

IN THE MATTER OF
STATE OF MARYLAND
CIRCUIT COURT
FOR
VS.
IN THE
consideration of this motion,
the motion is denied because
it does not present a sufficient
legal or factual basis for the
relief requested.

25th of April, 2025

[Signature]


Entered: Clerk, Circuit Court for
Baltimore County, MD
April 28, 2025
CC: SAO; DEF
BALTIMORE COUNTY
Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

1.) 1ST MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STAY THE EXECUTION
OF EXPUNGEMENT IN JUDGE GLASS' ORDER DOCKETED ON 8-27-24, WHICH IS THE
DEFENDANT'S 9TH MOTION TO STAY THE EXECUTION OF EXPUNGEMENT IN JUDGE GLASS'
ORDER DOCKETED ON 8-27-24 AND/OR TO CONTINUE THE STAY ON THE EXPUNGEMENT OF
JUDGE GLASS' ORDER DOCKETED ON JUNE 12, 2024 UNTIL THIS CASE IS RESOLVED IN ITS
ENTIRETY, OTHERWISE, THE PRESIDING JUDGE, JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. IS ENGAGING IN LAW
FARE BY BREACHING, FOR THE 4TH TIME, THE DEFENDANT'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2ND
AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND THE DEFENDANT'S CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION
242, AND THESE VIOLATIONS ARE CITED IN THE DEFENDANT'S 1-21-25 PLEADS TO OUR 45TH-
47TH HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP AGAINST JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. 2.) MOTION FOR A
HEARING ON THE MOTIONS, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 4TH MOTION TO JUDGE D.
ROBINSON, JR. FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND

RULE 2-311

1. Diana R. Williams, the Defendant who is being represented Pro Se, hereby, requests that the
Defendant's: 1.) 1ST Motion For Reconsideration Of The Defendant's Motion To Stay The Execution Of
Expungement In Judge Glass' Order Docketed on 8-27-24, Which Is The Defendant's 9TH Motion To Stay
The Execution Of Expungement In Judge Glass' Order Docketed On 8-27-24 And/Or To Continue The Stay
On The Expungement Of Judge Glass' Order Docketed On June 12, 2024 Until This Case Is Resolved In Its
Entirety, Otherwise, The Presiding Judge, Judge D. Robinson, Jr. Is Engaging In Law Fare By Breaching,
For The 3RD Time, The Defendant's 14TH Amendment right, Her 2ND Amendment Right, And The
Defendant's Civil Right Under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, And These Violations Are Cited In The
Defendant's 1-21-25 Pleads To Our Hon. 45TH-47TH President Trump Against Judge D. Robinson, Jr. 2.)
Motion For A Hearing On The Motions, Which Is The Defendant's 4TH Motion To Judge D. Robinson, Jr.

Based on the Court's consideration of this motion, the motion is denied because it does not present a sufficient legal or factual basis for the relief requested.


25th of April, 2025

CIRCUIT COURT

Entered: Clerk, Circuit Court for
Baltimore County, MD
April 28, 2025

CC: SAO;DEF

BALTIMORE COUNTY

Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

IN THE MATTER OF
STATE OF MARYLAND

VS.

DIANA R. WILLIAMS

1.) 1ST MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. AS THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND AS THE JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF PRESIDING OVER ASSIGNING A JUDGE TO PRESIDE OVER THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 4TH MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. AS A RESULT OF HIS CONTINUOUS LAW FARE IN REPEATEDLY AND DELIBERATELY BREACHING THE DEFENDANT'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2ND AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 DUE TO INFRINGING UPON FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11, AND MARYLAND RULE 2-311, AND THESE VIOLATIONS ARE CITED IN THE DEFENDANT'S 1-21-25 PLEA TO OUR 45TH - 47TH HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP AGAINST JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. 2.) MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 4TH MOTION TO JUDGE D. ROBINSON, JR. FOR A HEARING ON HER MOTIONS AND TO ALLOW THE

STATE OF MARYLAND THEIR 15 DAYS TO RESPOND AS PERMITTED MARYLAND RULE 2-311

1, Diana R. Williams, the Defendant who is being represented Pro Se, hereby, requests that the Defendant's: 1.) 1st Motion For Reconsideration Of The Defendant's Motion For Disqualification Of Judge D. Robinson, Jr. As The Presiding Judge And As The Judge-In-Charge Of Presiding Over Assigning A Judge To Preside Over The Defendant's Motions, Which Is The Defendant's 4th Motion For Disqualification Of Judge D. Robinson, Jr. As A Result Of His Continuous Law Fare In Repeatedly And Deliberately Breaching Of The Defendant's 14th Amendment Right, Her 2nd Amendment Right, And Her Civil Right Under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 Due To Infringing Upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, And Maryland Rule 2-311, And These Violations Are Cited In The Defendant's 1-21-25 Plea To our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump Against Judge D. Robinson, Jr. 2.) Motion For A Hearing On The Motions, Which Is The Defendant's 4th Motion To Judge D. Robinson, Jr. For A Hearing On Her Motions And To Allow The State Of Maryland Their 15 Days To Respond As Permitted Under Maryland Rule 2-311 based on the grounds and authorities cited below.