

there being an appearance that Judge Fletcher-Hill and each of the other former presiding judges would be biased and/or impartial as a result of being appointed to their superlative Administrative positions by Martin O'Malley and/or Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being professed in my 4-17-22, 2-13-20 and 2-19-20 Motions, in my Memorandum, in other Motions, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to and/or violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 42.) I filed my Motions dated 2-27-23 (Exhibits 113 and 114, respectively, on my website) in response to Judge Fletcher-Hill’s Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 2-16-23 (Exhibit 112 on my website), which responds to my 12-27-22 Motions (Exhibit 100 on my website). Seven Exhibits accompanied my 2-27-23 Motions, which include my 8th Motion for Disqualification for “Fraud upon the Court” against Judge Fletcher-Hill and my 2nd Motion for “Fraud upon the Court” against all of the former presiding judges over my present appeal in the In Banc Review and the presiding judges over my initial civil litigation, which, again, include Judge Fletcher-Hill. Also, I pronounce in the body of my Motions, the material facts that substantiate the assertions that Judge Fletcher-Hill fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in his Findings and Order, which respond to my 8-11-22 Motions, all of the material facts and legal arguments in my 8-11-22 Motions, which include the “declarations of my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 being repetitiously and/or intentionally violated by Judge Fletcher-Hill, the panel of In Banc judges, and/or the other former presiding judges” which include invading Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 as a result of their repetitious and/or willful refusals to adhere to the Rule of Law, namely, to voluntarily disqualify and refuse themselves as presiding judges as dictated under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a)), and his/her ORDER be deemed void as a matter of law and, thus, be of no legal force or effect because there is an appearance that Judge Fletcher-Hill and each of the other former presiding judges would be biased and/or impartial as a result of being appointed to their distinguished Administrative positions by Martin O'Malley and/or Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being purported in my 4-17-22, 2-13-20 and 2-19-20 Motions, in my Memorandum, in other Motions, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have intruded upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to fracture Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. The Heading in my 2-27-23 Motions is entitled “1.) MOTION FOR THE COURT TO STAY THE OTHER MOTIONS CITED BELOW UNTIL GOV. MOORE RESPONDS TO THE PETITIONER’S 2-17-23 CERTIFIED LETTER, WHICH PLEADS THAT GOV. MOORE EXERCISES HIS EXECUTIVE POWER AND ORDER AN IMMEDIATE STAY ON JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL BEING THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND ORDER AN IMMEDIATE RECUSAL OF JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL AS THE PRESIDING JUDGE DUE TO HIS BREACHING FOR THE EIGHTH TIME FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C. & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND FOR THE FOURTH TIME VIOLATING MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5 (C) . 2.) 8TH MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL FOR VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C. & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, THUS, FOR THE EIGHTH TIME, COMMITTING “FRAUD UPON THE COURT”, TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE, AND BREACHING THE PETITIONER’S 14th AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY REPETITIOUSLY VIOLATING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C. & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11. 3.) 4th MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL FOR

VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C) AND, THUS, FOR THE FOURTH TIME, COMMITTING" FRAUD UPON THE COURT" AND BREACHING THE PETTIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY CONTINUOUSLY INFRINGING UPON MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C). 4.) 3rd MOTION TO HAVE A PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES TO CONTINUE TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETTIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW AS MANDATED UNDER ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION, AND TO SELECT JUDGES WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY AND/OR BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, BOTH OF WHOM ARE BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED AND/OR CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 - GENOCIDE. 5.) 5TH MOTION FOR ALL ORDERS BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, BY THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES, BY JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, AND BY JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN BE DEEMED VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER FEDERAL STATUE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND UNDER MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11. 6.) 3rd MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 7.) 7TH MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS MANDATED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311". 43.) Judge Fletcher-Hill did not respond to my 2-27-23 Motions (Exhibits 113 and 114, respectively, on my website), but a Judge whose the initials are cited on the Court's website as "N.J." responded in the Findings and Order entered on 3-23-23 on the Court's website. Further, as evidenced by the copy of the Findings and Order mailed to me and that is on my website as Exhibit 138, there is no initial, "N.J." on this copy, nor is the name or signature of the new presiding written on these Findings and Order. 44.) Prior to filing my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen Friedman alleging obstructions of justice, in 2018 (Exhibit 37 on my website), I filed my first Official Complaint to our Hon. 45-47th President Trump against Judge Fletcher-Hill and against Judge Karen Friedman, who was one of the Judges who presided over initial Civil Complaint filed in 2017. In this Complaint, I assert "To: The Honorable President of the U.S., President Donald Trump, Senator Chuck Grassely, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator Bob Goodlatte, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, other Congressmen and Congresswomen, Jeff Session, Attorney General, Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General, Chris Wray, Director of the FBI, Michael Horawich, Inspector General, Dr. Ben Carson, Secretary of HUD, Hon. Governor Hogan, Hon. Judge Nance, Judge Hon. Judge Karen Friedman, and Public

