

IN THE MATTER OF

IN THE

STATE OF MARYLAND

CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

FOR

DIANA R. WILLIAMS

BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

1.) FOR THE 3RD TIME, A MOTION TO HAVE A JUDGE WHO WAS NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN

O'MALLEY, LARRY HOGAN, WES MOORE, AND/OR BY FORMER CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA TO PRESIDE OVER THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS, WHICH INCLUDE HER MOTION FOR A HEARING ON HER MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR.'S FINDINGS AND ORDER DOCKETED ON 2-27-26, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 1ST MOTION FOR A HEARING AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311 IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF THE EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIATE THAT THE DEFENDANT'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2ND AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 HAVE BEEN VIOLATED BECAUSE: A.) THE PRESIDING JUDGE, JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. WHO HAS, ALSO, PRESIDED OVER 17 OTHER MOTIONS OF THE

DEFENDANT AND HAS, FOR OVER 18 TIMES, FAILED TO CONSIDER, DISCLOSE, AND RESOLVE IN HIS ORDER DOCKETED ON 2-27-26 AND/OR IN ANY OF HIS OTHER FINDINGS AND ORDERS, WHICH RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANT'S 2-23-26 MOTIONS AND/OR HER 17 OTHER MOTIONS, ANY OF THE MATERIAL FACTS OR LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN THE DEFENDANT'S 10-PAGE MOTIONS MAILED ON 2-23-26 OR IN HER OTHER 17 MOTIONS, ALTHOUGH THE MATERIAL FACTS AND LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN THE DEFENDANT'S 2-23-26 MOTIONS AND/OR IN HER OTHER 17 MOTIONS CHANGE THE WHOLE OUTCOME OF HER CRIMINAL CASE AND, UNDENIABLY, SUBSTANTIATE THAT JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR., THE PREVIOUS PRESIDING JUDGE, NAMELY, JUDGE FINIFTER, AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES, WHICH INCLUDE JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR., HAVE, COLLECTIVELY AND FOR OVER 41 TIMES, BREACHED FEDERAL STATUTE 18 U.S.C. & 1621 AND/OR FEDERAL STATUTE 18 U.S.C. & 1623 BY COMMITTING THE PREJUDICIAL ERROR OF PERJURY, A FEDERAL OFFENSE AND CRIME. B.) JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES HAVE, COLLECTIVELY AND FOR OVER 41 TIMES, INVADDED UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – GENOCIDE, AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO VIOLATE FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY”) AND HAVE, THEREBY, COMMITTED ANOTHER FEDERAL OFFENSE AND CRIME. C.) JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES, WITH THE ONLY EXCEPTION BEING JUDGE CAHILL, HAVE, COLLECTIVELY AND FOR OVER 41 TIMES, IMPEDED UPON FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C. & 455(a)

AND COMMITTED FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND, THUS, DEEMING JUDGE D. ROBINSON'S ORDER AND ALL OF THE ORDERS OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES AS VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW AND OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT BECAUSE ALL OF THESE OFFICERS OF THE COURT HAVE FAILED TO VOLUNTARILY DISQUALIFY AND RECUSE THEMSELVES AS PRESIDING JUDGES SINCE THERE IS AN APPEARANCE THAT JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES, WHICH INCLUDE JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR., WOULD BE IMPARTIAL AND/OR BIASED DUE TO JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES BEING APPOINTED TO THEIR PRIVILEGED POSITIONS BY MARTIN O'MALLEY, LARRY HOGAN, WES MOORE, AND/OR BY FORMER CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, ALL OF WHOM ARE BEING ALLEGED IN THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS MAILED ON 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-9-26, 1-23-26, AND ON 12-27-25, IN OTHER MOTIONS, AND/OR IN HER COMPLAINTS AND/OR ADDENDUMS TO OUR HON. 45TH-47TH PRESIDENT TRUMP TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-GENOCIDE, AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO VIOLATE FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY"). D.) JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES HAVE, COLLECTIVELY AND FOR OVER 41 TIMES, VIOLATED MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND/OR MARYLAND 2-311. 2.) FOR THE 10TH TIME, MOTION TO ALLOW THE STATE OF MARYLAND THEIR ALLOTTED 15 DAYS TO RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS BEFORE THE PRESIDING JUDGE ISSUES HIS/HER FINDINGS AND ORDER AND FOR THE 41ST TIME MOTION FOR A HEARING ON

MOTIONS AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311

I, Diana R. Williams, the Defendant who is being represented Pro Se, hereby, requests that the Defendant's: 1.) For The 3rd Time, A Motion To Have A Judge Who Was Not Appointed By Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, And/Or By Former Chief Judge Barbera To Preside Over The Defendant's Motions, Which Include Her Motion For A Hearing On Her Motion For Reconsideration Of Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order Docketed On 2-27-26, Which Is The Defendant's 1st Motion For A Hearing As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 In Order To Determine If The Evidence Substantiate That The Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, Her 2nd Amendment Right, And Her Civil Right Under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 Have Been Violated Because: A.) The Presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson Jr. Who Has, Also, Presided Over 17 Other Motions Of The Defendant And Has, For Over 18 Times, Failed To Consider, Disclose, And Resolve In His Order Docketed On 2-27-26 And/Or In Any Of His Other Findings And Orders, Which Respond To The Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions And/Or Her 17 Other Motions, Any Of The Material Facts Or Legal Arguments In The Defendant's 10-page Motions Mailed On 2-23-26 Or In Her Other 17 Motions, Although The Material Facts And Legal Arguments In The Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions And/Or In Her Other 17 Motions Change The Whole Outcome Of Her Criminal Case And, Undeniably, Substantiate That Judge D. Robinson Jr., The Previous Presiding Judge, Namely, Judge Finitter, And All Of The Other Former Presiding Judges, Which Include Judge D. Robinson Jr. Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Breached Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1621 And/Or Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1623 By Committing The Prejudicial Error Of Perjury, A Federal Offense And Crime. B.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Invaded Upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, And/Or Have Attempted To And/Or Have

Conspired To Violate Federal U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity") And Have, Thereby, Committed Another Federal Offense And Crime. C.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges, With The Only Exception Being Judge Cahill, Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Impeded Upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) And Committed Fraud Upon The Court And, Thus, Deeming Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order And All Of The Orders Of The Former Presiding Judges As Void As A Matter Of Law And Of No Legal Force Or Effect Because All Of These Officers Of The Court Have Failed To Voluntarily Disqualify And Recuse Themselves As Presiding Judges Since There Is An Appearance That Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of the former Presiding Judges, Which Include Judge D. Robinson Jr., Would Be Impartial And/Or Biased Due To Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges Being Appointed To Their Privileged Positions By Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, And/Or By Former Chief Judge Barbera, All Of Whom Are Being Alleged In The Defendant's 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, 12-27-25 Motions, In Other Motions, And/Or In Her Complaints And/Or Addendums To Our Hon. 45th-47th President Trump To Have Breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091-Genocide, And/Or Have Attempted To And/Or Have Conspired To Violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity"). D.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Violated Maryland Rule 18.101.11 And/Or Maryland Rule 2-311. 2.) For The 10th Time, Motion To Allow The State Of Maryland Their Allotted 15 Days To Respond To The Defendant's Motions Before The Presiding Judge Issues His/Her Findings And Order And For The 41st Time, A Motion For A Hearing On Motions As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 based on the grounds and authorities cited below.

CR59 (a)(4) cites that newly discovered evidence, material for the party making the application that could not have been reasonably discovered and produced earlier, are grounds for granting the Petitioner's Motions. The newly discovered evidence, material for the Defendant, who is being represented Pro Se and making the application, which could not have reasonably been discovered and produced earlier by the Defendant, is that: 1.) For the 3rd time, the Defendant is motioning to have a Judge who was not appointed by Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera to preside over the Defendant's Motion for a hearing on her Motion for Reconsideration of Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order docketed on 2-27-26, which is the Defendant's 1st Motion for a hearing as permitted under Maryland Rule 2-311 in order to determine if the evidence substantiate that the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated because: A.) The presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson Jr. who has, also, presided over 17 other Motions of the Defendant and has, for over 18 times, failed to consider, disclose, and resolve in his Order docketed on 2-27-26 and/or in any of his other Findings and Orders, which respond to the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions and her 17 other Motions, any of the material facts or legal arguments in the Defendant's 10-page Motions mailed on 2-23-26 or in her other 17 Motions, although the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions and/or in her other 17 Motions change the whole outcome of her criminal case and, undeniably, substantiate that Judge D. Robinson Jr., the previous presiding Judge, namely, Judge Finitter, and all of the other former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr., have, collectively and for over 41 times, breached Federal

As declared in the Defendant's Motions, her family was paying the bill to maintain the Defendant's website, www.donald-williams.com, so that she can continue to post Motions, Findings and Orders from the presiding Judges, and other relevant Exhibits relating to Defendant's civil and/or criminal investigations. Although she is on a fixed income, the Defendant is now able to make her monthly

STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

Moses informed the Judges in Israel of GOD'S law and employed the judges over the various tribes in Israel of the importance of judging righteously because their office of ordained of the TRINE, and in Deuteronomy 1:16-17, Moses declares "And, I charged your judges at that time, saying. Hear the causes between your brethren, and judge righteously between every man and his brother, and the stranger that is with him. Ye shall not respect persons in judgment; but ye shall hear the small as well as the great: ye shall not be afraid of the face of man: for the judgement is GOD'S: and, the cause that is too hard for you, bring it unto me, and I will hear it."

INTRODUCTION

Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1621 and/or Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1623 by committing the prejudicial error of perjury, a Federal offense and crime. B.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges have, collectively and for over 41 times, invaded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity") and have, thereby, committed another Federal offense and crime. C.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges, with the only exception being Judge Cahill, have, collectively and for over 41 times, impeded upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455 (a) and committed Fraud upon the Court and, thus, deeming Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order and all of the Orders of the former presiding Judges as void as a matter of law and of no legal force or effect because all of these Officers of the Court have failed to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges since there is an appearance that Judge Finner and all of the former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr., would be impartial and/or biased due to Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges being appointed to their privileged positions by Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, all of whom are being alleged in the Defendant's 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 12-23-26, 1-9-26, 12-27-25 Motions, in other Motions, and/or in her Complaints and/or Addendums to our Hon. 45th-47th President Trump to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), have committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. Judge D. Robinson Jr. and All Of The Former Presiding Judges Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, invaded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, And/Or Have Attempted To And/Or Have Conspired To Violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity") and have, thereby, committed another Federal offense and crime. D.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges have, collectively and for over 41 times, violated Maryland Rule 18.101.11 and/or Maryland Rule 2-311. 2.) For the 10th time, Motion to allow the State of Maryland and their allotted 15 days to respond to the Defendant's Motions before the presiding Judge issues his/her Findings and Order and for the 41st time, a Motion for a hearing on Motions as permitted under Maryland Rule 2-311.

payments, but can't afford to, at this time, make copies of all of the pages of all of the Exhibits that need to be included with these Motions and, thus, copies can be made from the Exhibit numbers assigned to the evidence in the Defendant's Motions.

As declared by our 45th-47th Hon. President Trump on TRUTH SOCIAL on 1-5-26, "NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW!" As evidenced by the repetitious and unsubstantiated phrase, "Denied – not a sufficient legal or factual basis for the relief requested" stated in the Findings and Order by Judge D. Robinson Jr. (Exhibit 297 on the Defendant's website), as evidenced by the facts declared in and/or the lack thereof of facts cited in the Findings and Orders of the former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s [*], (Exhibits 187, 219, 192*, 220, 223*, 231*, 235, 237, 240*, 244*, 252*, 254*, 257*, 259, 261*, 267*, 269*, 271*, 273*, 275*, 279*, 280, 282, 284, 287*, 293*, 297*, and counting on the Defendant's website), which respond to the lengthy material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions (Exhibit 294 on the Defendant's website), from which Judge D. Robinson respond to, and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's numerous other Motions (Exhibits 187*, 188, 189, 190, 190*, 191, 192*, 193, 193*, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223*, 228, 229, 230, 231*, 232, 235, 236, 238, 239, 241, 245, 246, 253, 255, 256, 258, 260, 262, 268, 270, 272, 274, 276, 278, 281, 283, 285, 290, and counting on the Defendant's website), the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated because Judge D. Robinson Jr., the presiding Judge and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finifter, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge Truffer, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Cahill, Judge Glass, Judge Alexander, and Judge S. Bailey have breached Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1623, and/or Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to violated Federal U.S. Code & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity") and, thereby, have committed Federal crimes and offenses, and have breached Maryland Rule 18.102.11 and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, collectively and for over 41 times.

As evidenced by the fact declared in the Findings and Order by Judge D. Robinson Jr. docketed on 2-27-26, as evidenced by the facts stated in the Findings and Orders of the former presiding Judges, which include the Findings and Orders of Judge D. Robinson Jr., and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, from which Judge D. Robinson Jr. responds to in his Order docketed on 2-27-26, and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in her other colossal Motions, the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated because Judge D. Robinson Jr., for the 18th time, and the former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr., for, collectively and for over 24 times, have failed to consider, disclose, and resolve in their Findings and Orders the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's Motions, which would, unmistakably, change the whole outcome of her appeal, that would substantiate the allegations that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges repetitiously and/or deliberately the committed the prejudicial error of perjury, a Federal offense and crime and, thereby, have violated Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621 and/or Federal Statute 18 U.S.C 18 & 1623 for over 41 times, collectively.

Also, as evidenced by the fact declared in the Finding and Order docketed on 2-27-26 by Judge D. Robinson Jr., as evidenced by the facts stated in the Findings and Order of all of the former presiding Judges, which include the Findings and Orders of Judge D. Robinson Jr., and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions, from which Judge D. Robinson Jr. responds to, and from the material facts and legal arguments in her other voluminous Motions, the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated because Judge D. Robinson Jr., for the 18th time and all of the former presiding Judges, collectively and for over 24 times, have not only violated Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or have invaded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to violated Federal U.S. Code & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity") and, thereby, have committed another Federal offense and crime, collectively and for over 41 times and have, also, denied the Defendant her right under Maryland Rule 2-311, for collectively and for over 41 times. The Defendant asserts in her Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, 12-27-25, in other Motions and/or in her Addendums to our Hon. 45th-47 President Trump that because Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges have an interest in the outcome of the Defendant's criminal proceeding, especially in light of the fact that evidence which substantiate the allegations and will be revealed during the hearing on the Defendant's Motions, which will be opened to the public, it appears that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges would attempt to cover-up and/or prevent the Defendant from exercising her 14th Amendment Right and her Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 to have a hearing on her Motions, which would, too, expose the material fact that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges(except for Judge Cahill), along with Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and/or on 12-27-25 to have not only breached Maryland Rule 2-311, Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1623, and/or Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455 (a), but, also, Judge D. Robinson Jr., the other former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson, along with Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and former Chief Judge Barbera are being alleged to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), have committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts.

Further, the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions and in her other voluminous Motions and the evidence of the facts stated in and and/or the lack thereof of facts declared in the Findings and Order of Judge D. Robinson Jr. docketed on 2-27-26, which respond to the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motion, and the evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts asserted in the Findings and Orders of all the former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr., substantiate that the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated by Judge D. Robinson Jr. for the 18th time and by the former presiding Judges, collectively and for over 24 times, due to Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges not only breaching Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C. & 1623, and/or Federal U.S. Code,

18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”) but, also, with the only exception being Judge Cahill, impeding upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455 (a) and committing Fraud Upon the Court and, thus, mandating Judge D. Robinson Jr.’s Orders, the Orders of the former presiding Judges are void as a matter of law and of no legal effect or force since Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of former presiding Judge have failed to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges because there is an appearance that the presiding Judge, namely, Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finitter, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey would be impartial and/or biased since: 1.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finitter, Judge Truffer, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey were appointed to their privileged positions by Martin O’Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, all of whom are being alleged in the Defendant’s Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or to Addendums to our Hon 45th-47th Complaints to our Hon 45th-47th President Trump to have invaded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), have committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts, it does appear that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finitter, Judge Truffer, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey would have an interest in the outcome of the Defendant criminal litigation. 3.) Being appointed to their privileged positions by Martin O’Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, all of whom are being alleged in the Defendant’s Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or Addendums to her Official Complaints to our Hon 45th-47th President Trump to have invaded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), have committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts, it does appear that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finitter, Judge Truffer, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey would have an interest in the outcome of the Defendant criminal litigation. 3.) Being appointed to their privileged positions by Martin O’Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, all of whom are being alleged in the Defendant’s Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or Addendums to her Official Complaints to our Hon 45th-47th President Trump to have committed “Crimes Against Humanity”, it, also, appears that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finitter, Judge Truffer, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey would attempt to cover-up and/or prevent the Defendant from having a hearing on her Motions, which are opened to the public who would hear and be exposed to the material facts and legal argument, namely, that: a.) The evidence substantiate the allegations that Martin O’Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, and former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in the Defendant’s Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or to Addendums to her Official Complaints to our Hon 45th-47th President Trump to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. b.) The evidence in the Defendant’s Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or Addendums to our Hon 45th-47th President

Trump substantiate the allegations that the presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges have unlawfully denied the Defendant's Motions by infringing upon Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 455 (a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 2-311, and Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”) my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242. 4.) Moreover, it does appear that, as a result of Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Finifter, Judge Truffer, Judge Wendy Epstein, Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge Cahill, Judge Alexander, Judge Glass, and Judge S. Bailey being alleged in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, and on 12-27-25, in other Motions, and/or Addendums to our Hon 45th-47th President Trump to not only have violated Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 455 (a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 2-311, but have, also breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), have committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes, Judge D. Robinson Jr. and the former presiding Judges would have an interest in the outcome of the Defendant's criminal proceeding and/or would attempt to cover-up and/or prevent the Defendant from exercising her 14th Amendment Right and her Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 to have a hearing on her Motions as permitted under Maryland Rule 211. Also, the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26 and on 1-23-26 and/or in other Motions, the evidence of the Order of Judge D. Robinson Jr. docketed on 2-27-26, which respond to the Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions, and the evidence of the facts cited in and/or the lack thereof of facts asserted in the Findings and Orders by the former presiding Judges substantiate that the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 have been violated by Judge D. Robinson Jr. for the 18th time and by the former presiding Judges, collectively and for over 24 times, due to Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges not only breaching Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621, Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 455 (a), Maryland Rule 18.101.11 and/or Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”) but, also, impeding upon Maryland Rule 2-311. As evidenced by the material facts in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, and on 1-23-26 and in all of the Defendant's Motions filed since 7-10-24, and as evidenced by the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts cited in the Findings and Orders of Judge D. Robinson Jr. docketed on 2-27-26 and in all of the Findings and Orders of the former presiding Judges, which, again, include Judge D. Robinson Jr., Judge D. Robinson Jr., substantiate that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges have, collectively and for over 41 times, invaded upon Maryland Rule 2-311 by not permitting the Defendant's right to have a hearing on her Motions for the 8th time, not allowing the State of Maryland their 15 days to respond to the Defendant's Motions before the Judge presides over the Defendant's Motions.


As evidenced on Fox News, portions of the hearing on the party's Motions from 4th District Court in Utah was televised on 2-3-26 relevant to the criminal case, Utah v. Robinson. The presiding Judge, Judge Graf, granted the party's Motion to have a hearing on their Motions and cited that there are "Constitution Rights of all parties involved", although in this criminal case the Defendant is being alleged an "accused assassin". The evidence of only citing the phrase, "Denied – not a sufficient legal or factual basis for the relief requested", in Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Findings Order docketed on 2-27-26 and the evidence of the facts cited in and/or the lack thereof of facts declared in the Findings and Orders all of the former presiding Judges, which include Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Findings and Orders, and the evidence of the material fact that the Defendant pled for a hearing on her Motions as permitted under Maryland Rule 2-311 in all of her Motions filed since 7-10-24 substantiate that Judge D. Robinson Jr. and all of the former presiding Judges denied the Defendant's right to a hearing on her Motions and/or assert that the Defendant proclaims no legal or factual basis for her requested relief, although the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-25, 1-9-26, and/or on 12-27-25 declare Judge D. Robinson and all of the former presiding Judges that "The primary reasons for the Defendant pleading in her Motions mailed on 12-6-25 and stamped "filed" on 12-9-25 and which respond to the Clerk's, Ms. Ensor's NOTICE OF REJECTED SUBMISSION" and in all of her Motions docketed since 7-10-25 for a continuation of the Stay on the expungement of Judge Glass' Order docketed on June 12, 2024 and for a hearing on her Motions are to determine if the evidence substantiate the allegations that the original Finder of Fact, Judge S. Bailey, has repetitiously and/or deliberately breached the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 by failing to consider, disclose, and resolve in her Findings and Orders if the evidence substantiate the allegations that, Judge S. Bailey has repetitiously breached the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 and repeatedly erred by failing to adhere to her own Probation/Supervision Order because there is no legal or factual basis for Judge S. Bailey's redundant denials in her Findings and Orders of the Defendant's 2nd Amendment Right to repossess her legally owned firearm and 15 ammunition since the evidence substantiate that, since 5-23-23, the Defendant had successfully completed all of the mandates in Judge S. Bailey's 2-year Probation/Supervision Order (Exhibit 210 on the Defendant's website).In fact, during the 6-9-24 hearing before Judge Glass, the presiding Judge, where the Defendant was, again, the Defendant, the evidence was presented to substantiate that, by 5-23-23, the Defendant had successfully complied to all of requirements of Judge S. Bailey's Probation/Supervision Order was confirmed during the 6-9-24 hearing before the presiding Judge, Judge Glass. The transcript of the 6-9-24 hearing will substantiate that the State of Maryland, the opposing party, testified that the State of Maryland would not oppose the Defendant repossessing her firearm and 15 bullets since the Defendant had fulfilled the State of Maryland's requirement of waiting 3 years before being granted an expungement of her records. At the 6-9-24 hearing on the Defendant's Motions, after granting the Defendant's Motion to have her record expunged, Judge Glass informed the Defendant that she has to Order a Stay on the granting of the Defendant's expungement in order to allow the Motion for the granting of the Defendant's legally owned firearm and 15 ammunition to be resolved before Judge S. Bailey, otherwise, without the Stay on her Order for expungement of the Defendant's record, the Defendant would not be able to have a hearing on Motion for repossessing her firearm and 15 ammunition or

file any other Motion because an expungement implies that the case no longer exists. Also, during the 6-9-24 hearing, Judge Glass stated that she would put a Stay on her Order so that the issue of repossessing the Defendant's firearm and 15 ammunition could be brought before Judge S. Bailey. Although the Defendant continues to forward a copy of all of her Motions to the State of Maryland as evidenced by the Certificate of Service in the Defendant's Motions, the Defendant is aware that the Attorney representing the State of Maryland testified at the 6-9-24 hearing before Judge Glass that the State of Maryland would not object to the Defendant having repossession of her firearm and ammunition."

Conclusion

The Defendant pleads that her Motions be granted.

Respectively Submitted



Diana R. Williams, Pro Se

131 Calvin Hill Court

Baltimore, Maryland 21222

410-868-6013

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 10th day of March 2026, a copy of the foregoing: 1.) For the 3rd time, A Motion To Have A Judge Who Was Not Appointed By Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, And/Or By Former Chief Judge Barbera To Preside Over The Defendant's Motions, Which Include Her Motion For A Hearing On Her Motion For Reconsideration Of Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order Docketed On 2-27-26, Which Is The Defendant's 1st Motion For A Hearing As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 In Order To Determine If The Evidence Substantiate That The Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, Her 2nd Amendment Right, And Her Civil Right Under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 Have Been Violated Because: A.) The Presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson Jr. Who Has, Also, Presided Over 17 Other Motions Of The Defendant And Has, For Over 18 Times, Failed To Consider, Disclose, And Resolve In His Order Docketed On 2-27-26 And/Or In Any Of His Other Findings And Orders, Which Respond To The Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions And/Or Her 17 Other Motions, Any Of The Material Facts Or Legal Arguments In The Defendant's 10-page Motions Mailed On 2-23-26 Or In Her Other 17 Motions, Although The Material Facts And Legal Arguments In The Defendant's 2-23-26 Motions And/Or In Her Other 17 Motions Change The Whole Outcome Of Her Criminal Case And, Undeniably, Substantiate That Judge D. Robinson Jr., The Previous Presiding Judge, Namely, Judge Finitzer, And All Of The Other Former Presiding Judges, Which Include Judge D. Robinson Jr. Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Breached Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1621 And/Or Federal Statute 18 U.S.C & 1623 By Committing The Prejudicial Error Of Perjury, A Federal Offense And Crime. B.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges

Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Invaded Upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 - Genocide, And/Or Have Conspired To Violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity") And Have, Thereby, Committed Another Federal Offense And Crime. C.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges, With The Only Exception Being Judge Cahill, Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Impeded Upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455 (a) And Committed Fraud Upon The Court And, Thus, Deeming Judge D. Robinson Jr.'s Order And All Of The Orders Of The Former Presiding Judges As Void As A Matter Of Law And Of No Legal Force Or Effect Because All Of These Officers Of The Court Have Failed To Voluntarily Disqualify And Recuse Themselves As Presiding Judges Since There Is An Appearance That Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The former Presiding Judges, Which Include Judge D. Robinson Jr., Would Be Impartial And/Or Biased Due To Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges Being Appointed To Their Privileged Positions By Martin O'Malley, Larry Hogan, Wes Moore, And/Or By Former Chief Judge Barbera, All Of Whom Are Being Alleged In The Defendants' 2-23-26, 2-9-26, 1-23-26, 1-9-26, 12-27-25 Motions, In Other Motions, And/Or In Her Complaints And/Or Addendums To Our Hon. 45th-47th President Trump To Have Breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091-Genocide, And/Or Have Attempted To And/Or Have Conspired To Violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes Against Humanity"), D.) Judge D. Robinson Jr. And All Of The Former Presiding Judges Have, Collectively And For Over 41 Times, Violated Maryland Rule 18.101.11 And/Or Maryland Rule 2-311. 2.) For The 10th Time, Motion To Allow The State Of Maryland Their Allotted 15 Days To Respond To The Defendant's Motions Before The Presiding Judge Issues His/Her Findings And Order And For The 41st Time, A Motion For A Hearing On Motions As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 was mailed, postage paid to: Baltimore County State Attorney.

401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland, 21204.



Diana R. Williams, Pro Se

REQUEST FOR A HEARING

CC: Our 45th-47th Hon. President Trump, the Hon. Military Tribunal, the Attorney General P. Bondi, the Director of the FBI, Mr. K Patel, and U.S Attorney for Maryland, Attorney M. Hayes

Armore Williams
131 Calver Hill Ct.
Baltimore, Md. 21222

Mailed on 3-10-24

Armore Williams
131 Calver Hill Ct.
Baltimore, Md. 21222

Baltimore County State Attorney
401 Basley Ave.
Gowson, Md. 21204

John K. Enson, Clerk
Circuit Court for Baltimore County
County Courts Bldg.
P.O. Box 6754
Gowson, Md. 21285-6754

Mailed on 3-10-24



GRANDALK SPARROWS POINT
44 SHIPPING PL
GRANDALK, MD 21222-5998
www.usps.com

10:10 AM

Qty	Unit	Price
-----	------	-------

1	-Class Mail®	\$1.07
owson, MD 21204		
eight: 0 lb 1.90 oz		
estimated Delivery Date		
Thu 03/12/2026		

1	-Class Mail®	\$1.07
owson, MD 21285		
eight: 0 lb 1.90 oz		
estimated Delivery Date		
Thu 03/12/2026		

Total: \$2.14

\$10.00
-\$7.86

TO REPORT AN ISSUE
Visit <https://emailus.usps.com>


hazardous labels/markings on reused
boxes MUST be completely
removed/obliterated if they no longer
match the contents.

PREVIEW YOUR MAIL AND PACKAGES
Sign up for FREE at
<https://informadellivery.usps.com>

!! sales final on stamps and postage.
stamps for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Customer Service
1-800-ASK-USPS
(1-800-275-8777)
Agents do not have any additional
information other than what is provided on
usps.com.

Tell us about your experience.
to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
scan this code with your mobile device.



or call 1-800-410-7420.