

COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

First Name: Diana Last Name: Williams
Address: 131 Calvin Hill Ct City: Balto. State: Md. Zip Code: 21222
Phone Number: 410-868-6013
Email: dlady-d@verizon.net

Preferred Title and Pronoun:

- Ms.
- Mr.
- Judge
- Dr.
- She/Her
- He/Him
- They/Them
- Other _____

If you are currently incarcerated, please check the box below and provide your Inmate Number:

Inmate ID Number NA

JUDGE INFORMATION

First Name: Martin Last Name: Sreiber II

Court:

- Supreme Court of Maryland
- Appellate Court of Maryland
- Circuit Court
- District Court
- Orphans' Court

County/City: Balto., City

CASE INFORMATION

If your complaint is related to a court proceeding, please provide the information requested below. If not, please write NONE and proceed to the next section.

Case Name: _____

Case Number (include all letters and numbers): 24-C-17-004535

Case Type:

- Civil
- Criminal
- Family/Domestic
- Juvenile
- Probate
- Traffic
- Protective/Peace Order
- Sexual Harassment
- Other _____

Date(s) of Hearing(s) or Other Proceeding(s): Waiting on my repeated Motions for a hearing on my Motions

Case Status:

- Pending
- Concluded
- Appealed

Relationship to the case:

- Plaintiff/~~Petitioner~~/Appellant
- Defendant/Respondent/Appellee
- Attorney for _____
- Witness for _____
- Relative/Friend of _____
- Other _____

ATTORNEY INFORMATION

If you were represented by an attorney, please provide the information requested below. If not, please proceed to the next section.

Name: NA I'm being represented Pro Se.

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

WITNESS INFORMATION

Please provide the names and contact information for any witnesses to the judge's alleged sanctionable conduct, impairment, and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

Name: _____

Address: NA

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Please provide a detailed summary of your complaint. Please include specific facts, names, dates, locations, and other information that support your allegations that the judge engaged in sanctionable conduct and/or suffers from an impairment and/or disability. You may attach additional pages under "Supporting Materials" as necessary.

See Attachment for additional material facts and evidence.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Please submit copies of any relevant printed materials that support your complaint. Submitted materials will not be returned to you. Do not submit original documents or any flash drives, CDs, DVDs, or other physical devices used to store data. You do not need to submit copies of transcripts or recordings of court proceedings as the Commission will access such information independently, if necessary.

See evidence that are part of the Attachments and the evidence from the copies of the Exhibits from my website, www.dianarwilliams.com that are requested to be submitted as evidence.

I understand that:

- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have authority to change, modify, or reverse a judge's decision in a case;
- The Commission on Judicial Disabilities does not have the authority to remove a judge from a case; and
- Filing this complaint is not an appeal or a substitute for an appeal.

I solemnly affirm under the penalties of perjury that the contents of the foregoing document are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signature:  _____ Date: 1-28-25

FAILURE TO SIGN THIS FORM MAY RESULT IN DISMISSAL OF YOUR COMPLAINT.

Printed forms can be mailed to:

Commission on Judicial Disabilities
P.O. Box 340
Linthicum Heights, Maryland 21090

Electronic forms can be submitted at:

www.cjd.state.md.us

Complaints cannot be submitted by telephone, fax, or email.

To: 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland, the State of Maryland Commission on Judicial Disabilities ("Commission"), and the Clerk of the Court for Baltimore City, Mr. Xavier Conaway

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower, whose ~~present appeal is in the Baltimore City Circuit Court~~ and whose Civil Case Number is 24-C-17-004535

Re: 1.) Plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 ("12-23-24 Official Complaint") and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant "ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS" forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against Judge M. Schreiber, II, namely, that of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. 2.) Plea that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission, to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein ("Tanya Bernstein") are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore ("Wes Moore") and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

Date: 1-28-25

As stated in Rule 18-421, the Commission shall refer all complaints and other written allegations of disability, impairment, or misconduct against a judge to an Investigative Counsel. Allegations must provide proof of misconduct in order to be deemed "Sanctionable Conduct". Moreover, if the Investigative Counsel concludes that the allegations presented, even if proved, would fail to constitute a cognizable basis for a complaint, as defined in Rule 18-402(h), then the Investigative Counsel shall notify the complainant and the Commission, in writing, that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for that conclusion. Further, Section (b) of this Rule does allow the Investigative Counsel to communicate with the complainant or make an inquiry under section (f) of this Rule in order to clarify general or ambiguous allegations that may suggest a disability, impairment, or sanctionable conduct. After permitting the complainant to give additional evidence to substantiate her allegations, then the Investigative Counsel may conclude under this section that the allegations presented were considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued and the reasons for his/her conclusion.

According to Rule 18-402(h) "Sanctionable conduct" includes a judge demonstrating misconduct, persistently failing to perform the duties of the judge's office, or conduct prejudicial to the proper administration of justice. Still too, "Sanctionable conduct" can mean that a judge has breached any of the provisions of the Maryland Code of Judicial Conduct promulgated by Title 18, Chapter 100.

As stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibits 196 on my website), because of my financial hardship at this time, I'm unable to afford the cost of printing copies of all of my numerous and lengthy Motions, Official Complaints, and other material evidence on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com that need to be submitted into the record of the Commission as evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge M. Schreiber, II. My loved ones help to maintain the cost of my website. Thus, it is my request that, since I give the Exhibit Number on my website to each of my signed and dated Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents when citing the document, copies of these Exhibits be made and that all of these documents be submitted as more evidence into the record of the Commission.

Below are the additional material facts as supported by the evidence and, as permitted under Rule 2-241, that substantiate the allegations as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, namely, that my 14th Amendment Right, my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by Judge M. Schreiber, II.

A.) STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

AND MY PLEA that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, the newly appointed U.S. Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own investigation into the allegations cited in my Official Complaint to the Commission completed on 12-23-24 but mailed on 12-26-24 (“12-23-24 Official Complaint”) and the additional material facts and evidence in this instant **“ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS”** forms to the Commission, which further to substantiate the same allegations, proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against **Judge M. Schreiber, II**, namely, the allegations of breaching my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, especially since there are allegations of these Officers of the Court violating the federal crimes Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091.

As proclaimed in my **3** most recent Motions filed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208’, and 208’’, respectively, on my website), as asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission (Exhibit 196 on my website), as declared in my 11-1-23 Motions (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website) and/or as stated in these **instant “ATTACHMENTS”**, the following are additional material facts and/or legal arguments to further substantiate the allegations my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or intentionally violated by **Judge M. Schreiber, II**: **1.)** As evidenced by the name on the Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 10-20-23 (Exhibit 154 on my website), which respond to my 9-8-23 Motions (Exhibits 147 and 148, respectively, on my website), Judge J. Geller, the third new presiding Judge did not preside over 9-8-23 Motions, but a fourth new Judge by the name of Judge M. Schreiber II, presided over my 9-8-23 Motions. **2.)** Before filing my response to Findings and Order of Judge M. Schreiber II entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 10-20-23, I researched his it was revealed that Judge M. Schreiber II was appointed to the honored position as an Administrative Judge 2022 by another former Governor of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, who is, too, being alleged in my Motions to have violated Federal U.S Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091- Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18, U.S.C. & 1091U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide (“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. **3.)** In his Findings and Order, Judge M. Schreiber II states that “Upon consideration of Petitioner’s 1st Motion for Disqualification Against Judge J, Geller for Violating the Petitioner’s 14th Amendment Right, etc.” (DE #46/0), it is hereby this 12th day of October, 2023, by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ORDERED, that: The Motion (Paper No. 46/0) is DENIED.” **4.)** As evidenced in the facts cited in and/or the lack thereof of facts stated in the Findings and Order of Judge M. Schreiber II entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 10-20-23, Judge M. Schreiber II Judge did not disclose, consider, and resolve the material fact that, because he was appointed by Larry Hogan as an Administrative Judge 2022, he should have voluntarily disqualified and recused himself as the presiding Judge as mandated

by Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 due to his breaching my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C., Section 242 because of there being an appearance of him being impartial and/or biased as a result of being appointed by another former Governor of Maryland, Larry Hogan, who is, also, being alleged in my Motions and/or in my 2nd Addendum to our Hon. President to have impinged upon Federal U.S Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091- Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18, U.S.C. & 1091U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide(“Crimes against humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other crimes. Further, as evidenced by the facts declared in the Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 10-20-23 (Exhibit 154 on my website) and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments cited in my 9-8-23 Motions (Exhibits 147 and 148, respectively, on my website), from which the fourth new presiding Judge responds to, Judge M. Schreiber II fails, also, to disclose, consider, and resolve in his Findings and Order any of the material facts and legal arguments in my 9-8-23 Motions, which include the “assertions of my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 being routinely and/or deliberately intruded upon by Judge G. Geller, Judge John Nugent, the “No Name” Judge, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the panel of In Banc Judges, and/or the other former presiding Judges” which include the allegations that these Judges violated Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 because of their repetitious and/or consistent refusals to adhere to the Rule of Law, namely, to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges as ordered under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), and his/her ORDER be deemed void as a matter of law and ,thus, be of no legal force or effect due to there is an appearance that Judge J. Geller, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and each of the other former presiding Judges would be biased and/or impartial due to being appointed to their distinctive Administrative positions by Martin O’Malley, and/or Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged in my 4-17-22, 2-13-20 and 2-19-20 Motions, in my Memorandum, in other Motions, in my 2nd Addendum to our Hon. President, and/or in my 2017 Civil Complaint to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to rupture Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. 5.) In response to Judge M. Schreiber’s Findings and Order entered on the Circuit Court’s website on 10-20-23 (Exhibit 154 on my website), I filed my 11-1-23 Motions (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website). The Heading in my 11-1-23 Motions is entitled **“1.) 1ST MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II FOR VIOLATING THE PETITIONER’S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 DUE TO HIS BREACHING FEDERAL STATUE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, ALSO, COMMITTING “FRAUD UPON THE COURT”, TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. 2.) 1ST MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE 10-20-23 JUDGMENT OF JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II DUE TO HIS VIOLATIONS OF THE PETITIONER’S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C, SECTION 242 AS A RESULT OF HIS: A.) VIOLATING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 IN FAILING TO DISQUALIFY AND RECUSE HIMSELF AS A PRESIDNG JUDGE DUE TO THE APPEARANCE OF HIM BEING IMPARTIAL AND/OR BIASED BECAUSE OF HIS APPOINTMENT BY THE FORMER GOVERNOR OF MARYLAND, LARRY HOGAN, WHO IS, ALSO, BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE . B.)**

VIOLATING ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO HAVE A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS FROM HER APPEAL IN THE BANC REVIEW. C.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES DUE TO THEIR REPEATEDLY AND/OR INTENTIONALLY BREACHING FEDERAL STATUE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, ALSO, REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND/OR INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. D.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND/OR DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW DUE TO THEIR REPEATED AND/OR INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO GRANT THE PETITIONER AN ORAL HEARING BEFORE THE PANEL DECIDED TO DENY THE PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR AN IN BANC REVIEW. E.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 10-20-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPOND TO THE PETITIONER'S 9-8-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND INTENTIONALLY INFRINGED UPON BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL DUE TO HIS REPEATED AND DELIBERATE VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C). 3.) 1ST MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, ASSIGN A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY WES MOORE, LARRY HOGAN, MARTIN O'MALLEY, CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, OR BY ANY GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WHO ARE BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR HAVE CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE IN THE PETITIONER'S 2-27-23 MOTIONS. 4.) 9TH MOTION FOR ALL JUDGMENTS BY JUDGE M. SCHREIBER II, JUDGE J. GELLER, JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES, JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, AND/OR BY JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN BE SET ASIDE AND DEEM ALL OF THEIR ORDERS AS VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER FEDERAL STATUE 28 U.S.C & 455(A). 5.) 11TH MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS MANDATED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311. 6.) 7TH MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 7.) 3RD MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT FILED ON 3-23-23 SINCE THERE IS NO WRITTEN OR STAMPED SIGNATURE OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT ON THE 3-21-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER. 8.) 4TH MOTION TO HAVE THE JUDGE-IN- CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, ORDER THE CLERK TO FILE ON THE CIRCUIT COURT'S WEBSITE THE HEADING OF THE PETITIONER'S MOTIONS VERBATIM". 6.) Included in my 11-1-23 Motions as Exhibit 1 is my 6th URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION to Gov. Moore, which was sent by certified mail on this same day. 7.) As evidenced on the Court's website which give the status of my Motions and the Findings and Order by the presiding Judge, my 11-1-23 Motions (Exhibits 155 and 156, respectively, on my website are yet to be assigned a

presiding Judge by Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of presiding over assigning Judges to my present civil case on appeal in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City.

STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL MATERIAL FACTS AND EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE

ALLEGATIONS CITED ABOVE

B.) AND MY PLEA that you, Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to be in charge of overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea to the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel to preside over my 12-23-24 Official Complaint, that is, an Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein (“Tanya Bernstein”) are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because **there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial** due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore (“Wes Moore”) and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O’Malley, **all** of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland (“former Chief Judge Barbera”), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities

According to the Commission’s organizational structure, Tanya Bernstein is employed as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission. The Commission is appointed by the Governor, which includes either being appointed by Wes Moore and/or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O’Malley, who, along with former Chief judge Barbera, the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the two presiding Judges, namely, Judge Dorsey, Charles, III and Judge M. Schreiber II, and **all** of the former presiding Judges in my present appeal in the In Banc Review in my civil litigation in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City, and/or other government officials are being alleged in my **3** separate Motions mailed on 12-26-24 (Exhibits 208, 208’, and 208”, respectively, on my website), in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), in my **3** separate Motions filed on 10-15-24 (Exhibits 210, 210’, and 210”, respectively, on my website), and/or in my 11-1-23 Motions that are still awaiting for Judge Fletcher-Hill to assign a preside Judge , and/or in other Motions to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, (“Crimes against Humanity”), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or

have committed other criminal activities due to knowingly and willingly: a.) allowing our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards for almost three decades by the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City (the Mayor and Baltimore City Council) from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and the present Mayor, Brandon Schott, against all of the present members of the City Council of Baltimore City (hereinafter "City Council"), and against those who were members of the City Council since at least 1993. b.) having ignored for years the alleged heinous crimes against the Mayor of Baltimore City, owners of the public schools, namely, that of repetitiously and/or intentionally exposing our children to lead poisoning for decades and, thereby, violating Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempting to and/or conspiring to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committing misconduct in office, and/or committing other possible criminal acts. c.) refusing to prosecute for over a quarter of a century the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials, who are being alleged to have repeatedly and/or deliberately infringed upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/ other criminal acts and, in some instances, for over 25 years. d.) and/or having accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 ("Crimes against humanity"), committed misconduct in office, and/or other crimes.

Since allegations are made in my Motions and in other documents that the evidence will support that these Officers of the Court have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempted to and/or the conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, have invaded upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), have invaded upon my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311, it is my plea that our 45th - 47th Hon. President Trump, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to conduct its own independent investigation in regard to my 12-23-24 and my 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibits 195 and 196, respectively, on my website). Moreover, I'm alleging that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission are violating my 14th due to impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C 7 455(a) due to failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Officers of the Court since there is an appearance that Tanya Bernstein and the Commission would be biased and/or impartial as a result of Tanya Bernstein being hired as the Director/Investigative Counsel for the Commission, and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which could include either being appointed by Wes Moore or by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan, and Martin O'Malley, all of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera, are being alleged in my 3 separate Motions filed on 12-26-24, in other Motions, and/or in 12-23-24 Official Complaint to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or attempted to and/or the conspired to

breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091. Thus, it is, too, my plea that, you, Hon. President Trump, whose Inauguration Ceremony as our official Hon. 47th President of the U.S. was on 1-20-25, will have our Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General of the DOJ, the newly appointed Director of the FBI, or the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland to launch its own investigation into my 2 separate Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission and my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and to have supervision in overseeing the Commission to ensure the granting of my plea by the Commission to immediately assign another Investigative Counsel who does not work under the Commission to preside over a thorough investigation of the material facts and evidence, especially since it is being alleged by me that the Commission and the Director/Investigative Counsel, Tanya Bernstein, are violating my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 as a result of impinging upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as the presiding Officers of the Court because **there is an appearance that the Commission and Tanya Bernstein would be biased and/or impartial** due to Tanya Bernstein being employed by the Commission and the Commission being appointed by the Governor, which means either being appointed by the Wes Moore and/or being appointed by one of the two former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan or Martin O'Malley, **all** of whom, along with former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("former Chief Judge Barbera"), the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, the Mayor and City Council Members, the Judges, and/or other governmental are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, ("Crimes against Humanity"), and/or the attempt to and/or the conspiracy to invade upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal activities.

In terms of past Findings and Conclusion by Tanya Bernstein, as evidenced by her 2018 letter (Exhibit 199 on my website) which has her Findings and Conclusion that respond to my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission (Exhibit 200 on my website), Tanya Bernstein was the presiding Investigative Counsel who investigated my 2018 Official Complaint to the Commission against Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen, who are two of the former presiding Judges in my present **civil litigation**, which is currently on appeal in the In Banc Review in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City and whose initial Civil Complaint was filed in 2017.

As evidenced by the lack of facts and supporting evidence declared in Tanya Bernstein's 12-27-24 and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions (Exhibit 201 and 201', respectively, on my website), which respond to the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-16-24 and 12-23-24 Official Complaints to the Commission (Exhibit 195 and 196, respectively, on my website), I'm alleging that the evidence substantiate the allegations that, like in her 2018 Findings and Conclusion, Tanya Bernstein has failed to disclose, consider, and resolve a **single** material fact and/or legal argument proclaimed in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission that substantiate the allegations in my Official Complaint to the Commission, namely, that the evidence support the allegations of violations of my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 by **Judge M. Schreiber, II**. Still too, as evidenced by the facts cited in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and

Orders and the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 2018, in my 12-16-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, from which Tanya Bernstein responds to, other declaring the Rule 18.421, Tanya Bernstein fails to provide a shred of material facts and/or evidence that would disprove the material facts and legal arguments alleged in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission.

In Tanya Bernstein's Findings dated 1-3-25, she states that "Pursuant to Maryland Rule 18-421 (b), the allegations have been considered and found not to constitute a meritorious complaint that should be pursued because they are factually unfounded or even if proved, fail to establish "Sanctionable conduct", impairment, or disability. Please provide an accurate case name, and case number. If you have additional information in support of the allegations, please submit it in writing within the next 30 days either by mail to the address above or by email to commJD@mdcourts.gov."

Moreover, as evidenced in her 2018, 12-27-24, and 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions, it appears that Tanya Bernstein, simply quoted, almost verbatim, the same reasons for her conclusion in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission as she declared in her 2018 and 12-16-24 Conclusions for denying my 2018 and 12-16-24 Official Complaints to the Commission, namely, that the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint did not constitute a meritorious complaint. However, as evidenced by her 12-27-24 and Findings and Conclusion and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, Tanya Bernstein failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings any of the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission which substantiate the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been repetitiously and/or deliberately breached by **Judge M. Schreiber, II.**

I believe that Tanya Bernstein has damaged her credibility because as evidenced by the lack thereof of facts as supported by the evidence in Tanya Bernstein's 1-3-25 Findings and Conclusions and as evidenced by the material facts and legal arguments in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission, the evidence substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein fails to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings and Conclusion any of the material facts and legal arguments stated in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission which substantiate these allegations. Therefore, I'm requesting that the Commission assigns another Investigative Counsel to preside over the instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATEMENT OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission and over my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website), which are additional material facts and evidence to further substantiate the allegations stated above and in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint against **Judge M. Schreiber, II.** Further, I'm pleading that the Commission assigns an outside, independent Investigative Counsel to preside over this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" forms to the Commission of additional material facts and supporting evidence to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Official Complaint to the Commission. I will be sending a copy of my 12-23-24 Complaint (Exhibit 196 on my website) and a copy of this instant "**ATTACHMENTS TO THE STATE OF FACTS**" to further substantiate the allegations in my 12-23-24 Complaint to our Hon. President Trump, whom I pray will have the Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney of the DOJ, or the newly appointed Director of

the FBI to launch its own independent investigation. Moreover, it is my plea that the Commission: **1.)** mandates that the new, independent Investigator substantiates his/her reasons for his/her Findings and Conclusion with, in addition to citing the Rule, the material facts being supported by the evidence. **2.)** orders the a new, independent Investigator to have copies of my Motions which have the presiding Judges' Findings and Orders cited on the first page of my Motions, my Official Complaints, and other documents, which I've given Exhibit numbers on my website and to include these documents as part of the evidence in the record of the Commission.

In Conclusion, I'm alleging that the evidence supporting the material facts and legal arguments cited above, further substantiate the allegations that Tanya Bernstein, and **Judge M. Schreiber, II** have used the same pattern of deceit in attempting to conceal the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right, my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 28 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution, and/or Maryland Rule 2-311 have been redundantly and/or deliberately violated by Tanya Bernstein and Judge M. Schreiber, II using the pattern of deceit, which includes: **1.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **2.)** misstating, suppressing, and/or misrepresenting in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments asserted in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se. **3.)** concealing in their Findings the material facts and legal arguments stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **4.)** concealing in her Findings the material facts and legal arguments cited in Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se that are contrary to her Findings and failing to disclose, consider, and resolve in her Findings these differences as substantiated by the evidence in the record. **5.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as stated in the Findings of other Officers of the Court. **6.)** failing to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments as proclaimed in my Official Complaints and/or as declared in the Motions and as being represented Pro Se.. **7.)** concealing in their Findings that the evidence in the record support the allegations that Officers of the Court exhibited judicial misconduct and obstructed justice by, amongst other things, committing the prejudicial error of perjury. **8.)** and/or concealing in their Findings that the material facts and legal arguments cited in the Findings of other Officers of the Court, as asserted in my Official Complaints, and/or as declared in my Motions.

Cc: Hon. Military Tribunal, the newly appointed Attorney General for the DOJ, the newly appointed Director for the FBI, the newly appointed U.S Attorney for Maryland