

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct City: Ba Ho. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-6013
Email: d.lady_d@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number NA

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Michel Last Name: Pierson

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto. City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): Waiting on repeated Motions for a hearing on my Motions.

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See Attachment for additional material facts and evidence.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

See evidence that are part of the Attachments and the evidence from copies of the Exhibits from my website, www.dianarwilliams.com that are requested to be submitted as evidence.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

1-28-25

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

www.courtclerk.com

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.

To: 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland, the State of Maryland Commission on Judicial Disabilities ("Commission"), and the Clerk of the Court for Baltimore City, Mr. Xavier Conaway From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower, whose present appeal is in the Baltimore City Circuit Court and whose Civil Case Number is 24-C-17-004535

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower, whose present appeal is in the Baltimore City Circuit Court and whose Civil Case Number is 24-C-17-004535

Re: 1.) Plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 ("12-23-24 Official Complaint") and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant **"ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS"** forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against **Judge Michel Pierson**, namely, that of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. 2.) Plea that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission, to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein ("Tanya Bernstein") are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because **there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial** due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore ("Wes Moore") and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, **all** of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

Date: 1-28-25

As stated in Rule 18-421, the Commission shall refer all complaints and other written allegations of disability, impairment, or misconduct against a judge to an Investigative Counsel. Allegations must provide proof of misconduct in order to be deemed "Sanctionable Conduct". Moreover, if the Investigative Counsel concludes that the allegations presented, even if proved, would fail to constitute a cognizable basis for a complaint, as defined in Rule 18-402(h), then the Investigative Counsel shall notify the complainant and the Commission, in writing, that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for that conclusion. Further, Section (b) of this Rule does allow the Investigative Counsel to communicate with the complainant or make an inquiry under section (f) of this Rule in order to clarify general or ambiguous allegations that may suggest a disability, impairment, or sanctionable conduct. After permitting the complainant to give additional evidence to substantiate her allegations, then the Investigative Counsel may conclude under this section that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for his/her conclusion.

According to Rule 18-402(h) "Sanctionable conduct" includes a judge demonstrating misconduct, persistently failing to perform the duties of the judge's office, or conduct prejudicial to the proper administration of justice. Still too, "Sanctionable conduct" can mean that a judge has breached any of the provisions of the Maryland Code of Judicial Conduct promulgated by Title 18, Chapter 100.

As stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibits 196 on my website), because of my financial hardship at this time, I'm unable to afford the cost of printing copies of all of my numerous and lengthy Motions, Official Complaints, and other material evidence on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com that need to be submitted into the record of the Commission as evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge Michel Pierson. My loved ones help to maintain the cost of my website. Thus, it is my request that, since I give the Exhibit Number on my website to each of my signed and dated Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents when citing the document, copies of these Exhibits be made and that all of these documents be submitted as more evidence into the record of the Commission.

Below are the additional material facts as supported by the evidence and, as permitted under Rule 2-241, that substantiate the allegations as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge Michel Pierson.

A.) STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE
ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

AND MY PLEA that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland the to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 ("12-23-24 Official Complaint") and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS" forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson, namely, the allegations of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091.

As proclaimed in my 3 most recent Motions filed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208', and 208'', respectively, on my website), as cited in my 11-1-23 Motions (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website), as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission (Exhibit 196 on my website), and/or as stated in these **instant "ATTACHMENTS"** , the following are additional material facts and/or legal arguments to further substantiate the allegations my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by **Judge Michel Pierson**: **1.)** My 9-17-18 Motions (Exhibit 58 on my website) responding to Judge Fletcher-Hill's 9-4-18 Findings and Order (Exhibit 57 on my website) were denied in the Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-7-18 (Exhibit 59 on my website) by a new presiding Judge, namely, Judge Michel Pierson. **2.)** The Heading in my 9-17-18 Motions is entitled **"MOTION FOR HON. GOV. TO HAVE JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFIED FROM PRESIDING OVER THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS AND/OR CIVIL CASE, IMPEACHED, DISBARRED, AND CHARGED CRIMINALLY BECAUSE JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL IS ONE OF THE JUDGES IN THE PLAINTIFF'S OFFICIAL LETTER AND EMAILED ADDENDUMS TO HON. GOV. HOGAN, HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP, CONGRESS, AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES THAT IS ALLEGED TO HAVE REPEATEDLY AND INTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND HAVE REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF'S 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, AND BECAUSE JUDGE FLETCHER, IN DECIDING THE PLAINTIFF'S LAST THREE MOTIONS, HAS: 1.) REPEATEDLY, DELIBERATELEY, EXCESSIVELY, AND EGREGIOUSLY ABUSED HIS POWER. 2.) DISPLAYED TOTAL CONTEMPT FOR THE RULE OF LAW. 3.) UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505, REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE IN THE CONDUCT OF THE OFFICE. 4.) REPEATEDLY AND INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED FEDERAL CRIMES UNDER 18 USC BY DEPRIVING THE PLAINTIFF OFHER 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND BY VIOLATING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS. MOTION TO HAVE HON. GOV. HOGAN TO APPOINT ANOTHER JUDGE TO PRESIDE OVER THE**

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RESONSIDERATIOF OF ALL OF HER 8-6-18 MOTIONS, MOTION TO HAVE THE COURT POSTS THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS AS TITLED, AND A MOTION TO STAY ANY DECISION AND ORDER ON THES MOTIONS UNTIL HON. GOV. HOGAN AND.OR HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS." 3.) Judge Michel Pierson declares in his Findings and Order that "Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion "Motion for Hon. Gov. Hogan to Have Judge Fletcher-Hill Immediately Disqualified..." (Paper No. 27). Although the motion seeks no action from this court, it was filed with the court, and must be addressed. The motion is without merit and is part of a pattern of such filings made by plaintiff. Because it required no substantial response from the defendants, the court will exercise its discretion not to award sanctions at this time. According, it is this 4th day of December, 2018 ORDERED as follows: 1. Plaintiff's Motion (No. 27) is STRICKEN. 2. The Request that this Court Rule on Defendants Renewed Motion for Sanctions Previously Filed with this Court (Pleading No. 28) be and it hereby is DENIED. 3. Defendants shall not be required to respond to any future filings by plaintiff in this case unless expressly ordered by the court. 4. The Clerk shall close this case." 4.) As evidenced by the facts stated in his Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-7-18 and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 9-17-18 Motions, from which Judge Michel Pierson respond to, Judge Michel Pierson fails to disclose, consider, and resolve, any of the material facts and legal arguments in my 9-17-18 Motions, which substantiates the allegations that Judge Fletcher-Hill deliberately and repeatedly violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of recurrently and willingly intruding upon various federal and state laws, which include encroaching upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 for the 4th time due to his failing to disqualify and recuse himself as mandated under this Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) which, too, mandates his/her ORDER be deemed void as a matter of law and ,therefore, be of no legal force or effect due because there is an appearance that Judge Fletcher-Hill would be impartial and/or biased as a result: a.) his Administrative appointment in 2009 by Martin O'Malley, who, again, is being alleged in my Motions and in my Civil Complaint to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to impinge upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. b.) my asserting in my 9-4-18 Motions, in my 8-6-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2017 Civil Complaint that, in 2014, the In Banc judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, trespassed my 14th Amendment Right, and invaded upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to conceal the material facts that Judge Fletcher-Hill, who presided over my 2014 Civil Complaint, flouted my 14th Amendment Right and usurped Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' Motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint. 5.) During my research on the issue of whether written Motions can be "Stricken" from the record of the court as ordered by Judge Michel Pierson in his Findings and Order filed on the Circuit Court's website on 12-7-18, I believe that it was, again, through DIVINE guidance, that I came across other very material facts, namely, that: a.) Judge Michel Pierson was appointed in 2013 by Chief Judge Barbera, who is being proclaimed in my 9-17-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have willingly fractured my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of her deliberately committing the prejudicial error of perjury. b.) I could file a Motion for a hearing on my Motions. 6.) In responding to Judge

Michel Pierson's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-7-18, I knew that it was vital for me to include in my next Motions a Motion for Disqualification against Judge Michel Pierson premised on there being an appearance that he would be impartial and/or biased as a result of his being appointed in 2013 by Chief Judge Barbera, who is being proclaimed in my 9-17-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have deliberately violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 because she intentionally committed the prejudicial error of perjury. 7.) In response to Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-7-18 (Exhibit 59 on my website), which respond to my 9-17-18 Motions (Exhibit 58 on my website), I filed my Motions dated 12-17-18 (Exhibit on 60 my website). The Heading in my 12-17-18 Motions is entitled "**MOTION FOR HON. GOV. HOGAN TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT A JUDGE TO HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, BUT IF THIS MOTION IS DENIED, MOTION TO STILL HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL'S PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, MOTION TO HAVE HON. GOV. HOGAN TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT A JUDGE TO DETERMINE IF THE MATERIAL FACTS CITED IN THESE MOTIONS SUBSTANTIATE THAT JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, THE ADMINISTRATOR OVER THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY CIRUCIT COURT: (1.) INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND HAS DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF'S 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242. (2.) NEEDS TO BE REFERRED TO BE INVESTIGATED BY A SPECIAL STATE PROSECTOR DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF HIS DELIBERATELY COMMITTING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND OF HIS DELIBERATELY COMMITTING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF'S 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, AND MOTION TO HAVE APPOINTED JUDGE BY HON. GOV. HOGAN TO RECONSIDER GRANTING ALL OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS". 8.)** After recognizing that the facts declared in and/or the lack thereof of facts stated in Judge Michel Pierson's two Findings and Orders and the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my Motions, from which he respond to in his Findings and Orders, substantiate that Judge Michel Pierson was intruding upon the same federal and state laws as I allege in my Official Complaints filed against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen Friedman, I filed my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson on 12-20-18 and mailed the original copy to your office, Hon. President Trump (Exhibit 61 on my website) on the same day. I allege in my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson that, amongst other things, the evidence in the record substantiate that Judge Michel Pierson has repeatedly and intentionally encroached upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), committed misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, and, therefore, tampered upon my 14th Amendment Right, and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242. 9.) On 1-4-19, I mailed my 1st emailed Addendum to my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson (Exhibit 62 on my website) to you, Hon. President Trump, mailed a copy to Gov. Hogan, and then I started emailing, daily, this Addendum to my 12-20-18 Official Complaint to the Whitehouse and other governmental agencies. I continued to send by emails this document and others to the Whitehouse and

other governmental officials until I ceased sending all such emails around April of 2020. 10.) In Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 2-6-19 (Exhibit 63 on my website), he denies my 12-17-18 Motions (Exhibit 60 on my website). Judge Michel Pierson's only response to any of the material facts and legal arguments declared in the body of my 12-17-19 Motions, is that "The court has read and considered plaintiff's motion entitled "Motion for Hon. Gov. Hogan to expeditiously (sic) Appoint...." (Paper No. 29). The motion seeks no action from this court, accordingly, it is this 31st day of January, 2019, ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion (No. 29) be and hereby is STRICKEN." 11.) As evidenced by the facts asserted in and/or the lack thereof of facts declared in Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 12-17-18 Motions, from which his Findings and Order respond to, Judge Michel Pierson, again, fails to disclose, consider, and resolve any of the material facts and legal arguments in my Motions, which include my first Motion for Disqualification against Judge Michel Pierson for breaching my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 by failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse himself as decreed under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 and in which Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a)) mandates his/her ORDER be deemed void as a matter of law and ,thus, be of no legal force or effect since there being an appearance that he might be biased and/or impartial because of his superlative Administrative appointment in 2013 by Chief Judge Barbera, who is being purported in my 12-12-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my Civil Complaint to have impinged upon my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of deliberately committing the prejudicial error of perjury. Also, in these Motions, I allege that the first 5 Exhibits on my website, copies of which accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions as "Exhibits", substantiate these proclamations against Chief Judge Barbera. 12.) In response to Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 2-6-19 (Exhibit 63 on my website), I filed my Motions dated 2-15-19 (Exhibit 64 on my website). Included in these Motions is another Motion to have another judge preside over my civil litigation. I too, alleged that the material facts and legal arguments in my 2-15-19 Motions substantiate that there is an appearance that the presiding Judge, Judge Michel Pierson, would be impartial and/or biased because of his being appointed in 2013 to the distinct Administrative position by Chief Judge Barbera, who is being averred in my 12-17-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have infringed upon my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 because she committed the prejudicial error of perjury in her 2015 Findings and Order and that these assertions are very material facts in my present civil proceeding. Also, included in my 2-15-19 Motions are the material facts that support the assertions that Judge Michel Pierson invaded my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242, also, as a result of tampering upon other federal and/or state laws. Moreover, I plead for a hearing on these Motions. 13.) The Heading in my 2-15-19 Motions is entitled **"MOTION TO REQUEST A HEARING ON JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON'S 2-6-19 DECISION AND ORDER, MOTION TO HAVE JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON IMMEDIATELY DISQUALIFIED FROM PRESIDING OVER THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS AND/OR CIVIL CASE AND SUBSTITUTE ANOTHER JUDGE TO PRESIDE OVER THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS AND/OR CIVIL CASE BECAUSE JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON IS ONE OF THE JUDGES IN THE PLAINTIFF'S 12-20-18 OFFICIAL LETTER OF COMPLAINT AND/OR 1-4-19 ADDENDUM TO HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP, HON. GOV. HOGAN, CONGRESS, AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES WHO IS BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF**

MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505, TO HAVE DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF'S 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, TO HAVE DELIBERATELY TAMPERED WITH EVIDENCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18 PART 1 CHAPTER 73 & 1512, HAVE CONCEALED, REMOVED, AND/OR MUTILATED EVIDENCE UNDER 18 U.S. CODE & 2071, AND, IN DECIDING THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS DATED 12-17-18, TO HAVE REPEATEDLY, DELIBERATELY, EXCESSIVELY, AND EGREGIOUSLY: 1.) ABUSED HIS POWER. 2.) DISPLAYED TOTAL CONTEMPT FOR THE RULE OF LAW. 3.) COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE IN THE CONDUCT OF TE OFFICE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CAPTER 73 & 1505. 4.) COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMESOF DEPRIVING THE PLAINTIFF OF HER 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS, AND BY VIOLATING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242. 5.) TAMPERED WITH EVIDENCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18 PART 1 CHAPTER 73 & 1512. 6.) CONCEALED, REMOVED, AND/OR MUTILATED EVIDENCE UNDER 18 U.S. CODE & 2071. MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE APPOINTED BY HON. GOV. HOGAN TO RECONSIDER ALL OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS DATED 9-17-18 AND 12-17-18." 14.) Judge Michel Pierson denies my 2-15-19 Motions (Exhibit 64 on my website) in his Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 8-8-19 (Exhibit 66 on my website). In his Findings and Order, Judge Michel Pierson cites that "The court have read and considered plaintiff's motion entitled "Motion to Request a Hearing..." (Paper No. 30), it is this 8th day of August, 2019, ORDERED that plaintiff's Motion (No. 30) be and hereby is DENIED". 15.) As evidenced from the facts stated in his Findings and Order and as evidenced from the material facts and legal arguments in my 2-15-19 Motions, from which Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order respond to, Judge Michel Pierson, again, fails to consider, disclose, and resolve any of the material facts and legal arguments in the body of my 2-15-19 Motions which substantiate that the proclamations that my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 were continuously and/or purposely usurped by Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and judge Karen Friedman due to, amongst other things, their redundant and/or deliberate failures to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges as mandated under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and under Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and in which Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a)) mandates his/her ORDER be deemed void as a matter of law and ,thus, be of no legal force or effect because there being an appearance that Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and Judge Karen Friedman would be impartial and/or biased because of their exclusive appointments by Martin O'Malley and/or Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being declared in my 12-17-18 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to overstep Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. 16.) In response to Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 8-8-19 (Exhibit 66 on my website), I filed my Motions dated 8-22-19 (Exhibits 67 and 67' on my website), which include 4TH Motion for Disqualification of Judge Michel Pierson and another Motion for a hearing on the Motions. In these Motions, I continue to state that I question whether Judge Michel Pierson would be impartial and/or bias as the presiding Judge due to his privileged appointment as an Administrative Judge of the Eighth Circuit in Baltimore City in 2013 by Chief judge Barbera, who is being professed in my Motions to have disregarded my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section

242 as a result of her, intentionally, committing the prejudicial error of perjury in 2015 and that these facts are material in my present civil litigation. 17.) The Heading in my 8-22-19 Motions is entitled **“Motion FOR SUBSTITUTION AND IMMEDIATE DISQUALIFICATION OF JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON FROM PRESIDING OVER THE PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS AND CIVIL CASE, MOTION FOR A HEARING ON JUDGE MICHEL PERISON’S 8-8-19 DECISION AND ORDER, MOTION TO HAVE THE HEARING ON JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON’S 8-8-19 DECISION AND ORDER PRESIDED OVER BY THE “SUBSTITUTION” JUDGEWHO IS NOT ASSIGNED BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT, JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, BECAUSE JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON IS ONE OF THE JUDGES IN THE PLAINTIFF’S 2-15-19 MOTIONS FROM WHICH JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON RENDERED HIS 8-8-19 DECISION AND ORDER, WHO IS, ALSO, ALLEGED IN THE PLAINTIFF’S 3-5-19 2ND ADDENDUM TO THE PLAINTIFF’S 1-4-19 1ST ADDENDUM TO HER 12-20-18 OFFICIAL LETTER OF COMPLAINT AGAINST JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON TO HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP, HON. GOV. HOGAN, CONGRESS, AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES TO HAVE INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505, TO HAVE DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF’S 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, TO HAVE DELIBERATELY TAMPERED WITH EVIDENCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18 PART 1 CHAPTER 73 & 1512, HAVE CONCEALED, REMOVED, AND/OR MUTILATED EVIDENCE UNDER 18 U.S. CODE & 2071, AND, IN DECIDING THE PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS DATED 2-15-19, 12-17-18, AND 9-17-18, TO HAVE REPEATEDLY, DELIBERATELY, EXCESSIVELY, AND EGREGIOUSLY: 1.) ABUSED HIS POWER. 2.) DISPLAYED TOTAL CONTEMPT FOR THE RULE OF LAW. 3.) COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE IN THE CONDUCT OF TE OFFICE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CAPTER 73 & 1505. 4.) COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF DEPRIVING THE PLAINTIFF OF HER 7TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS, AND BY VIOLATING OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242. 5.) TAMPERED WITH EVIDENCE UNDER U.S. CODE TITLE 18 PART 1 CHAPTER 73 & 1512. 6.) CONCEALED, REMOVED, AND/OR MUTILATED EVIDENCE UNDER 18 U.S. CODE & 2071. MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE APPOINTED BY HON. GOV. HOGAN TO RECONSIDER ALL OF THE PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS DATED 8-22-19, 2-15-19, 12-17-18, AND 9-17-18”.** 18.) On 8-29-19, I emailed copies of my second plea to Gov. Hogan (Exhibit 69 on my website), to the Whitehouse, and to other governmental agencies, which amongst other things, include a request to have Judge Michel Pierson immediately removed from presiding over my Motions and to assign a special federal prosecutor to promptly launch an investigation to determine if the evidence in the record of the court substantiate the various federal crimes being alleged against Judge Michel Pierson. 19.) Judge Michel Pierson denies my 8-22-19 Motions (Exhibits 67 and 67’, respectively, on my website) in his Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 1-2-20 (Exhibit 70 on my website). Judge Michel Pierson’s only response in his Findings and Order to my 8-22-19 Motions is that “Plaintiff has filed a motion entitled “Motions for ‘Substitution’...” (Paper No. 31). Plaintiff continues to file repetitive and/or frivolous pleadings because prior rulings are not to her liking. Accordingly, it is this 2nd day of January, 2020, ORDERED that the motion be and it hereby is DENIED, and further ORDERED that the Clerk shall not accept any further filings in this action other than an Order of Appeal accompanied by the filing fee, and further ORDERED the Clerk shall close this case.” 20.) The evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts proclaimed in his Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 1-2-20 and the

evidence of the material facts and legal declared in my 8-22-19 Motions, from which Judge Michel Pierson's Findings and Order respond to, substantiate that Judge Michel Pierson, again, fails to disclose, consider, and resolve any of the material facts and legal arguments in my 8-22-19 Motions which substantiate the declarations in my Motions, which include the assertions that, for the 4th time, he had breached my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 due to violating various federal and state laws, which include intruding upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 and in which Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a)) mandates his/her ORDER be deemed void be as a matter of law and ,thereby, be of no legal force or effect as result of there being an appearance that Judge Michel Pierson, would be impartial and/or biased because of his superlative appointment by Chief Judge Barbera, who is being alleged in my 12-17-18 Motions, other Motions, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have impinged upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. 21.) Since Judge Michel Pierson ordered that the clerk do not accept any more of my Motions, I filed an appeal to the Findings and Order of Michel Pierson entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 1-2-20 by filing a Notice For In Banc Review with the Circuit Court (hereinafter “Petition”) and my Memorandum on 1-14-20 (Exhibits 72 and 71, respectively, on my website). In my Memorandum, I state “9 Questions for Review”, the material facts, and/or legal arguments that I wanted the panel of In Banc judges to disclose, consider, and resolve before deciding to grant or deny my Petition. 22.)

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

B.) AND MY PLEA that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein (“Tanya Bernstein”) are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore (“Wes Moore”) and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O’Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (“former Chief Judge Barbera”), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed

misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities

According to the Commission's organizational structure, Tanya Bernstein is employed as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission. The Commission is appointed by the Governor, which includes either being appointed by Wes Moore and/or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, who, along with former Chief judge Barbera, the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, and all of the former presiding Judges in my present appeal in the In Banc Review in my civil litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, and/or other government officials are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions mailed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208', and 208'', respectively, on my website), in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), in my 3 separate Motions filed on 10-15-24 (Exhibits 210, 210', and 210'', respectively on my website), and/or in my 11-1-23 Motions that are still awaiting for Judge Fletcher-Hill to assign a preside Judge, and/or in other Motions to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities due to knowingly and willingly: **a.)** allowing our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards for almost three decades by the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City (the Mayor and Baltimore City Council) from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and the present Mayor, Brandon Schott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter “City Council”), and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993. **b.)** having ignored for years the alleged heinous crimes against the Mayor of Baltimore City, owners of the public schools, namely, that of repetitiously and/or intentionally exposing our children to lead poisoning for decades and, thereby, violating Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committing misconduct in office, and/or committing other possible criminal acts. **c.)** refusing to prosecute for over a quarter of a century the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials, who are being alleged to have repeatedly and/or deliberately infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/ other criminal acts and, in some instances, for over 25 years. **d.)** and/or having accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or other crimes.

Since allegations are made in my Motions and in other documents that the evidence will support that these Officers of the Court have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, have invaded upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), have invaded upon my 14th

Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, it is my plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own independent investigation in regard to my 12-23-24 and my 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibits 195 and 196, respectively, on my website). Moreover, I'm alleging that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission are violating my 14th due to impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C 7 455(a) due to failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Officers of the Court since **there is an appearance that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission would be biased and/or impartial** as a result of Tanya Bernstein being hired as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission, and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which could include either being appointed by Wes Moore or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, **all** of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in my **3** separate Motions filed on 12-26-24, in other Motions, and/or in 12-23-24 Official Complaint to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or attempted to and/or the conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. Thus, it is, too, my plea that, you, Hon. President Trump, whose Inauguration Ceremony as our official Hon. 47th President of the U.S. was on 1-20-25, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to launch its own investigation into my **2** separate Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission and my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and to have supervision in overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea by the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein, are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because **there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial** due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the Wes Moore and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, **all** of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (“former Chief Judge Barbera”), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

In terms of past Findings and Conclusion by Tanya Bernstein, as evidenced by her 2018 letter (Exhibit 199 on my website) which has her Findings and Conclusion that respond to my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission (Exhibit 200 on my website), Tanya Bernstein was the presiding Investigative Counsel

who investigated my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen, who are two of the former presiding Judges in my present civil litigation, which is currently on appeal in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City and whose initial Civil Complaint was filed in 2017.

As evidenced by the lack of facts and supporting evidence declared in Tanya Bernstein's 12-27-24 and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions (Exhibit 201 and 201', respectively, on my website), which respond to the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-16-24 and 12-23-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibit 195 and 196, respectively, on my website), I'm alleging that the evidence substantiate the allegations that, like in her 2018 Findings and Conclusion, Tanya Bernstein has failed to disclose, consider, and resolve a single material fact and/or legal argument proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission that substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, namely, that the evidence support the allegations of violations of my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 by **Judge Michel Pierson**. Still too, as evidenced by the facts cited in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Orders and the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 2018, in my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, from which Tanya Bernstein responds to, other declaring the Rule 18.421, Tanya Bernstein fails to provide a shred of material facts and/or evidence that would disprove the material facts and legal arguments alleged in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission.

In Tanya Bernstein's Findings dated 1-3-25, she states that "Pursuant to Maryland Rule 18-421 (b), the allegations have been considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued because they are factually unfounded or even if proved, fail to establish "Sanctionable conduct", impairment, or disability. Please provide an accurate case name, and case number. If you have additional information in support of the allegations, please submit it in writing within the next 30 days either by mail to the address above or by email to commJD@mdcourts.gov."

Moreover, as evidenced in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions, it appears that Tanya Bernstein, simply quoted, almost verbatim, the same reasons for her conclusion in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission as she declared in her 2018 and 12-16-24 Conclusions for denying my 2018 and 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission , namely, that the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission did not constitute a meritorious complaint. However, as evidenced by her 12-27-24 and Findings and Conclusion and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, Tanya Bernstein failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings any of the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission which substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been repetitiously and/or deliberately breached by **Judge Michel Pierson**.

I believe that Tanya Bernstein has damaged her credibility because as evidenced by the lack thereof of facts as supported by the evidence in Tanya Bernstein's 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, the evidence substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Conclusion any of the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint which substantiate these allegations. Therefore, I'm requesting that the Commission assigns another Investigative Counsel to preside over the instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission and over my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), which are additional material facts and evidence to further substantiate the allegations stated above and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against **Judge Michel Pierson**. Further, I'm pleading that the Commission assigns an outside, independent Investigative Counsel to preside over this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission of additional material facts and supporting evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission. I will be sending a copy of my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website) and a copy of this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Complaint to our Hon. President Trump, whom I pray will have the Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI to launch its own independent investigation. Moreover, it is my plea that the Commission: **1.)** mandates that the new, independent Investigator substantiates his/her reasons for his/her Findings and Conclusion with, in addition to citing the Rule, the material facts being supported by the evidence. **2.)** orders the a new, independent Investigator to have copies of my Motions which have the presiding Judges' Findings and Orders cited on the first page of my Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents, which I've given Exhibit numbers on my website and to include these documents as part of the evidence in the record of the Commission.

In Conclusion, I'm alleging that the evidence supporting the material facts and legal arguments cited above, further substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein, and **Judge Michel Pierson** have used the same pattern of deceit in attempting to conceal the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or deliberately violated by Tanya Bernstein and Judge Karen Friedman, using the pattern of deceit, which includes: **1.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **2.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se. **3.)** concealing in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **4.)** concealing in her Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **5.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **6.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as proclaimed in my Official

Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se.. 7.) concealing in their Findings that the evidence in the record support the allegations that Officers of the Court exhibited judicial misconduct and obstructed justice by, amongst other things, committing the prejudicial error of perjury. 8.) and/or concealing in their Findings that the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court, as asserted in my Official Complaints, and/or as declared in my Motions.

Cc: Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General for the DOJ, the newly appointed Director for the FBI, the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland