case's outcome and where there is otherwise a strong possibility that the judge's decision will be biased. In the United States Constitutional Law, a Due Process Clause is found in both the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. The Constitution uses the phrase in the 5th and 14th Amendments, declaring that the government shall not deprive anyone of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law. The 5th Amendment protects people from actions of the federal government, and the 14th Amendment protects them from actions by state

and local government. 7.) Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other Judges he assigned to preside over my initial civil litigation and/or in over my appeal in the In Banc Review knew and/or should have known that, germane to Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), the Supreme Court has ruled and reaffirmed the principle that "justice must satisfy the appearance of justice", *Levine v. United States*, 362 U.S. 610, 80 S.Ct. 1038 (1960), citing *Offutt v. United States*, 348 U.S. 11, 14, 75 S.Ct. 11, 13 (1954). In 1994, the U.S. Supreme Court held that disqualification of a judge is mandatory if an objective observer would entertain reasonable questions about the judge's impartiality (*Liteky v. U.S.*, 114 S.Ct. 1147, 1162 (1994). The Court has, too, affirmed that, should a judge not disqualify himself/herself as required by law, then the judge has given another example of his/her "appearance of partiality" which could potentially further disqualify the judge. Further, the Court have determined that, should another judge not accept the disqualification of the judge, then the second judge has evidenced an "appearance of partiality" and has possibly disqualified himself/herself. Moreover, the Courts have affirmed that, if a judge issues any Order after he/she has been disqualified by law, then that judge has acted in the judge's personal capacity and not in the judge's judicial capacity and has, further, violated his/her oath of office. Wherefore, the U.S Supreme Court has already established that **"Fraud upon the Court" makes the Orders and Judgments of the Court void and that "a void Order is void at all times,** does not have to be reversed or vacated by a judge, cannot be made valid by any judge, nor does it gain validity by the passage of time. The Order is void ab initio." Further, the Supreme Court has decided that, should a judge issue any Order after he/she has been disqualified by Section 455(a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U.S., and if the party has been denied of any of his/her property, then the judge could be engaging in the federal crime of "interference with interstate commerce" because the judge is, again, disqualified by law. Still too, the Supreme Court has held that, if a judge wars against the Constitution in breaching the Due Process Clause of the U.S Constitution or if he/she acts without jurisdiction, then that judge has engaged in treason to the Constitution, which suggest that he/she is engaging in criminal acts of treason and may be engaging in extortion and/or in interference with interstate commerce. Since both treason and the interference with interstate commerce are criminal acts, no judge has immunity to engage in such acts. 8.) As the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to my civil case, Judge Fletcher-Hill knew that under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), each time he presided over assigning a Judge to preside over my Motions, he was presiding over my Motions as well , which include approximate 28 Motions from my initial civil litigation and/or from the Motions in my appeal in the In Banc Review. 9.) As the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to my civil case, Judge Fletcher-Hill knew that, under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), each time he presided over assigning a Judge to preside over each of my approximate 28 Motions from my initial civil litigation and/or from the Motions in my appeal in the In Banc Review (8 of these 28 Motions, Judge Fletcher-Hill assigned himself to preside over as a Judge), he was assigning Judges whom he knew and/or should have known were: a.) appointed to their prestige Administrative positions by Martin O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbera, Larry Hogan, by and/or Wes Moore, and that **there is an appearance that each of these presiding Judges would be impartial and/biased** due to their special appoints by Martin O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbera, Larry Hogan, and/or by Wes Moore. b.) breaching Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) and/or Maryland Rule 18.102.11as the Judge-in-Charge of assigning these Judges to preside over my Motions and as one of the other 9 presiding Judges, thus far, and the 9 other presiding Judges, as a result of failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves c.) under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. &

455(a), all ORDERS by Judge Fletcher-Hill and the other 9 presiding Judges must be deemed void and of no effect as a matter of law since due to there being an appearance that Judge Fletcher-Hill, as the Judge-in-Charge of assigning the Judges to preside over my Motions and as 1 of 10 presiding Judges, thus far, would be biased and/or impartial due to his appointment in 2009 to the distinguished position as an Administrative Judge by Martin O'Malley and the other presiding Judges' appointments to their superlative Administrative positions by , all of whom are being alleged in my Motions and/or Civil Complaint to have infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. **10.)** Judge Fletcher-Hill knew and/or should have known that he was infringing upon: a.) Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) each time he assigned himself to preside over each one of my 4 Motions from my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation because Judge Fletcher-Hill was one of the Judges who presiding over at least 4 of my Motions from the initial civil litigation. The material facts that the evidence support the fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division whose responsibilities include assigning judges to civil case, presided over my 3-9-18, 4-6-18, 4-27-18, 8-6-18, and 9-17-18 Motions from my initial civil litigation (Exhibits 38, 36, 42, 41, 58, respectively, on my website) as evidenced by his responses in his 3-30-18, 4-16-18, 7-23-18, 9-4-18 Findings and Orders (Exhibits 50, 46, 52, and 57, respectively, on my website),and the evidence of my 4-7-22, 6-24-22, 8-11-22, and 12-27-22 Motions from my appeal in the In Banc Review,(Exhibits 94, 96, 98, and 100, respectively, on my website) as evidenced by Judge Fletcher-Hill's responses in his 6-21-22, 8-4-12, 12-16-22, and 2-16-23 Findings and Orders (Exhibits 95, 97, 99, and 112 on my website). b.) Maryland Rule 18-102.11 asserts that a judge shall disqualify himself or herself in any proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned. c.) Maryland Rule 2-311 (f) Hearing--Other Motions states each time he denied my Motion for a hearing on the Motion because Maryland Rule 2-311 (f) Hearing states that “A party desiring a hearing on a motion, other than a motion filed pursuant to Rule 2-532, 2-533, or 2-534, shall request the hearing in the motion or response under the heading “**Request for Hearing. The title of the motion or response shall state that a hearing is requested. Except when a rule expressly provides for a hearing, the court shall determine in each case whether a hearing will be held, but the court may not render a decision that is dispositive of a claim or defense without a hearing if one was requested as provided in this section.**”

As evidenced in the record, although my Motions filed on 11-1-23 (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website), which respond to the Findings and Order by the presiding Judge, Judge M. Schreiber II, whose Order was entered on the Court's website 10-20-23 (Exhibit 154 on my website), has yet to be responded to by Judge M. Schreiber nor has Judge Fletcher-Hill assigned, as of the date of the filing of my Official Complaint to the Commission on Judicial Disabilities a Judge to preside over my 11-1-23 Motions, my 3 Motions filed on 10-15-24 (Exhibits 180, 181, 182, and 183 on my website) and my Motions filed on 11-14-24 (Exhibits 187 on my website) were responded to on 12-16-24 by a new presiding Judge whose name of Judge Dorsey, Charles, III. The heading in my 11-1-23 Motions is entitled “**1.) 1ST MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II FOR VIOLATING THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 DUE TO HIS BREACHING FEDERAL STATUE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND,**

ALSO, COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. 2.) 1ST MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE 10-20-23 JUDGMENT OF JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II DUE TO HIS VIOLATIONS OF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C, SECTION 242 AS A RESULT OF HIS: A.) VIOLATING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 IN FAILING TO DISQUALIFY AND RECUSE HIMSELF AS A PRESIDING JUDGE DUE TO THE APPEARANCE OF HIM BEING IMPARTIAL AND/OR BIASED BECAUSE OF HIS APPOINTMENT BY THE FORMER GOVERNOR OF MARYLAND, LARRY HOGAN, WHO IS, ALSO, BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE . B.) VIOLATING ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO HAVE A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS FROM HER APPEAL IN THE BANC REVIEW. C.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES DUE TO THEIR REPEATEDLY AND/OR INTENTIONALLY BREACHING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, ALSO, REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND/OR INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. D.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW DUE TO THEIR REPEATED AND/OR INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO GRANT THE PETITIONER AN ORAL HEARING BEFORE THE PANEL DECIDED TO DENY THE PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR AN IN BANC REVIEW. E.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND INTENTIONALLY INFRINGED UPON BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL DUE TO HIS REPEATED AND DELIBERATE VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C). 3.) 1ST MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, ASSIGN A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY WES MOORE, LARRY HOGAN, MARTIN O'MALLEY, CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, OR BY ANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO ARE BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE IN THE PETITIONER'S 2-27-23 MOTIONS. 4.) 9TH MOTION FOR ALL JUDGMENTS BY JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II, JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES, JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, AND/OR BY JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN BE SET ASIDE AND DEEM ALL OF THEIR ORDERS AS VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A). 5.) 11TH MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS MANDATED UNDER MARYLAND RULE

2-311. 6.) 7TH MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 7.) 3RD MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT FILED ON 3-23-23 SINCE THERE IS NO WRITTEN OR STAMPED SIGNATURE OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT ON THE 3-21-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER. 8.) 4TH MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, ORDER THE CLERK TO FILE ON THE CIRCUIT COURT'S WEBSITE THE HEADING OF THE PETITIONER'S MOTIONS VERBATIM".

Included in my 11-1-23 Motions as Exhibit 1 is my 6TH URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION to Gov. Moore (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website), which was sent by certified mail on this same day.

Prior to filing my 10-15-24 Motions, namely, when I checked the Circuit Court's website on and/or about 9-28-24 to see if my 11-1-23 Motions had been responded to by Judge M. Schreiber, II, I discovered that an Order had been written declaring a "Notice of Contemplated Dismissal (Lack of Prosecution)" was issued on 9-25-24. When I received my copy of this Order (Exhibit 184 on my website) and read it, I noticed that the Order was signed by the Clerk of the Court, Mr. Xavier Conaway.

After researching online the term, "Notice of Contemplated Dismissal (Lack of Prosecution)", getting an understanding of what this Order meant, and discovering that I had 30 days to respond, on 10-15-24, I filed 3 separate Motions, namely, my: 1.) 1ST Motions for Deferral of Contemplation of Dismissal, vacate, and Motion for Hearing (Exhibit 180 on my website. 2.) 1ST Motions for Default judgment and Motion for A Hearing (Exhibit 181 on my website). 3.) 1ST Motion for Disqualification of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to preside over my civil litigations (Exhibits 182 and 183, respectively, on my website).

When I checked the Circuit Court's website on and/or about 11-8-24, I found out that the Court granted my Motion for a deferral without prejudice on 11-5-24 and that this deferral would be effective until 2-5-25. Moreover, when I checked my mailbox the same day, I received a copy of the Order from Mr. Xavier Conaway (Exhibit 185 on my website) and a copy of an Order which scheduled a hearing on my Motions on 12-6-24 (Exhibit 186 on my website). The Order which assigned a date for a hearing on my Motions did not give the name of the presiding Judge. A few days later, I checked the Circuit Court's website and discovered that the presiding Judge over the hearing on my Motions would be Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III. After researching his background, it was revealed to me that Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III was appointed to the superlative Administrative position by Larry Hogan in 2016, who, along with Martin O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbra, and Wes Moore, is being alleged in my Motions and/or in this 2nd Addendum to our Hon. President to have transgressed Federal U.S Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to violate Federal U.S Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide. Therefore, on 11-14-24, I filed my 1ST Motions for Disqualification against Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III and a Motion for a hearing on the Motions (Exhibit 187 on my website). The Heading in my 12-14-24 is entitled "**1ST MOTIONS FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE DORSEY, CHARLES HENRY, III AS THE PRESIDING JUDGE OVER THE PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR A HEARING SCHEDULED FOR 12-06-24 AND TO ASSIGN A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY, FORMER JUDGE BARBERA, LARRY HOGAN, AND/OR WES MOORE,**

TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S MOTIONS, WHICH ARE MOTIONS FROM THE PETITIONER'S APPEAL IN THE IN BANC REVIEW. 2.) MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS"

In my 11-14-24 Motions, I proclaim that, if Judge Dorsey, Charles III presides over my Motions for a Hearing on the Motions scheduled for 12-06-24, my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, thus, the Rule of Law, would be violated because: 1.) Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III would be breaching Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) and Maryland Rule 18,102.11, committing "Fraud upon the Court, Treason to the Constitution, and Interference with Interstate Commerce, and according to the U.S. Supreme Court, his ORDER would be deemed void as a matter of law because of Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III's refusal to voluntarily disqualify and recuse himself as the presiding Judge as decreed under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) due to there being an appearance that Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III would be impartial and/or biased since he was appointed in 2016 as a Judge in the Baltimore City Circuit Court by Larry Hogan, who is being alleged in my Motions to have impinged upon Federal U.S Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide. 2.) Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III would be intruding on Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution in failing to have a panel of 3 In Banc Judges to preside over my Motions for a Hearing on my Motions filed on 10-15-24, which are Motions from my appeal of my initial civil litigation in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City. Moreover, in these Motions, I proclaim that I look forward to having the hearing on my Motions scheduled for 12-6-24 and with a panel of 3 In Banc Judges as mandated under Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution.

Since learning of my right to file a Motion for a hearing on my Motions under Maryland Rule 2-311, my Motions dated 12-17-18 and all of my other Motions thereafter, which include my Motions filed on 11-14-24 (Exhibit 187 on my website), I've pleaded for a Motion for a hearing on my Motions. The hearing on my Motions scheduled for 12-6-24 was my first hearing on any of my Motions that was granted since I filed my first Motion for a hearing on my Motions dated 12-17-18. And, although the Order doesn't state which of my 5 Motions for a hearing on my Motions will be considered, disclosed, and resolve during the hearing, I believe that the SPIRIT OF TRUTH has led me to understand that the material facts and legal arguments in my Motions filed on 12-14-24 must be disclosed, considered, and resolved before considering disclosing and resolving the other material facts and legal arguments in my 11-1-23 Motions and in 3 separate Motions filed on 10-15-24.

Relevant to the hearing on my Motions scheduled for 12-06-24 at 11:00 a.m., at approximately 10:43 a.m., I called the telephone number given on the Order of the hearing and imputed the information needed to get connected to the hearing that was scheduled for a remote hearing over the computer and/or by using the telephone. It is no doubt in my mind that the SPIRIT OF GOD gave me the strength, discipline, and determination to surrender to HIS leading and guiding me to wait almost a total of 3 hours on the telephone for the hearing that never occurred. Moreover, I was never informed by anyone after waiting on a hearing scheduled for 11:00 a.m. why the AI cited on two separate occasions "good bye" and the telephone was disconnected, and, therefore, I never had the hearing scheduled on 12-6-24.

I only deviated for about a minute and a half from having to wait on the telephone for about 3 hours for the hearing because, after about two hours of waiting on the telephone, the AI said "good bye" and the telephone were disconnected at about 12:43 p.m. Before the first disconnection, I was informed by the AI that I had 7 other participants in front of me. After taking about a minute and a half to call back and go through the protocol for connecting to the hearing again, I was reconnected to the hearing for the second time. The AI told me that I had 2 participants in front of me. After waiting on the telephone for about 40 minutes, the AI told me to touch the star button on my telephone to disconnect my mute. I heard a good portion of a case where the sister ultimately won her desire to care for her brother, and heard the Judge, whom I presume was Judge Dorsey, Charles, III gave the reasons and laws for substantiating his Orders. After the hearing the Judge's Order, the AI came on and stated "good bye" and the telephone was disconnected again. It was around 1:43 p.m. when I checked the time after the telephone was disconnected. I was so righteously indignant and immediately called the Circuit Court with the intention of letting Clerk of the Court, Mr. Xavier Conaway of the facts proclaimed above, including the fact that no one called me to explain why I was not given a hearing on the my Motions and/or to issue an apology for having me to wait on the telephone nearly 3 hours only to have the AI declare "good bye" and the telephone to be disconnected several times. Although I never had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Conway, I did inform 2 two clerks and one individual who informed me that he did not work for Clerk of the Court but the Circuit Court. Further, after putting me on hold for a few minutes and after returning to the telephone, the clerk informed me that she had been told by the clerk who works in Judge Dorsey, Charles, II's chamber that he was informed by the Judge-in-Charge of the Circuit Court, whom the clerk named as Judge John Nugent instead of Judge Fletcher-Hill, to rule on my case. Judge John Nugent is one of the former presiding Judges over my present civil litigations, but who, also, discontinued to preside over my Motions once I filed a Motion for his Disqualification in my Motions dated 6-20-23 (Exhibits 142 and 143, respectively, on my website).

Initially, I was so annoyed that Judge, Dorsey, Charles, III was ruling on my Motion for hearing on my Motions scheduled for 12-6-24 before giving myself and the Defendant an opportunity to have our oral arguments presented during the hearing on my Motions, of which I had 5 separate Motions which had yet to be presided over by a Judge. However, on the evening of 12-6-24 as I continue to ponder in my heart why was I not given my right to have an oral hearing on my Motions for a hearing before Judge Dorsey, Charles, III rendered his Order, I believe that the SPIRIT OF TRUTH led me to go on line and research when a Judge can rule on a case without having a hearing as Ordered by the Judge. In my research, it was revealed to me that a Judge can rule without a hearing when one or more of the parties does not appear and/or if there is a reason for a postponement. Moreover, I believe that the HOLY GHOST has led me to, also, comprehend during this time I must still file Motions, which must include my 2nd Motion for Disqualification of Judge Dorsey, Charles II because whether Judge Dorsey, Charles, III grants or denies her Motions or Order a postponement of the hearing on her Motions, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III I would be violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, thus, the Rule of Law by: a.) transgressing Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) and Maryland Rule 18,102.11, committing "Fraud upon the Court, Treason to the Constitution, and Interference with Interstate Commerce if he refuses to voluntarily disqualify and recuse himself as the presiding Judge over my hearing on my Motions' hearing because, as ordered under Federal Statute 28

U.S.C & 455(a) due to there being an appearance that Judge Dorsey, Charles Henry, III would be impartial and/or biased because of his privileged appointment in 2016 by the former Governor of Maryland, Larry Hogan (hereinafter "Larry Hogan"), who, along with another former Governor of Maryland, Martin O'Malley (hereinafter "Martin O'Malley), former Chief Judge Barbera, and the present Governor Maryland, Wes Moore (hereinafter "Wes Moore), is being alleged to have violated Federal U.S Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to usurp Federal U.S. Code 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide. b.) encroaching upon Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution in failing to have a panel of 3 In Banc Judges to preside over my Motions for a Hearing since the my Motions for a Hearing are Motions from my appeal of her initial civil litigation in an In Banc Review in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

When I checked the Circuit Court's website on 12-17-24, I noticed that was stated "**Order denying Plaintiff's Request for a Hearing on a Motion for Default Judgment, Plaintiff's Motion for Disqualification of Judge Fletcher-Hill, Plaintiff's Motion for Disqualification of Judge Dorsey and the Motions Hearing scheduled for December 6th 2024 be CANCELLED.**"

Along with this "Attachment A", I've included my 3 separate Motions that were stamped by clerk as "filed" on 12-23-24, which respond to the 4 Orders issued by Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, which further substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally infringed upon by the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division, Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the Judges he presided over in assigning to preside over my Civil litigation, namely, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Michel Pierson in my initial civil litigation, and in my appeal in the In Banc Review, namely, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge J. Nugent, Judge Geller, and the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge M. Schreiber, and Judge Dorsey, Charles, III.

Moreover, as my civil litigation continues and my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 continue to be impinged upon, I will providing Addendums to my 12-23-24 Official Complaint filed with the Commission on Judicial Disabilities.

Sincerely,

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

View my Motions, the Findings & Orders, and other supporting material facts & evidence on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature: 

Date: 11-23-24

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 130 Calvin Hill Ct. City: Balto. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-6013
Email: dlady_dad@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number _____

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Charles Last Name: Dorsey

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto. City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

(See Attachment A "for more details")
Since Sept. 2018 I've requested in all of my motions for a hearing on my motions.

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): _____

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Presently, my civil case is on appeal in an In Banc Review.

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct City: Balto State: Md Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-4013
Email: dladg_d@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number _____

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Martin Last Name: Schreiber

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

(See "Attachment A" for more details)
since Sept. 2018, I've requested in all
of my motions for a hearing on my Motion)

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): _____

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Presently, my civil case is in an In Banc Review.

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See "Attachment A" for each judge, which is attached to the Complaint with Judge Fletcher-Hill.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS


Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

View my Motions, the Findings & Orders, and other supporting material facts & evidence, on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature:  Date: 12-23-24

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct City: Balto. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-6013
Email: d.lady.d@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number _____

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Jeffrey Last Name: Geller

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto. City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): I See "Attachment A" for more details, since 2018 of Sept., I've requested in all of my Motions for a hearing on my Motions.

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Presently, my civil case is on appeal in an In Banc Review

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See Attachment A " for each judge, which is attached to the Complaint with Judge Fletcher-Hill,

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

View my Motion's Findings & Orders, and other supporting material facts & evidence on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

12-23-21

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.