

IN THE MATTER OF  
STATE OF MARYLAND

\* IN THE  
\* CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

\* FOR

DIANA R. WILLIAMS

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

**DENIED**

*Diane P*

16th of January, 2026

\*\*\*\*\*

1.) MOTION FOR A HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S FINDINGS AND ORDER DOCKETED ON 12-29-25, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 1<sup>ST</sup> MOTION FOR A HEARING AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311 IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF THE EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIATE THAT: A.) FOR THE 1<sup>ST</sup> TIME, THE PRESIDING JUDGE, JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN, AND FOR OVER 38 TIMES, COLLECTIVELY, JUDGE KEITH R. TRUFFER, JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES HAVE INFRINGED UPON THE DEFENDANT'S 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2<sup>ND</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C., SECTION 242 AS A RESULT OF: i.) JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES DELIBERATELY AND/OR REPEATEDLY ERRING AND COMMITTING THE PREJUDICIAL ERROR OF PERJURY DUE TO JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN FAILING TO CONSIDER, DISCLOSE, AND RESOLVE IN HER 12-29-25 FINDINGS AND ORDER AND THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES FAILING TO CONSIDER, DISCLOSE, AND RESOLVE IN THEIR FINDINGS AND ORDERS ANY OF THE MATERIAL FACTS OR LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS MAILED ON 12-27-25, ON 12-18-25, ON 12-6-25, AND/OR IN ANY OF HER OTHER MOTIONS WHICH, UNEQUIVOCALLY, CITE THE DEFENDANT'S LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR HER REQUESTED RELIEF AND, THEREBY, CONTRADICT JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S FINDINGS AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES' FINDINGS WHICH ASSERT THAT THERE IS NO FACTUAL OR LEGAL BASIS FOR THE DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED RELIEF. ii.) JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES KNOWINGLY AND/OR REPETITIOUSLY BREACHING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455 (a), MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11, AND/OR MARYLAND RULE 2-311. B.) FOR THE 1<sup>ST</sup> TIME, JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN, AND FOR OVER 38 TIMES, COLLECTIVELY, ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF JUDGE CAHILL, HAVE VIOLATED THE DEFENDANT'S 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2<sup>ND</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY REDUNDANTLY AND/OR WILLINGLY INVADING UPON FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(a) AND COMMITTING FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND, THUS, DEEMING JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S ORDER AND ALL OF THE ORDERS OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW AND OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT BECAUSE JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF

IN THE MATTER OF  
STATE OF MARYLAND

\* IN THE

VS.

\* CIRCUIT COURT

\*

DIANA R. WILLIAMS

\* FOR


\*

\* BALTIMORE COUNTY

\* Case No. C-03-CR-20-002995

Denied - not a sufficient legal or  
factual basis for the relief requested.

15th of January, 2026



\*\*\*\*\*

1.) MOTION FOR A HEARING ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S FINDINGS AND ORDER DOCKETED ON 12-29-25, WHICH IS THE DEFENDANT'S 1<sup>ST</sup> MOTION FOR A HEARING AS PERMITTED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311 IN ORDER TO DETERMINE IF THE EVIDENCE SUBSTANTIATE THAT: A.) FOR THE 1<sup>ST</sup> TIME, THE PRESIDING JUDGE, JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN, AND FOR OVER 38 TIMES, COLLECTIVELY, JUDGE KEITH R. TRUFFER, JUDGE D. ROBINSON JR. AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES HAVE INFRINGED UPON THE DEFENDANT'S 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2<sup>ND</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C., SECTION 242 AS A RESULT OF: i.) JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES DELIBERATELY AND/OR REPEATEDLY ERRING AND COMMITTING THE PREJUDICIAL ERROR OF PERJURY DUE TO JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN FAILING TO CONSIDER, DISCLOSE, AND RESOLVE IN HER 12-29-25 FINDINGS AND ORDER AND THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES FAILING TO CONSIDER, DISCLOSE, AND RESOLVE IN THEIR FINDINGS AND ORDERS ANY OF THE MATERIAL FACTS OR LEGAL ARGUMENTS IN THE DEFENDANT'S MOTIONS MAILED ON 12-27-25, ON 12-18-25, ON 12-6-25, AND/OR IN ANY OF HER OTHER MOTIONS WHICH, UNEQUIVOCALLY, CITE THE DEFENDANT'S LEGAL AND FACTUAL BASIS FOR HER REQUESTED RELIEF AND, THEREBY, CONTRADICT JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S FINDINGS AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES' FINDINGS WHICH ASSERT THAT THERE IS NO FACTUAL OR LEGAL BASIS FOR THE DEFENDANT'S REQUESTED RELIEF. ii.) JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES KNOWINGLY AND/OR REPETITIOUSLY BREACHING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455 (a,), MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11, AND/OR MARYLAND RULE 2-311. B.) FOR THE 1<sup>ST</sup> TIME, JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN, AND FOR OVER 38 TIMES, COLLECTIVELY, ALL OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF JUDGE CAHILL, HAVE VIOLATED THE DEFENDANT'S 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, HER 2<sup>ND</sup> AMENDMENT RIGHT, AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18 U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY REDUNDANTLY AND/OR WILLINGLY INVADING UPON FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(a) AND COMMITTING FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND, THUS, DEEMING JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN'S ORDER AND ALL OF THE ORDERS OF THE FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW AND OF NO LEGAL FORCE OR EFFECT BECAUSE JUDGE WENDY S. EPSTEIN AND ALL OF