

Re: 1.) "5TH URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION" FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, THAT IS, FOR YOU, GOV. MOORE, to exercise your Executive Powers as Gov. of Maryland, representing a GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, BY WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND, WHO WILL UPHOLD OUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY ORDER the JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL to: a.) assign a panel of 3 in Banc Judges to preside over my 9-8-23 Motions and to grant my right to an oral Hearing before the panel of 3 Judges deny or grant my Petition as mandated under Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution for in Banc proceedings. b.) assign only judges who were not appointed by former Governor of Maryland and former Mayor of Baltimore City, Martin O'Malley, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland because both of these former government officials are being alleged in my 9-8-23 Motions and in my initial Civil Complaint filed in 2017 to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other federal criminal activities. 2.) REQUEST THAT THE U.S. ATTORNEY OF MARYLAND, EREK L. BARRON, AND THE STATE ATTORNEY FOR BALTIMORE CITY, IVAN BATES, investigate, disclose, and resolve the allegations that indictments need to be brought against the presiding Judge, Judge J. Geller, the former presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, the former presiding, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the panel of in Banc Judges who initial presided over my appeal in the in Banc Review of my initial civil litigation and all of the other judges who presided over my initial civil litigation and all of the other judges who presided over my initial civil litigation, which, also, include Judge Fletcher-Hill, because the evidence substantiate the material facts that, except for Judge J. Geller who has, thus far, presided over only my 6-20-23 Motions, the other Judges that presided over my appeal in the in Banc Review of my initial civil litigation, namely, the previous presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the panel of 3 in Banc Judges, and all of the other Judges who presided over my initial civil litigation, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill, have repeatedly and deliberately: a.) committed the Federal crimes of violating Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and the federal crimes of "Fraud upon the Court, Treason to the Constitution, and interference with Interstate Commerce due to their repetitious and intentional violations of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in refusing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges, to set aside their judgments, and/or to deem each of their Orders as void due to the appearance of their being impartial and/or biased as presiding Judges as a result of being appointed to the elite positions by Martin O'Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged in my Civil Complaint and my in the appeal to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other federal criminal activities. b.) committed the same other federal and/or state crimes alleged in my 3-9-18 Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman (Exhibit 37 on my website), in my 8-6-18 Official Complaint Exhibit 43 on my website, the first Judge that presided over my initial civil litigation and/or the Addendums against Judge Fletcher-Hill, in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint

To: Gov. of Maryland, Mr. Wes Moore, U.S. Attorney of Maryland, Erik L. Barron, and the State Attorney for Baltimore City, Ivan Bates

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams

I'm confident that you, Gov. Moore, Attorney Barron, and Attorney Bates are cognizant of the fact that a violation of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) constitutes "Fraud upon the Court" and that this federal law requires the automatic disqualification of judges if there is even an appearance of a bias and/or impartiality. Also, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 mandates that judges voluntarily disqualify and recuse under these same circumstances. Gov. Moore, I sent by certified and regular mail my first "URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION" on 1-10-23, followed by my 2nd, 3rd, and 4th URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PLEADINGS on 2-17-23, 4-5-23, and 6-20-23 respectively, (Exhibits 103, 109, 140, and 143, respectively, on my website, *www.dionarw.com*), I have yet to hear and/or receive any response to my repeated PETITIONS for a redress of grievance as afforded citizens of the U.S. under the 1st Amendment of the Constitution. Moreover, Gov. Moore, I've done some background research on your career and, amongst other things, I've discovered that you interned under former Mayor Kurt Schmoke in the late 1990's and that Kurt Schmoke was your Mentor. Since there is such a close relationship with you and Kurt Schmoke who is being alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or other criminal acts, it appears that you, Gov. Moore, may be impartial and/or biased in appointing a Special Prosecutor to investigate, disclose, and resolve the issues raised in my 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, and 5th "URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITIONS".

Consequently, it's imperative that U.S Attorney of Maryland, Erik L. Barron, and the State Attorney for Baltimore City, Ivan Bates be assigned to immediately investigate, resolve, and disclose if the allegations that the presiding judge, Judge J. Geller, the former presiding judge, Judge John Nugent, the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division who responsibilities include assigning judges, and who previously presided over my civil litigation, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the panel of 3 in Banc Judges who initially presided over my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation, and all of the other former presiding judges over my initial civil litigation, which, also, include Judge Fletcher-Hill, have repetitiously and intentionally violated my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C. Section 242 by repeatedly and deliberately: a.) committing the federal crimes of violating Federal Statute violating Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and the federal crimes of "Fraud upon the Court, Reason to the Constitution, and interference with interstate Commerce due to their repetitious and intentional violations of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a) in refusing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding judges, to set aside their judgments, and/or to deem each of their

Date: 9-8-23

against Judge Michel Pierson (Exhibit 61 on my website) and/or the Addendums, in my Official Complaint against the panel of In Banc Judges on 2-18-20 (Exhibit 78 on my website), and/or in my Addendums, include repetitiously and deliberately committing the federal crimes of misfeasance, tampering with evidence under U.S. Code Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1512, concealment, removal, or mutilation under 18 U.S. Code & 2071, Conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. §1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1623, and under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Orders as void due to the appearance of their being impartial and/or biased as presiding judges as a result of being appointed to the elite positions by Martin O'Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged in my Civil Complaint and my in the appeal to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other federal criminal activities. b.) committing the federal and/or state crimes alleged in my 3-9-18 Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman (Exhibit 37 on my website), in my 8-6-18 Official Complaint Exhibit 43 on my website) and/or the Addendums against Judge Fletcher-Hill, in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson (Exhibit 61 on my website) and/or the Addendums, in my Official Complaint against the panel of In Banc Judges on 2-18-20 (Exhibit 78 on my website), and/or in my 9-8-23 Motions, namely, that of repetitiously and deliberately committing the federal crimes of mistfeasance, nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and the federal crimes of tampering with evidence under U.S. Code Title 18, Part1, Chapter 73 & 1512, concealment, removal, or mutilation under 18 U.S. Code & 2071, Conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. §1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1623, and under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Consequently, I'm sending by certified mail to you, Gov. Moore, to the U.S. Attorney of Maryland, Erik L. Barron, and the State Attorney for Baltimore City, Ivan Bates, my 5th URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION, which amongst other things, request that you, Gov. Moore, would execute your Executive Powers as Gov. of Maryland, representing a GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, BY WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND, WHO WILL UPHOLD OUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY ORDER the JUDGE-IN-CHARGE OF THE CIVIL DIVISION IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL to: 1.) assign a panel of 3 In Banc Judges to preside over my 9-8-23 Motions and to grant my right to an oral Hearing before the panel of 3 Judges deny or grant my Petition as mandated under Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution for In Banc proceedings. 2.) assign only judges who were not appointed by the former Governor of Maryland and former Mayor of Baltimore City, Martin O'Malley, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland because both of these former government officials are being alleged in my 9-8-23 Motions and in my initial Civil Complaint filed in 2017 to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other federal criminal activities. .

Moreover, as evidenced my 9-8-23 Motions (Exhibit 146 on my website), I state that "As asserted in the Petitioner's 6-20-23 Motions from which the presiding Judge, Judge J. Geller responds to in his 8-29-23 Findings and Order, and/or as declared in the Petitioner's 2nd Addendum to her 2-18-20 Official Complaint to our Hon. President, Judge J. Geller, the previous presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division in the Circuit Court since January of 2018, Judge Fletcher-Hill, who was, also, the presiding Judge prior to Judge John Nugent presiding, the panel of the 3 In Banc Judges who originally presided over the Petitioner's Motions from her appeal in the Banc Review of her initial civil litigation, and the presiding Judges over the Petitioner's initial civil litigation, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill, were all appointed by Martin O'Malley and/or by Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C &

1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. In terms of appointments by Martin O’Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, the evidence substantiate the material facts that: 1.) Judge J. Geller was appointed to the 8th Judicial Circuit for Baltimore City in Maryland by the former Governor of Maryland, Martin O’Malley, and assumed office on May 18, 2012. 2.) Judge John Nugent, was appointed Chair, Alternative Dispute Resolution Committee, Judicial Council, 2022 – chair of the Alternative Dispute Resolution for the Maryland Rules subcommittee, 2022-”) by the new Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Judge Joseph M. Getty. 3.) Judge Fletcher-Hill was appointed to the elite position as an Administrative Judge in 2009 by Martin O’Malley. 4.) Judge Carron’s appointment by Chief Judge Barbera as an Administrative Judge for the Eighth Circuit for Baltimore City became effective on January 12, 2020. 5.) Judge Melissa Phinn was appointed as an Associate Judge on the 8th Judicial Court for Baltimore in Maryland by Martin O’Malley on December 28, 2012. 6.) Judge Julie Rubin was, also, appointed as an Associate Judge on the 8th Judicial Court for Baltimore in Maryland by Martin O’Malley on December 28, 2012. 7.) Judge Michel Pierson was appointed by Chief Judge Barbera in 2013 as an Administrative Judge. 8.) Judge Karen Friedman was appointed by Martin O’Malley in 2014.

In terms of the allegations against Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division whose responsibilities include assigning Judges to civil case, the evidence of the 3-30-18, 4-16-18, 7-23-18, 9-4-18 Findings and Orders of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judges over the Petitioner’s initial civil litigation which respond to the Petitioner’s 3-9-18, 4-6-18, 4-27-18, 8-6-18 Motions from her initial civil litigation, which include Motions for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill, and the evidence of the 6-21-22, 8-4-22, 12-16-22, and 2-16-23 Findings and Orders, which respond to the Petitioner’s 4-7-22, 6-24-22, 8-11-22, 12-27-22 Motions from her appeal in the In Banc Review which, also, include Motions for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill, and the evidence of the Petitioner’s 2-27-23 Motions, which, too, include a Motion for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill, substantiate the material facts that the Petitioner has filed 9 separate Motions for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill for violating her 14th Amendment Right and her Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 due to his repetitiously and intentionally infringing breaching Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 by refusing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse himself as the presiding Judges because of the appearance of his being impartial and/or biased as a result of being appointed to the elite position as an Administrative Judge in 2009 by Martin O’Malley, who is being alleged in the Petitioner’s Motions and in her Civil Complaint filed in 2017 to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other federal criminal activities. Still too, although the evidence of her 6-20-23 Motions substantiate that the Petitioner has filed her 6th Motion to have the Court assign Judges who were not appointed by Martin O’Malley and/or by Chief Judge Barbera because the former government officials are being alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C &

Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division, has assigned not only the presiding Judge, Judge J. Geller, who was appointed by Martin O'Malley, but has, also assigned the previous presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, the panel of 3 in Banc Judges, the three former presiding Judges over the Petitioner's initial civil litigation, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill assigning himself twice as the presiding Judge, namely, as one of the presiding Judges over the Petitioner's civil litigation and as one of the presiding Judges over the Petitioner's appeal in her In Banc Review. Further, although Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) prohibits a Judge from presiding over a party's appeal in a civil litigation after the judge has been a presiding Judge in the initial civil litigation, the evidence of the 3-30-18, 4-16-18, 7-23-18, 9-4-18 Findings and Orders of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judges over the Petitioner's 3-9-18, 4-6-18, 4-27-18, 8-6-18 Motions from her initial civil litigation and the evidence of his 6-21-22, 8-4-22, 12-16-22, and 2-16-23 Findings and Orders as the presiding Judge over the Petitioner's 4-7-22, 6-24-22, 8-11-22, 12-27-22 Motions, substantiate that Judge Fletcher-Hill was one of the judges who presided over the Petitioner's her initial civil litigation who, also, was one of the presiding Judges over the Petitioner's appeal in the In Banc Review of her initial civil litigation."

Further, it is my plea that Attorney Barron and Attorney Bates would initially investigate resolve, and disclose as soon as possible whether the allegations that my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., & Section 242 were deliberately violated by the presiding Judge, Judge J. Geller and were repetitiously and intentionally breached by all of the previous presiding Judges cited above due to their repeated and deliberate breaching Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455 (a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 in failing to voluntarily disqualify, recuse, and set aside their judgments because due there is an appearance that these Judges would be biased and/or impartial as a result of being appointed to such an elite position by the former Governor of Maryland and former Mayor of Baltimore City, Martin O'Malley, and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, both of whom are being alleged in my 9-8-23 Motions and in my 2017 initial Civil Complaint to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, and/or have attempted to and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 (“Crimes against Humanity”), committed misconduct in office, and/or have committed other criminal acts. Moreover, I plea that the other allegations of the other federal crimes be investigated, disclosed, and made public against Judge J. Geller, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and against all of the other former presiding Judges, namely, that of repetitiously and deliberately committing the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and the federal crimes of tampering with evidence under U.S. Code Title 18, Part1, Chapter 73 & 1512, concealment, removal, or mutilation under 18 U.S. Code & 2071, Conspiracy under 18 U.S.C. §1621, 18 U.S.C. § 1623, and under 18 U.S.C. § 1001.

Sincerely,

Cc: Hon. President, Military Tribunal, Public