

12-27-22 Motions on the Circuit Court's website to reflect what is clearly cited in the Heading of the Petitioner's Motions.

As declared in the Petitioner's 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to her 2-18-20 Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, against Judge Carrion, against Judge Phinn, against Judge Rubin, against Judge Michel Pierson, against Judge Karen Friedman, against Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals, against Martin O'Malley, and/or against other Officers of the Court, the Petitioner alleges that, when she checked the Circuit Court's website on 12-30-22 and noticed that the Heading in her 12-27-22 Motions was still not written, verbatim, on the Circuit Court's website, she called the Clerk of the Court's office and asked to speak to Mr. Xavier Conaway, the newly elected Clerk of the Court for Baltimore City. Further, the Petitioner declares that the female who answered the telephone told the Petitioner her name, informed her that she was Mr. Conaway's Assistant, and inquired as to why the Petitioner wanted to speak with Mr. Conaway. The Petitioner asserts that she explained to "Ms. A." (whose name the Petitioner will not declare in order to protect the innocent) that the clerk did not state, verbatim, the Heading of her 12-27-22 Motions on the Circuit Court's website and that the Petitioner had encountered the same problem with having the Heading of her 8-11-22 Motions being written precisely as the Heading in her 8-11-22 Motions until she spoke with "Ms." who assured the Petitioner on 8-22-22 and kept her promise in having the Heading of the Petitioner's 8-11-22 Motions entered on the Circuit Court's website exactly as it is stated in the Heading of her Motions on this same day. Furthermore, the Petitioner declares that she informed "Ms. A" that it appears that, if a clerk fails to proclaim, verbatim, on the Circuit Court's website the Heading of her Motions, which is viewed publicly, then that clerk could be guilty of committing Fraud. The Petitioner alleges that "Ms. A" informed her that she would get back to her by the end of the work day. The Petitioner declares that, before 5:00 p.m. on this same day, she checked her telephone messages and discovered that "Ms. A", left a message in which "Ms. A", amongst other things, informed the Petitioner that she had sent emails to the individuals that the Petitioner had spoken to her about over the telephone and that she and/or they would be in touch with the Petitioner after January 3, 2023. The Petitioner proclaims that she immediately, called "Ms. A", informed her that she had received her message, and told "Ms. A" that she wanted her to compare what is written on the Circuit Court's website as the Heading to the Petitioner's 12-27-22 Motions with the actual Heading of her 12-27-22 Motions. The Petitioner asserts that she gave "Ms. A" her website address and the Exhibit Number to her 12-27-22 Motions posted on the Petitioner's website.

As alleged in the Petitioner's 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to her 2-18-20 Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, against Judge Carrion, against Judge Phinn, against Judge Rubin, against Judge Michel Pierson, against Judge Karen Friedman, against Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals, against Martin O'Malley, and/or against other Officers of the Court, the Petitioner proclaims that she believes that, around 1-5-23, the SPIRIT OF TRUTH put it on her heart to write a brief letter to the Clerk of the Court, Mr. Conaway, in an attempt to avoid having the Clerk of the Court, the other clerks, and/or the supervisors being alleged in her 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to her 2-18-20 Official Complaint to have deliberately committed Fraud. Also, the Petitioner declares that, although the date was unintentionally omitted, the undated copy of the missive to the Clerk of the Court (Exhibit 101 on the Petitioner's website) was completed and dropped off at the local post office box on 1-7-23. Still too, the Petitioner cites that



another copy of this same letter was sent, again, to Mr. Conaway with a handwritten "Note" at the bottom of the letter dated 1-12-23 (Exhibit 102 on the Petitioner's website), which explains that the date was mistakenly omitted in the original memo. The Petitioner cites that on 1-14-23 she sent this same memo to Mr. Conaway (Exhibit 5 which is, also, cited as Exhibit 105 on the Petitioner's website) but this time the letter was sent certified as indicated in the handwritten note at the bottom of this letter. In the handwritten note written by the Petitioner on the third copy of the same undated letter, the Petitioner alleges that she wrote the handwritten "Note" dated 1-14-23 to Mr. Xavier to affirm that the same letter sent to him twice through regular mail was being sent to him a third time, certified. The Petitioner alleges that, on 2-16-23, she received mail from the Office of the Clerk of the Court, not from the postal service as in the case with Judge Fletcher's returned postal receipt. The Petitioner alleges that inside the mail from the Office of the Clerk was the Petitioner's envelope which contained her 1-14-23 certified letter, the Petitioner's envelope was opened which had inside of it the same letter sent certified to Mr. Conaway on 1-14-23, and on the certified receipt from the postal service, it has the date of 2-9-23 given as the day on which "Mr. J. Smith's" name is signed and printed and certified receipt.

As stated in the Petitioner's 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to her 2-18-20 Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, against Judge Carrion, against Judge Phinn, against Judge Rubin, against Judge Michel Pierson, against Judge Karen Friedman, against Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals, against Martin O'Malley, and/or against other Officers of the Court, the undated letter to Mr. Conaway on 1-7-23 states **"To: Mr. Xavier Conaway, Clerk of the Court for Baltimore City**

**From: Ms. Diana R. Williams**

**Re: Plead to follow the protocol for recording the Heading of a legal document on the Circuit Court's website for public viewing**

If a clerk fails to state on the Circuit Court's website the Heading in a party's 12-27-22 Motions, a legal document, as clearly delineated in the Heading of the Motions, which is viewed by the public, then that clerk could be guilty of committing Fraud, which is a crime. One of the main responsibilities of the Clerk of the Court is to record land deeds, mortgages, plats, conveyances and other precious documents. I do not want the Clerk of the Court to be in danger of being alleged to have committed Fraud in altering the Heading of an official legal document, thus deceiving and misrepresenting on the Circuit Court's website, which is opened to the public for viewing, the actual Heading in a legal document, namely, in the Heading of my 12-27-22 Motions. Further, I don't want the Clerk of the Court to be accused of intentionally committing Fraud as a result of repetitiously refusing to change the Circuit Court's posting of the altered Heading of an official legal document to the verbatim quoting as stated in the Heading of the official legal document, even after being warned of the material facts that I, the Petitioner, who is being represented Pro Se in this Civil litigation, am alleging that, if the Clerk of the Court do not mandate that the clerk declare, verbatim, the Heading in the Petitioner's 12-27-22 Motions, then Clerk of the Court should hold some responsibility in the allegation of Fraud in altering the Heading in a legal document on the Circuit Court's website that it used for public viewing.

Sincerely,