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams

Re: 1. Request for an immediate investigation and resolution by a Special Prosecutor of the allegations in Ms. Williams' Official Complaint of Judicial Misconduct and Obstruction of Justice cited in detail below against Hon. Judge Karen Friedman (hereinafter "Judge Friedman") from the Baltimore City Circuit Court (hereinafter "Circuit Court"). 2. Request to combine this investigation with the investigation requested in Ms. Williams' 5-6-16 Official Complaint of judicial misconduct and/or emails addendums to the President and Congress against the approximate 35 other Officers of the Court because a significant portion of the evidence to substantiate the material facts cited in this complaint and/or daily email addendums are, also, essential in determining Judge Friedman's judicial misconduct and obstruction of justice as defined by Federal law, 28 U.S.C & 363. 3. Request to have all of Judge Friedman's Orders dismissed and a another Judge reconsider Ms. Williams' Civil Complaints of Breach of Contract, Property Loss, and Emotional Distress (hereinafter "2017 Civil Complaint"), all of her motions, and all of her responses to the Defendants' responses. 4. Request not to have any Judge in Maryland that was

appointed by former Governor of Maryland, Martin O'Malley (hereinafter "O'Malley") or any of judges from the Circuit Court who are named below and who presided over Ms. Williams' civil litigations that involved allegations of intentional misconduct and potentially crimes by O'Malley to preside over Ms. Williams' 2017 Civil Complaint. 5. Request not to have any judge presiding over any appeals of Ms. Williams' 2017 Civil Complaint from the Court of Appeals, the Court of Special Appeals, or from the Justices of the Supreme Court, (excluding Justice Gorsuch) who ruled on any of the appeals from Ms. Williams' civil litigations from the Circuit Court and/or appeals from the Appellant Courts, all of which involved assertions of deliberate misconduct and possibly criminal activities by O'Malley.

Date: 3-9-18"... 45.) As evidenced by the date cited on my Official Complaint, I mailed an official Complaint on 8-6-18 (Exhibit 43 on my website) to the former Governor of Maryland, Larry Hogan, to you, Hon. President Trump, and to others, and in the Heading, I state "To: Hon. Gov. Hogan, Hon. President Trump, Senator Chuck Grassely, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator Bob Goodlatte, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, Jeff Session, Attorney General, Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General, Chris Wray, Director of the FBI, Michael Harwich, Inspector General, Marilyn Mosby, State's Attorney for Baltimore, Maryland, Emmet C. Davitt, State Prosecutor of Md., Pamela Ortiz, Director for the Access to Justice Department, Ms. Pamela Harris, Administrator for Md. Courts

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams

Re: 1.) OFFICIAL STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AND REQUEST THAT A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED FROM HON. GOV. HOGAN'S AND A SPECIAL FEDERAL PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED FROM HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP AND CONGRESS TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN, THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND, THE SUPREME COURT OF THE U.S, AND OTHER JUDGES IN MARYLAND REPEATEDLY OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE BY MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE, IN THE CONDUCT OF THE OFFICE. 2. OFFICIAL REQUEST THAT HON. GOV. HOGAN IMMEDIATELY ASSIGN A JUDGE WHO WAS NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY TO PRESIDE OVER MY CIVIL COMPLAINT SINCE JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL IS ONE OF THE JUDGES WHO IS BEING ALLEGED IN THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

