

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct City: Balto. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-828-2023
Email: d.lady.d@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number NA

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Karen Last Name: Friedman

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto., City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): Waiting on repeated Motions for a hearing on my Motions

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/Petitioner/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: NA

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See Attachment for the additional material facts and evidence.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

See evidence that are part of the Attachments and the evidence from copies of the Exhibits from my website, www.dianawilliams.com that are requested to be submitted as evidence.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

1-28-25

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

www.cjd.state.md.us

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.

To: 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland, the State of Maryland Commission on Judicial Disabilities ("Commission"), and the Clerk of the Court for Baltimore City, Mr. Xavier Conaway

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower, whose present appeal is in the Baltimore City Circuit Court and whose Civil Case Number is 24-C-17-004535

Re: 1.) Plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 ("12-23-24 Official Complaint") and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS" forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman, namely, that of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. 2.) Plea that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission, to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein ("Tanya Berrstein") are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore ("Wes Moore") and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

Date: 1-28-25

As stated in Rule 18-421, the Commission shall refer all complaints and other written allegations of disability, impairment, or misconduct against a judge to an Investigative Counsel. Allegations must provide proof of misconduct in order to be deemed "Sanctionable Conduct". Moreover, if the Investigative Counsel concludes that the allegations presented, even if proved, would fail to constitute a cognizable basis for a complaint, as defined in Rule 18-402(h), then the Investigative Counsel shall notify the complainant and the Commission, in writing, that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for that conclusion. Further, Section (b) of this Rule does allow the Investigative Counsel to communicate with the complainant or make an inquiry under section (f) of this Rule in order to clarify general or ambiguous allegations that may suggest a disability, impairment, or sanctionable conduct. After permitting the complainant to give additional evidence to substantiate her allegations, then the Investigative Counsel may conclude under this section that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for his/her conclusion.

According to Rule 18-402(h) "Sanctionable conduct" includes a judge demonstrating misconduct, persistently failing to perform the duties of the judge's office, or conduct prejudicial to the proper administration of justice. Still too, "Sanctionable conduct" can mean that a judge has breached any of the provisions of the Maryland Code of Judicial Conduct promulgated by Title 18, Chapter 100.

As stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibits 196 on my website), because of my financial hardship at this time, I'm unable to afford the cost of printing copies of all of my numerous and lengthy Motions, Official Complaints, and other material evidence on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com that need to be submitted into the record of the Commission as evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge Karen Friedman. My loved ones help to maintain the cost of my website. Thus, it is my request that, since I give the Exhibit Number on my website to each of my signed and dated Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents when citing the document, copies of these Exhibits be made and that all of these documents be submitted as more evidence into the record of the Commission.

Below are the additional material facts as supported by the evidence and, as permitted under Rule 2-241, that substantiate the allegations as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge Karen Friedman.

A.) STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

AND MY PLEA that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 (“12-23-24 Official Complaint”) and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant **“ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS”** forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against **Judge Karen Friedman**, namely, the allegations of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091.

As proclaimed in my **3** most recent Motions filed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208', and 208'', respectively, on my website), as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission (Exhibit 196 on my website), as cited in my 11-1-23 Motions (Exhibit 155 and 156, respectively, on my website), and/or as stated in these instant **“ATTACHMENTS”**, the following are additional material facts and/or legal arguments to further substantiate the allegations my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by **Judge Karen Friedman**: **1.)** I filed my initial Civil Complaint on 9-17-17, and the Defendant filed a Motion to dismiss my Civil Complaint on 11-17-17. In response to the Defendant's Motion, I filed my first Motions in my Civil Complaint on 11-27-17 (Exhibit 39 on my website). The Heading in these Motions is entitled **“Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Cause of Action or in the Alternative Motion for More Definitive Statement and a Motion to Amend the Punitive Damages in the Plaintiff's Complaints of Breach of Contract, Property Loss, and Additional Emotional Distress”**. **2.)** In her Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-22-17 (Exhibit 47 on my website), **Judge Karen Friedman**, the first judge to preside over my civil litigation, denied my 11-27-17 Motions and granted the Defendant's Motion to dismiss my Civil Complaint. The evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts asserted in Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order and the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments declared in my 11-27-17 Motions (Exhibit 39 on my website), from which Judge Karen Friedman responds to, substantiate the declarations that Judge Karen Friedman fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Order any of the material facts and legal arguments in the body of my Motions, which substantiate the Heading in my 11-27-17 Motions. **3.)** In response to Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 12-22-17, which deny my 11-27-17 Motions, I filed my 1-3-18 Motions and my 2-1-18 Motions (Exhibits 35 and 33, respectively, on my website). **4.)** The heading in my 1-3-18 Motions is entitled **“MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION , FOR A NEW TRIAL BY JURY, TO ENTER A NEW JUDGMENT BECAUSE OF ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, AND GRANTING OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PUNITIVE DAMAGE IN THE PLAINTIFF'S CIVILCOMPLAINTS [should be “COMPLAINT”]**”. And, the Heading in my 2-1-18 Motions is entitled **“MOTIONS FOR SUBSTITUTION, TO DISMISS JUDGE FRIEDMAN'S ORDERS, AND TO HAVE ANOTHER JUDGE CONSIDER**

THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION, FOR A NEW TRIAL BY JURY, TO ENTER A NEW JUDGMENT BECAUSE OF ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, AND GRANTING OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PUNITIVE DAMAGE IN THE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL COMPLAINTS [should be COMPLAINT]". 5.) Judge Karen Friedman denies my 1-3-18 Motions in her Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 1-31-18 (Exhibit 48 on my website) and denies my 2-1-18 Motions in her Findings and Order filed on the Circuit Court's website on 3-1-18 (Exhibit 49 on my website). The evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts professed in Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order and the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments proclaimed in my 1-3-18 Motions and in my 2-1-18 Motions, from which Judge Karen Friedman responds to, substantiate the assertions that Judge Karen Friedman fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Order any of the material facts and legal arguments in the body of my 1-3-18 and 2-1-18 Motions, which support the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 were being frequently encroached upon by Judge Karen Friedman. 6.) While researching causes for filing my next Motions, which would respond to Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Orders entered on the Circuit Court's website on 1-31-18 and on 3-1-18, I believe that, through DIVINE providence, it was revealed to me that Judge Karen Friedman was appointed by Martin O'Malley, the former Mayor of Baltimore City, former Governor of Maryland, and who is, at the time of writing of this 2nd Addendum, the Commissioner of the Social Security, who was sworn in on December 20, 2023. 7.) Although during this time, I didn't have the depth of the knowledge and/or understanding of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 as I do presently, I did understand that Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) mandates that disqualify and recuse herself as the presiding Judge because there was an appearance that Judge Karen Friedman would be biased and/or impartial in presiding over my civil litigation because she was appointed in 2014 to the distinguished position of an Administrative Judge by Martin O'Malley, who is being attested in my Motions and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have repeatedly and consciously tampered with Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or having attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 8.) In response to Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 1-31-18, which denies my 1-3-18 Motions, I filed my Motions dated 2-1-18 (Exhibit 33 on my website), which include my first Motion for Substitution of Judge Karen Friedman as the presiding Judge over my civil litigation due to there is an appearance that Judge Karen Friedman could be partial and/or biased as the presiding Judge as a result of her being appointed in 2014 to the prestige position of an Administrative Judge by Martin O'Malley, who is being avowed in my Civil Complaint to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or having attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. 9.) The Heading in my 2-1-18 Motions is entitled "**MOTIONS FOR SUBSTITUTION, TO DISMISS JUDGE FRIEDMAN'S ORDERS, AND TO HAVE ANOTHER JUDGE CONSIDER THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION, FOR A NEW TRIAL BY JURY, TO ENTER A NEW JUDGMENT BECAUSE OF ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE, and GRANTING OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PUNITIVE DAMAGE IN THE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL COMPLAINT**". 10.) In my 2-1-18 Motions, amongst other causes for a plea of substitution of Judge Karen Friedman as the presiding Judge, I state that**"due to a conflict of interest and/or the appearance of a conflict of interest and/or being**

impartial and/or having the appearance of impartiality and, thereby, breaching Canons 1 and 2 in the Judicial Code of Conduct for Judges. Canon 1 stipulates that a judge shall uphold and promote the independence, integrity, and impartiality of the judiciary, and shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety. And, Canon 2 cites that a judge shall perform the duties of judicial office impartially, competently, and diligently. Judge Friedman was one of the judges appointed in 2014 to Maryland Eighth Circuit Baltimore City by the Former Governor of Maryland, Martin O'Malley".....

11.) In responding to the Defendant's Motion to dismiss my 2-1-18 Motions, I filed my Motions dated 2-15-18 (Exhibit 34 on my website). The Heading in my 2-15-18 Motions is entitled "PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR SUBSTITUTION, TO DISMISS JUDGE FRIEDMANS' ORDERS, AND TO HAVE ANOTHER JUDGE CONSIDER THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION FOR A NEW TRIAL, TO ENTER A NEW JUDGMENT BECAUSE OF ADDITION EVIDENCE, AND GRANTING OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PUNITIVE DAMAGE IN THE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL COMPLAINTS [should be "COMPLAINT"]". 12.) Judge Karen Friedman denies my 2-1-18 Motions and my 2-15-18 Motions (Exhibits 33 and 34, respectively, on my website) in her Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 3-1-11 (Exhibit 48 on my website). The evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts asserted in Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order and the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments declared in my 2-1-18 and 2-15-18 Motions, from which Judge Karen Friedman responds to, substantiate the assertions that Judge Karen Friedman, again, fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Order any of the material facts and legal arguments, which includes the proclamations that my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 were being repetitiously intruded upon by Judge Karen Friedman because of repeated refusals to disqualify and recuse herself as mandated under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a). 13.) I believe that it was through DIVINE providence that I was led to continue to research, read, and study Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Canons 1, 2, and 3 of the Judicial Code of Conduct for Judges (hereinafter "Canons"), which, in turn, led me to learn about other federal and/or state laws that Judge Karen Friedman appeared to be infringing upon as the presiding Judge. Moreover, as evidenced by the facts asserted in and/or the lack thereof of facts stated in the 3 Findings and Orders from Judge Karen Friedman's (Exhibits 47, 48, and 49, respectively, on my website) and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments declared in my Motions (Exhibits 35, 33, and 34, respectively, on my website), from which Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Orders responds to, Judge Karen Friedman responds to my Motions by continuously ordering that my Motions be denied and that my civil case be closed without out disclosing, considering, and resolving any of the material fact or legal arguments in any of my Motions. 14.) The evidence supports the statements in my Motions that Judge Karen Friedman continually and premeditatedly breached my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 due to Judge Karen Friedman's repetitious failures to voluntarily disqualify and recuse herself as the presiding Judge because there is an appearance that she would be biased and/or impartial as a result of being appointed to the stellar Administrative position in 2014 by Martin O'Malley, who is being alleged in my Motions and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 15.) I believe that the SPIRIT OF TRUTH led me to understand that I needed to file an Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman, and, thus, during

the same time period, I worked on writing my next Motions and writing my Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman. Included in my Motions filed on 3-9-18 (Exhibit 38 on my website), which respond to Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 3-1-18, is another "Motion for Disqualification" against Judge Karen Friedman for flouting my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 because she fails to voluntarily disqualify and recuse herself from presiding over my civil litigation as ordered under Federal Statute, 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) because there is an appearance of Judge Karen Friedman being bias and/or impartial as a result of her superlative appointment by Martin O'Malley in 2014, who is being purported in my 3-9-18 Motions and in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have impinged upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to fracture Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against Humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 16.) After I filed my Motions 3-9-18 in the Circuit Court, which respond to Judge Karen Friedman's Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 3-1-18, I went to the post office located on the 1st floor of the Circuit Court and mailed the original copy of my Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman (Exhibit 37 on my website) to you, 45^{th-47th} Hon. President Trump. 17.) Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to preside over my civil litigation assigned himself as the presiding Judge to respond to my 3-9-18 Motions in his Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court's website on 3-30-18.

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

B.) AND MY PLEA that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein ("Tanya Bernstein") are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore ("Wes Moore") and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"),

and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities

According to the Commission's organizational structure, Tanya Bernstein is employed as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission. The Commission is appointed by the Governor, which includes either being appointed by Wes Moore and/or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, who, along with former Chief judge Barbera, the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, and all of the former presiding Judges in my present appeal in the In Banc Review in my civil litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, and/or other government officials are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions mailed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208', and 208'', respectively, on my website), in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), in my 3 separate Motions filed on 10-15-24 (Exhibits 210, 210', and 210'', respectively on my website), and/or in my 11-1-23 Motions that are still awaiting for Judge Fletcher-Hill to assign a preside Judge, and/or in other Motions to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities due to knowingly and willingly: a.) allowing our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards for almost three decades by the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City (the Mayor and Baltimore City Council) from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and the present Mayor, Brandon Schott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter “City Council”), and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993. b.) having ignored for years the alleged heinous crimes against the Mayor of Baltimore City, owners of the public schools, namely, that of repetitiously and/or intentionally exposing our children to lead poisoning for decades and, thereby, violating Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committing misconduct in office, and/or committing other possible criminal acts. c.) refusing to prosecute for over a quarter of a century the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials, who are being alleged to have repeatedly and/or deliberately infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/ other criminal acts and, in some instances, for over 25 years. d.) and/or having accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or other crimes.

Since allegations are made in my Motions and in other documents that the evidence will support that these Officers of the Court have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code,

18 U.S.C & 1091, have invaded upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), have invaded upon my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, it is my plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own independent investigation in regard to my 12-23-24 and my 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibits 195 and 196, respectively, on my website). Moreover, I'm alleging that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission are violating my 14th due to impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C 7 455(a) due to failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Officers of the Court since there is an appearance that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission would be biased and/or impartial as a result of Tanya Bernstein being hired as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission, and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which could include either being appointed by Wes Moore or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions filed on 12-26-24, in other Motions, and/or in 12-23-24 Official Complaint to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or attempted to and/or the conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. Thus, it is, too, my plea that, you, Hon. President Trump, whose Inauguration Ceremony as our official Hon. 47th President of the U.S. was on 1-20-25, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to launch its own investigation into my 2 separate Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission and my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and to have supervision in overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea by the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein, are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the Wes Moore and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (“former Chief Judge Barbera”), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

In terms of past Findings and Conclusion by Tanya Bernstein, as evidenced by her 2018 letter (Exhibit 199 on my website) which has her Findings and Conclusion that respond to my 2018 Official Complaint

(Exhibit 200 on my website), Tanya Bernstein was the presiding Investigative Counsel who investigated my 2018 Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen, who are two of the former presiding Judges in my present civil litigation, which is currently on appeal in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City and whose initial Civil Complaint was filed in 2017.

As evidenced by the lack of facts and supporting evidence declared in Tanya Bernstein's 12-27-24 and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions (Exhibit 201 and 201', respectively, on my website), which respond to the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-16-24 and 12-23-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibit 195 and 196, respectively, on my website), I'm alleging that the evidence substantiate the allegations that, like in her 2018 Findings and Conclusion, Tanya Bernstein has failed to disclose, consider, and resolve a single material fact and/or legal argument proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission that substantiate the allegations in my Official Complaint to the Commission, namely, that the evidence support the allegations of violations of my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 by Judge Karen Friedman. Still too, as evidenced by the facts cited in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Orders and the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 2018, in my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, from which Tanya Bernstein responds to, other declaring the Rule 18.421, Tanya Bernstein fails to provide a shred of material facts and/or evidence that would disprove the material facts and legal arguments alleged in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission.

In Tanya Bernstein's Findings dated 1-3-25, she states that "Pursuant to Maryland Rule 18-421 (b), the allegations have been considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued because they are factually unfounded or even if proved, fail to establish "Sanctionable conduct", impairment, or disability. Please provide an accurate case name, and case number. If you have additional information in support of the allegations, please submit it in writing within the next 30 days either by mail to the address above or by email to commJD@mdcourts.gov."

Moreover, as evidenced in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions, it appears that Tanya Bernstein, simply quoted, almost verbatim, the same reasons for her conclusion in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint as she declared in her 2018 and 12-16-24 Conclusions for denying my 2018 and 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, that the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission did not constitute a meritorious complaint. However, as evidenced by her 12-27-24 and Findings and Conclusion and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, Tanya Bernstein failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings any of the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission which substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been repetitiously and/or deliberately breached by Judge Karen Friedman.

I believe that Tanya Bernstein has damaged her credibility because as evidenced by the lack thereof of facts as supported by the evidence in Tanya Bernstein's 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, the evidence substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Conclusion any of the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint which substantiate these allegations. Therefore, I'm requesting that the Commission assigns another Investigative Counsel to preside over the instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission and over my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), which are additional material facts and evidence to further substantiate the allegations stated above and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman. Further, I'm pleading that the Commission assigns an outside, independent Investigative Counsel to preside over this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission of additional material facts and supporting evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission. I will be sending a copy of my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website) and a copy of this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Complaint to our Hon. President Trump, whom I pray will have the Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI to launch its own independent investigation. Moreover, it is my plea that the Commission: **1.)** mandates that the new, independent Investigator substantiates his/her reasons for his/her Findings and Conclusion with, in addition to citing the Rule, the material facts being supported by the evidence. **2.)** orders the a new, independent Investigator to have copies of my Motions which have the presiding Judges' Findings and Orders cited on the first page of my Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents, which I've given Exhibit numbers on my website and to include these documents as part of the evidence in the record of the Commission.

In Conclusion, I'm alleging that the evidence supporting the material facts and legal arguments cited above, further substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein, and Judge Karen Friedman, have used the same pattern of deceit in attempting to conceal the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or deliberately violated by Tanya Bernstein and Judge Karen Friedman, using the pattern of deceit, which includes: **1.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **2.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se. **3.)** concealing in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **4.)** concealing in her Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **5.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **6.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as proclaimed in my Official

Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se.. 7.) concealing in their Findings that the evidence in the record support the allegations that Officers of the Court exhibited judicial misconduct and obstructed justice by, amongst other things, committing the prejudicial error of perjury. 8.) and/or concealing in their Findings that the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court, as asserted in my Official Complaints, and/or as declared in my Motions.

Cc: Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General for the DOJ, the newly appointed Director for the FBI, the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland