

IN THE MATTER OF
STATE OF MARYLAND

* IN THE
*
* CIRCUIT COURT
*

VS.

* FOR
*

DIANA R. WILLIAMS

* BALTIMORE COUNTY
* Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

1.) MOTION TO UNEXPUNGE THE DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL CASE, WHOSE CASE NUMBER IS C-03-CR-20-002995. 2.) MOTION TO HAVE THE DEFENDANT'S ENCLOSED MOTIONS RESUBMITTED. 3.) MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND RULE

2-311

I, Diana R. Williams, the Defendant who is being represented Pro Se, hereby, requests that the Defendant's: 1.) Motion To Unexpunge The Defendant's Criminal Case, Whose Case Number Is C-03-CR-20-002995. 2.) Motion To Have The Defendant's Enclosed Motions Resubmitted. 3.) Motion for A Hearing On The Defendant's Motion As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311.

Statement of Facts Supporting the Defendant's Motions

These Motions respond to the "NOTICE OF REJECTED SUBMISSION" that the Defendant received from the Clerk, Ms. Ensor, on 12-5-25 (Exhibit 1) and with the intent to have resubmitted the Defendant's enclosed Motions that were originally mailed on 11-14-25. As declared to Mr. Dillan on 12-5-25 in our telephone conversation, as cited in the Defendant's Motions docketed on 7-10-24, in the Defendant Motions mailed on 6-13-25 (Exhibit 256 on the Defendant's website, *www.dianarwilliams.com*), which respond to Judge D. Robinson's Findings and Order docketed on 6-3-25 (Exhibit 254 on the Defendant's website), and/or as asserted in the Defendant's Motion sent back to her on 12-1-25 but which were originally mailed on 11-14-25 (Exhibits 274 and 276, respectively on the Defendant's website), which respond to judge Robinson's Findings and Order docketed on 11-1-25 (Exhibits 273 and 275, respectively, on the Defendant's website), the Defendant has repeatedly included in her colossal Motions a plea for a Stay on the execution of the expungement in Judge Glass' Order docketed on 8-27-24 and/or to continue to Stay on the

expungement in Judge Glass' order docketed on June 12, 2024 until this criminal case is resolved in its entirety. Also, amongst other pleadings, the Defendant has, also, repetitiously motioned for a hearing on the Defendant's Motion. However, the Defendant has yet to be granted a single hearing on her Motions since filing her first plea for a hearing on her Motions in July of 2024.

As cited in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 10-10-25 (Exhibit 272 on the Defendant's website) which respond to presiding Judge's Findings and Order, namely, Judge Robinson's Findings and Order docketed on 10-1-25 (Exhibit 271 on the Defendant's website), and as declared in the Defendant's Motions mailed on 11-14-25 but sent back to her on 12-1-25 (Exhibits 274 and 276, respectively, on the Defendant's website), which respond to Judge Robinson's 11-6-25 Findings and Order (Exhibits 273, and 275, respectively, on the Defendant's website), and/or as stated in other Motions, the primary reasons for the Defendant pleading in her Motions docketed on 7-10-25 (Exhibit 188 on the Defendant's website) and in all of her Motions filed thereafter for a continuation of the Stay on the expungement of Judge Glass' Order docketed on June 12, 2024 and for a hearing on her Motions are to determine if the evidence substantiate the allegations that the original Finder of Fact, Judge S. Bailey, has repetitiously and/or deliberately breached the Defendant's ' 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 by failing to consider, disclose, and resolve in her Findings and Orders if the evidence substantiate the allegations that, Judge S. Bailey has repetitiously breached the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 and repeatedly erred by failing to adhere to her own Probation/Supervision Order because there is no legal or factual basis for Judge S. Bailey's redundant denials in her Findings and Orders of the Defendant's 2nd Amendment Right to repossess her legally owned firearm and 15 ammunitions since the evidence substantiate that, since 5-23-23, the Defendant had successfully completed all of the mandates in Judge S. Bailey's 2-year Probation/Supervision Order (Exhibit 210 on the Defendant's website). During the 6-9-24 hearing before Judge Glass, the presiding Judge, where the Defendant was, again, the Defendant, the evidence was presented to substantiate that, by 5-23-23, the Defendant had successfully complied to all of requirements of Judge S. Bailey's Probation/Supervision Order was confirmed during the 6-9-24 hearing before the presiding Judge, Judge Glass. The transcript of the 6-9-24 hearing will substantiate that the State of Maryland, the opposing party, testified that the State of Maryland would not oppose the Defendant repossessing her firearm and 15 bullets since the Defendant had fulfilled the State of Maryland's requirement of waiting 3 years before being granted an expungement of her records. At the 6-9-24 hearing on the Defendant's Motions, after granting the Defendant's Motion to have her record expunged, Judge Glass informed the Defendant that she has to Order a Stay on the granting of the Defendant's expungement in order to allow the Motion for the granting of the Defendant's legally owned firearm and 15 ammunitions to be resolved before Judge S. Bailey, otherwise, without the Stay on her Order for expungement of the Defendant's record, the Defendant would not be able to have a hearing on Motion for repossessing her firearm and 15 ammunitions or file any other Motion because an expungement implies that the case no longer exists. Also, during the 6-9-24 hearing, Judge Glass stated that she would put a Stay on her Order so that the issue of repossessing the Defendant's firearm and 15 ammunitions could be brought before Judge S. Bailey. As evidenced by the Defendant's Motions which were docketed on 7-


10-24 (Exhibit 188 on the Defendant's website), the Defendant pleads her 1st Motion to Stay the 30-day Stay on Judge Glass' 6-13-24 Order prior to the expiration of Judge Glass' 30 day Stay on the Defendant's expungement of her record. Although the Defendant continues to forward a copy of all of her Motions to the State of Maryland as evidenced by the Certificate of Service in the Defendant's Motions, the Defendant is aware that the Attorney representing the State of Maryland testified at the 6-9-24 hearing before Judge Glass that the State of Maryland would not object to the Defendant having repossession of her firearm and ammunitions.

Moreover, the evidence in the record and on the Defendant's website substantiate the allegations that the presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson, and all of the other former presiding Judges have, also, repeatedly and/or intentionally impeded upon the Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, her 2nd Amendment Right, and her Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 by failing grant the Defendant her right to a hearing on her Motions as permitted under Maryland Rule 2-311 in order to determine if the evidence substantiate the allegations that Judge S. Bailey continuously erred in her Findings and Orders by: 1.) failing to adhere to her own 4-page, 2-year Probation/Supervision Order issued on 5-20-21 because the evidence in the record and on the Defendant's website substantiate that, before filling her Motions in 2023, which included a Motion to grant the Defendant's 2nd Amendment Right to repossess her legally owned firearm and her 15 bullets, the Defendant had completely and successfully complied to Judge S. Bailey's 2-year Probation/Supervision Order. 2.) failing give sufficient legal and factual basis for not adhering to her own 2-year, 4-page Probation/Supervision Order issued on 5-20-21 because the material facts in the Defendant's instant Motion sand in her Motions mailed and/or docketed 7-30-25 4-28-25, 4-1-25, 7-11-24 , and/or on 6-1-23, unequivocally, substantiate that, since 5-23-23, the Defendant had successfully complied with all of the requirements in Judge S. Bailey's Probation/Supervision Order.

Conclusion

The Defendant pleads that her Motions be granted.

Respectively Submitted



Diana R. Williams, Pro Se

131 Calvin Hill Court

Baltimore, Maryland 21222

410-868-6013

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 6th day of December 2025, a copy of the foregoing Defendant's 1.) Motion To Unexpunge The Defendant's Criminal Case, Whose Case Number Is C-03-CR-20-002995. 2.) Motion To Have The Defendant's Enclosed Motions Resubmitted. 3.) Motion for A Hearing On The Defendant's Motion As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 was mailed, postage paid to: Baltimore County State Attorney, 401 Bosley Avenue, Towson, Maryland, 21204.



Diana R. Williams, Pro Se

Cc: Hon. President of the U.S, Military Tribunal

Exhibit 1



☐ SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND ☐ APPELLATE COURT OF MARYLAND
☐ CIRCUIT COURT ☐ DISTRICT COURT OF MARYLAND FOR Baltimore County (CC)

Located at 401 Bosley Avenue Towson, MD 21204

City/County

Court Address

Telephone 410-887-2601

IN THE MATTER OF: _____

Petitioner/Plaintiff

vs. _____

Respondent/Defendant

TO: DIANA WILLIAMS

131 CALVIN HILL CT

BALTIMORE, MD 21222

(fold line)

NOTICE OF REJECTED SUBMISSION

Note to clerk: Use this form only for Registered Users under Md. Rule 20-101.

The court has rejected your submission for the following reason(s):

- ☐ The submission is required to be filed electronically. (Md. Rule 20-106(d)(2)(B)).
- ☐ The submission contains ☐ one document ☐ multiple documents with restricted information, and you did not file a Notice of Restricted Information (MDJ-008) for each. (Md. Rule 20-201.1).
- ☐ The submission contains ☐ one document ☐ multiple documents with restricted information, and you did not file both an unredacted and a redacted version of the submission for each. (Md. Rules 20-201.1 and 20-201.1(c)).
- ☒ The submission does not contain sufficient information for the court to determine the correct case number. (Md. Rule 20-203(a)(3)(B)).

NOTE: A submission refiled within fourteen (14) days after this notice is sent will relate back to the filing of the rejected submission. Case has been expunged in accordance with court order. In order for any filings to be entered into this case, a motion to unexpunge must first be filed.

- ☐ The submission consists of discovery material which you intend to file into the case file. Discovery material may be filed electronically as a "service only" transaction. (Md. Rule 20-206).
- ☐ The filing includes a request to place a document included in the submission under court seal and does not contain either an existing order or an attached motion and proposed order. (Md. Rule 20-203(f)(3)).

NOTE: A submission refiled within fourteen (14) days after this notice is sent will relate back to the filing of the rejected submission.

- ☐ The filing fee was not paid. (Md. Rule 20-201(k) and Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, § 2-201(b)).

Additional information concerning the Maryland Electronic Courts (MDEC) system and e-filing can be found on the Judiciary website at mdcourts.gov/mdec/efiling

DEC 01 2025

Date

Julie L. Ensor



Clerk

JULIE L ENSOR

Printed Name of Clerk



DUNDALK SPARROWS POINT
44 SHIPPING PL
DUNDALK, MD 21222-9998
www.usps.com

12/06/2025

10:31 AM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
---------	-----	------------	-------

First-Class Mail® Large Envelope	1		\$3.00
----------------------------------	---	--	--------

Towson, MD 21235
Weight: 0 lb 5.30 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Wed 12/10/2025

First-Class Mail® Letter	1		\$0.78
--------------------------	---	--	--------

Towson, MD 21204
Weight: 0 lb 1.00 oz
Estimated Delivery Date
Wed 12/10/2025

Grand Total:			\$3.78
--------------	--	--	--------

Cash			\$5.00
Change			-\$1.22

TO REPORT AN ISSUE
Visit <https://email.usps.com>

All hazardous labels/markings on reused boxes MUST be completely removed/obliterated if they no longer match the contents.


PREVIEW YOUR MAIL AND PACKAGES
Sign up for FREE at
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
Refunds for guaranteed services only.
Thank you for your business.

Customer Service
1-800-ASK-USPS
(1-800-275-8777)

Agents do not have any additional information other than what is provided on USPS.com.

Tell us about your experience.
Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

N: 230405-0013
ceipt #: 840-52100100-3-5487839-1
erk: 40