Date: 8-6-18" 46.) As evidenced by the Heading of my 12-20-18 Official Complaint to you, Hon. President Trump and to others (Exhibit 61 on my website), I declare "To: Hon. President Trump, Hon. Gov. Hogan, Senator Chuck Grassely, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary Committee, Senator Bob Goodlatte, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, Jeff Session, Attorney General, Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General, Chris Wray, Director of the FBI, Michael Harwich, Inspector General, Marilyn Mosby, State's Attorney for Baltimore, Maryland, Emmet C. Davitt, State Prosecutor of Md., Pamela Ortiz, Director for the Access to Justice Department, Ms. Pamela Harris, Administrator for Md. Courts

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Complainant

Re: 1.) OFFICIAL STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT FOR BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUIT COURT, NAMELY, OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER U.S CODE TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 &

1505 AND OF DEPRIVING MS. WILLIAMS, THE COMPLAINANT, OF HER 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND BY BREACHING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS 1, 2, AND 3 UNDER 18 USC 242. 2.) OFFICIAL REQUESTS THAT: a.) A STATE PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED BY HON. GOV. HOGAN AND A FEDERAL PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED BY HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP AND CONGRESS TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE BY VIOLATING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER U.S CODE TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND VIOLATING FEDERAL CRIMES OF DEPRIVING MS. WILLIAMS, THE COMPLAINANT, OF HER 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND OF BREACHING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS 1, 2, AND 3 UNDER 18 USC 242. b.) HON. GOV. HOGAN IMMEDIATELY ASSIGN A JUDGE TO PRESIDE OVER MS.WILLIAMS' MOTIONS AND/OR CIVIL CASE, NOT A JUDGE ASSIGNED BY JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON OR A JUDGE THAT WAS APPOINTED BY JUDGE BARBERA, CHIEF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND,OR A JUDGE APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY . c.) EACH OF THE GOV. OFFICIALS CITED ABOVE ATTEND AND/OR SEND A REPRESENTATIVE TO ATTEND THE MOTION HEARING REQUESTED BY MS. WILLIAMS, IF THE COURT GRANTS HER MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS BY JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON.

Date: 12-20-18" 47.) As evidenced in my first letter written on 3-27-19 (Exhibit 92 on my website) you, Hon. 45th – 47th President Trump , members of Congress, and others , I cite, amongst other things, allegations of obstructions of justice and misconduct by Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to preside over civil cases, Judge Karen Friedman, and the presiding Judges during this time, Judge Michel Pierson, I assert "To: Hon. President Trump, Hon. Sen. Grassley, Hon. Sen. Kennedy, Hon. Sen. Graham, Hon. Sen. Tim Scott, Hon. Sen. Nunes, Hon. Sen. McConnell, and Hon. Sen. Cornyn, Hon. Sen. Jordan, Hon. Sen. Scalise, Hon. Sen. McCarthy, and Hon. William Barr, Attorney General

From: Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower

Re: 1. To determine whether Hon. President Trump and Congress need to request that Hon. Attorney General William Barr to... "...immediately assign a Special State Prosecutor to investigate these allegations to determine if indictments should be made against Judge Barbera, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen Friedman and/or the other Officers of the Court named in my Official Complaint of judicial misconduct and/or my emailed Addendums for allegedly committing, deliberately, the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and the alleged federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7th and/or 14th Amendment Rights and breaching other federal statute, statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 and/or committing the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. 3. To hold Hon. Gov. Hogan accountable and obligated to immediately remove Judge Michel Pierson, a judge appointed by the Governor, from presiding over my present Civil Case and appoint another judge to preside over my Motions in my present Civil Case because Judge Michel Pierson has been alleged by me to have deliberately and repeatedly committed the alleged federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, the alleged federal crimes under 18

USC 242 by depriving me of my 7th and 14th Amendment Rights and breaching other federal states, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3, and the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071 as a result of deliberately attempting, at the moment two times, to have my Motions unlawfully removed from the record in the Baltimore Circuit Court as cited in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint of alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson and my 1-4-19 Addendum to my Official Complaint, both mailed to the Hon. President Trump and Hon. Gov. Hogan and are emailed daily to the Whitehouse, Congress, Hon. Gov. Hogan, and other government officials), as well as my 3-5-19 emailed Addendum to my 12-20-18 Official Complaint which is, also, emailed daily to the Whitehouse, Congress, Hon. Gov. Hogan, and other government officials.

Date: 3-27-19" ... 48.) As evidenced by date stated on my Official Complaint, the Heading in my Official Complaint mailed on 2-18-20 to you, Hon. 45th – 47th President Trump, and others (Exhibit 78 on my website) declares "To: Hon. President Trump, Hon. Attorney General Barr of the DOJ, Director of FBI, Mr. Christopher Wray, Hon. Hon. Gov. Hogan, Senator Chuck Graham, and other government officials

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Complainant and Whistleblower

Re: 1.) OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OF ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES IN BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUIT COURT, NAMELY, CHAIR JUDGE CARRION, JUDGE PHINN, AND JUDGE RUBIN, NAMELY, THE ALLEGED FEDERAL CRIMES OF: A.) MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505. B.) DEPRIVING MS. WILLIAMS, THE COMPLAINANT, OF HER 6TH, 7TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND BREACHING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS 1, 2, AND 3 UNDER 18 USC 242. C.) TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1512. D.) CONCEALMENT, REMOVAL, OR MUTILATION UNDER 18 U.S. CODE & 2071. 2.) OFFICIAL REQUESTS THAT: a.) UNDER THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ATTORNEY GENERAL, HON. ATTORNEY BARR, AND UNDER THE DIRECTOR OF THE FBI, MR. CHRISTOPHER WRAY, ASSIGN INVESTIGATORS TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT THESE OFFICERS OF THE COURT DELIBERATELY AND REPEATEDLY OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE BY INTENTIONALLY AND REPEATEDLY VIOLATING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND VIOLATING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF DEPRIVING MS. WILLIAMS, THE COMPLAINANT, OF HER 6TH, 7TH, AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND OF BREACHING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS 1, 2, AND 3 UNDER 18 USC 242. b.) BECAUSE THE ALLEGATIONS OF SUCH SERIOUS FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST THESE OFFICERS OF THE COURT WHO WERE APPOINTED BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND AND/OR BY FORMER GOV. OF MARYLAND, MARTIN O'MALLEY, WHO ARE, ALSO, BEING ALLEGED IN THIS OFFICIAL LETTER OF COMPLAINT, OTHER RELATED OFFICIAL LETTERS OF COMPLAINT, AND/OR ADDENDUMS TO HAVE DELIBERATELY AND REPEATEDLY COMMITTED THESE SAME FEDERAL CRIMES AND/OR DELIBERATE AND REPEATED MISCONDUCT, THE COMPLAINANT PLEADS THAT HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP, CONGRESS, HON. ATTORNEY BARR, AND/OR MR. WRAY SEND A LETTER ENCOURAGING HON. GOV. HOGAN TO ASSIGN ANOTHER PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER MS. WILLIAMS' IN BANC REVIEW AND/OR CIVIL CASE AND THAT THESE NEWLY ASSIGNED OFFICERS OF THE COURT ARE NOT JUDGES WHO WERE APPOINTED BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND OR A JUDGE

APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY. c.) EACH OF THE GOV. OFFICIALS CITED ABOVE ATTEND AND/OR
SEND A REPRESENTATIVE TO ATTEND THE MOTION HEARING, IF THE COURT GRANTS MS. WILLIAMS'
MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE 2-6-20 FINDINGS AND DECISIONS AND ORDERS BY JUDGE CARRION,
JUDGE RUBIN, AND JUDGE PHINN.

Date: 2-18-20".... 49.) In the Heading of my 1st Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official that was mailed on 4-3-
20 Complaint (Exhibit 81 on my website) germane to the continued alleged obstruction of Justice and
misconduct against Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen, Judge Michel Pierson, the panel of In Banc Judges,
namely, Judge Carrion, Judge Phinn, and Judge Rubin, as well as other government officials, I state "To:
Hon. President Trump, Hon. Attorney General Barr of the DOJ, Director of FBI, Mr. Christopher Wray,
Senator Graham, Hon. Gov. Hogan of Maryland, and other government officials

From: Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower

Re: 1.) Addendum to 2-18-20 Official Letter of Complaint Against the panel of In Banc judges for the
Baltimore City Circuit Court, namely, Judge Carrion, Judge Phinn, and Judge Rubin because of new
allegations of new federal crimes of Conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. § 1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1623, and under 18
U.S.C. § 1001. 2.) Addendum to my 925th Addendum and counting to my 5-6-16 Official Complaint
against the Supreme Court (excluding Justice Gorsuch and Justice Kavanaugh), Chief Judge Barbera of
the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen, other Officers
of the Court, the former Gov. of Maryland and former Mayor of Baltimore City, Martin O'Malley, and
against other government officials, namely, the new allegations of federal crimes of Conspiracy under 18
U.S.C. § 1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1623, and under 18 U.S.C. § 1001 against these Officers of the Court and other
federal officials. 3.) Since the offices of the DOJ and FBI are the Experts in federal criminal acts, I'm
pleading that whatever other federal crimes that the DOJ and FBI glean from the material facts as
supported by evidence in the record of this Court, the evidence on my website,

, in the Baltimore City Circuit Court from my past Civil Complaints, in the Court
of Appeals of Maryland, in the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland, in my Documentary which is in the
record in Baltimore City Circuit Court, in the records of the Department of Justice (DOJ), in my 5-6-16
Official Complaint, and/or my 925 emailed Addendums and counting to my Official Complaint be
included in addition to the alleged federal crimes I've cited. 4.) Hon. President Trump, Hon. Congress,
Hon. Attorney Barr and/or Mr. Wray inform Hon. Hogan in writing of his obligation to remove the panel
of In Banc judges, Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (hereinafter "Chief Judge
Barbera") whom may preside over my Writ to the Court of Appeals of Maryland that I may have to file,
due to their being alleged to have committed federal crimes and that a request for an investigation into
the allegations of federal crimes against these Officers of the Court is being conducted by the DOJ and
FBI to determine if indictments need to take place.

Date: 4-3-20".... 50.) As evidenced by a copy of the "Re:" section of my 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20
Official Complaint to you, Hon. 45th-47th President Trump, which will be forthcoming in its entirety soon, I
proclaim "To: Hon. President Donald J. Trump

From: Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower

Re: 1.) 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official Complaint (hereinafter "2nd Addendum") which includes the new allegations of violations of Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 against Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, against Judge M. Schreiber II, against Judge J. Geller, against Judge John Nugent, against Judge L. Fletcher-Hill, against Judge Carrion, against Judge M. Phinn, against Judge Rubin, against Judge Michel Pierson, against Judge Karen Friedman, against all of the judges who were appointed by the former Governor of Maryland, Martin O'Malley, and/or by the former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (hereinafter "Court of Appeals"), Chief Judge Barbera, and who presided over my 2012 and/or 2014 judicial proceedings and/or over my appeals in the In Banc Reviews in the Baltimore City Circuit Court (hereinafter "Circuit Court"), against the judges who were appointed by Martin O'Malley and/or by Chief Judge Barbera and who presided over my 2014 and/or 2015 Writs to the Court of Appeals, against the judges who were appointed by Martin O'Malley and/or by Chief Judge Barbera and who presided over my appellant cases in the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland, against former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals, against the U.S. Attorney of Maryland, that is, Erik L. Barron, against the State Attorney for Baltimore City, namely, Ivan Bates, against the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and Baltimore City Council, from at least 1993 to the present, which include Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young and Brandon Scott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter "City Council") and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993, against the former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan and Martin O'Malley, against the present Governor of Maryland, that is, Wes Moore, against all of the other Officers of the Court asserted in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint of judicial misconduct, and/or against all of the Officers of the Court cited in my 928 emailed Addendums to my 5-6-16 Official Complaint. ... 2.) 2nd Addendum which, also, includes the new allegations against the judges cited in the "RE: 1" section of this 2nd Addendum, who repetitiously and/or intentionally transgressed my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Rights under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 and/or under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 due to their repeatedly and/or deliberately infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11, committing "Fraud upon the Court", treason against the Constitution, and/or interference with interstate commerce because of their refusal to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding judges as mandated under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a)...."

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

B.) AND MY PLEA that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside

over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein ("Tanya Bernstein") are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore ("Wes Moore") and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

According to the Commission's organizational structure, Tanya Bernstein is employed as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission. The Commission is appointed by the Governor, which includes either being appointed by Wes Moore and/or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, who, along with former Chief Judge Barbera, the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, and all of the former presiding Judges in my present appeal in the In Banc Review in my civil litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, and/or other government officials are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions mailed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208', and 208", respectively, on my website), in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), in my 3 separate Motions filed on 10-15-24 (Exhibits 180, 181, 182, and 183, respectively, on my website), and/or in my 11-1-23 Motions that are still awaiting for Judge Fletcher-Hill to assign a preside Judge, and/or in other Motions to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempted to and/or have committed other criminal activities due to knowingly and willingly: a.) allowing our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards for almost three decades by the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City (the Mayor and Baltimore City Council) from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and the present Mayor, Brandon Schott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter "City Council"), and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993. b.) having ignored for years the alleged heinous crimes against the Mayor of Baltimore City, owners of the public schools, namely, that of repetitiously and/or intentionally exposing our children to lead poisoning for decades and, thereby, violating Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committing misconduct in office, and/or committing other possible criminal acts. c.) refusing to prosecute for over a quarter of a century the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other

governmental officials, who are being alleged to have repeatedly and/or deliberately infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or other criminal acts and, in some instances, for over 25 years. d.) and/or having accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or other crimes.

Since allegations are made in my Motions and in other documents that the evidence will support that these Officers of the Court have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091, have invaded upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a), have invaded upon my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, it is my plea that our 45th – 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own independent investigation in regard to my 12-23-24 and my 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibits 195 and 196, respectively, on my website). Moreover, I’m alleging that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission are violating my 14th due to impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. 7 455(a) due to failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Officers of the Court since there is an appearance that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission would be biased and/or impartial as a result of Tanya Bernstein being hired as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission, and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which could include either being appointed by Wes Moore or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O’Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions filed on 12-26-24, in other Motions, and/or in 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or attempted to and/or the conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091. Thus, it is, too, my plea that, you, Hon. President Trump, whose Inauguration Ceremony as our official Hon. 47th President of the U.S. was on 1-20-25, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland to launch its own investigation into my 3 separate Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission and my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and to have supervision in overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea by the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein, are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the

Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the Wes Moore and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

In terms of past Findings and Conclusion by Tanya Bernstein, as evidenced by her 2018 letter (Exhibit 199 on my website) which has her Findings and Conclusion that respond to my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission(Exhibit 200 on my website), Tanya Bernstein was the presiding Investigative Counsel who investigated my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen, who are two of the former presiding Judges in my present civil litigation, which is currently on appeal in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City and whose initial Civil Complaint was filed in 2017.

As evidenced by the lack of facts and supporting evidence declared in Tanya Bernstein's 12-27-24 and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions (Exhibit 201 and 201', respectively, on my website), which respond to the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-16-24 and 12-23-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibit 195 and 196, respectively, on my website), I'm alleging that the evidence substantiate the allegations that, like in her 2018 Findings and Conclusion, Tanya Bernstein has failed to disclose, consider, and resolve a single material fact and/or legal argument proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission that substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, namely, that the evidence support the allegations of violations of my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 by Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to my civil case, by the presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, and Judge M. Schreiber II, and by all of the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Michel Pierson in my initial civil litigation and by Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge J. Rubin, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge J. Nugent, and by Judge Geller in my appeal in the In Banc Review. Still too, as evidenced by the facts cited in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Orders and the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 2018, in my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, from which Tanya Bernstein responds to, other declaring the Rule 18.421, Tanya Bernstein fails to provide a shred of material facts and/or evidence that would disprove the material facts and legal arguments alleged in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint.

In Tanya Bernstein's Findings dated 1-3-25, she states that "Pursuant to Maryland Rule 18-421 (b), the allegations have been considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued because they are factually unfounded or even if proved, fail to establish "Sanctionable conduct",

impairment, or disability. Please provide an accurate case name, and case number. If you have additional information in support of the allegations, please submit it in writing within the next 30 days either by mail to the address above or by email to commJD@mdcourts.gov.

Moreover, as evidenced in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions, it appears that Tanya Bernstein, simply quoted, almost verbatim, the same reasons for her conclusion in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint as she declared in her 2018 and 12-16-24 Conclusions for denying my 2018 and 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, that the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint did not constitute a meritorious complaint. However, as evidenced by her 12-27-24 and Findings and Conclusion and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, Tanya Bernstein failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings any of the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint which substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been repetitiously and/or deliberately breached by Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, Judge M. Schreiber II, and by all of the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge M. Phinn, Judge J. Rubin, Judge J. Nugent, and by Judge Geller.

I believe that Tanya Bernstein has damaged her credibility because as evidenced by the lack thereof of facts as supported by the evidence in Tanya Bernstein's 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, the evidence substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Conclusion any of the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint which substantiate these allegations. Therefore, I'm requesting that the Commission assigns another Investigative Counsel to preside over the instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS" forms to the Commission and over my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), which are additional material facts and evidence to further substantiate the allegations stated above and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, Judge M. Schreiber II, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge J. Rubin, Judge J. Nugent, and against Judge Geller. Further, I'm pleading that the Commission assigns an outside, independent Investigative Counsel to preside over this instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS" forms to the Commission of additional material facts and supporting evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission. I will be sending a copy of my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website) and a copy of this instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS" to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Complaint to our Hon. President Trump, whom I pray will have the Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI to launch its own independent investigation. Moreover, it is my plea that the Commission: 1.) mandates that the new, independent investigator substantiates his/her reasons for his/her Findings and Conclusion with, in addition to citing the Rule, the material facts being supported by the evidence. 2.) orders the a new, independent investigator to have copies of my Motions which have the presiding Judges' Findings and Orders cited on the first page of my Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents, which I've given Exhibit numbers on my

website and to include these documents as part of the evidence in the record of the Commission.

The evidence supporting the material facts below will further substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, Judge M. Schreiber II, and by all of the former presiding Judges, namely, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge J. Nugent, and Judge J. Rubin, Judge Geller have used the same pattern of deceit in attempting to conceal the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or deliberately violated by Tanya Bernstein, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III, Judge M. Schreiber II, Judge Karen Friedman, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Carrion, Judge M. Phinn, Judge J. Rubin, Judge J. Nugent, and by Judge Geller using the pattern of deceit, which includes: 1.) misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. 2.) misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se. 3.) concealing in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. 4.) and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. 5.) failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. 6.) failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as proclaimed in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se. 7.) concealing in their Findings that the evidence in the record support the allegations that Officers of the Court exhibited judicial misconduct and obstructed justice by, amongst other things, committing the prejudicial error of perjury. 8.) and/or concealing in their Findings that the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court, as asserted in my Official Complaints, and/or as declared in my Motions.

Cc: Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General for the DOJ, the newly appointed Director for the FBI, and the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland