

Re: 1.) "4TH URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION" FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, THAT IS, FOR YOU, GOV. MOORE, to exercise your Executive Powers as Gov. of Maryland, representing a GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE, BY WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND, WHO WILL UPHOLD OUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY APPOINT A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR to investigate, disclose, and resolve if the allegations that the presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other presiding Judges over my initial civil litigation and over my appeal in the In Banc Review have repeatedly and deliberately violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and, thus, violated the Rule of Law because Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the former presiding Judges repeatedly and intentionally: a.) breached Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18,102.11 and have, thus, violated the Rule of Law and committed "Fraud upon the Court, Treason to the Constitution, and interference with interstate Commerce by repeatedly and intentionally refusing to voluntarily disqualify and refuse themselves as presiding Judges as mandated by Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) due to the appearance of these Officers of the Court being impartial and/or biased because of their elite appointments by the former Gov. of Maryland, Martin O'Malley, and/or by the former Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted to and/or have conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide. b.) failed to set aside their judgments and deem all Orders by them as void as a matter law due to their infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455 (a) and Maryland Rule 18,102.11. c.) failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in their Findings and Orders all of the Motions cited in my Motions, which include Motions alleging repeated and deliberate violations of my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and, thereby, breaching the Rule of Law, by Judge John Nugent, by Judge Fletcher-Hill, and by all of the other Judges who presided over my initial civil litigation in my Civil Complaint that was filed in 2017 (namely, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and Judge Karen Friedman) and/or who presided over my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation (Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill) , and the panel of In Banc Judges, namely, Judge Carron, Judge Phinn, and Judge Rubin) due to these Officers' of the Court repetitions and intentional breaching of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) , Maryland Rule 18.102.11), Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) , Maryland Rule 2-311 (f), Article IV of the Maryland Constitution, committing of "Fraud Upon the Court", Treason to the Constitution, interference with interstate Commerce, and/or committing other federal and/or state laws d.) breached Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution in failing to have a Panel of 3 In Banc Judges to preside over my Motions from my In Banc Review, instead of him being the only Judge to preside over my Motions from the In Banc Review. e.) failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in their Findings and Orders if my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 were repetitiously and deliberately violated by Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding Judges over the In Banc Review due to their repeated and intentional violations Of Article IV & 22 of the Maryland Constitution by failing to grant me an oral Hearing before the Panel decided to deny my

To: Gov. of Maryland, Mr. Wes Moore
 From: Ms. Diana R. Williams

Petition for an In Banc Review. f.) failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in their Findings and Orders if my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 were repetitiously and intentionally infringed upon by Judge Fletcher-Hill due to his repeated and deliberate violations of Maryland 18.102.11 5(c). g.) failed to set aside the judgment filed on 3-23-23, which responds to my 2-27-23 Motions, due to the material fact that there is no written or stamped signature indicating who wrote the Findings and Order filed on 3-23-23. h.) failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in their Findings and Orders if my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 were repetitiously and intentionally infringed upon by Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding judges due their repeated failures to grant my repetitious Motions For Reconsideration. i.) failed to disclose, consider, and resolve in their Findings and Orders if my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 were repeatedly and deliberately breached due to the failures of Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and with the exception of Judge Karen Friedman, all of the other former presiding judges violating Maryland Rule 2-311 by refusing to grant my repeated Motions for a Hearing on my Motion. 2.) Request to appoint a Special Prosecutor that was not appointed by former Martin O'Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, and a special Prosecutor who has not, in the past, worked as an intern and/or was mentored in by former Mayor of Baltimore City, Kurt Schmoke because he is, also, being alleged in my Civil Complaint filed in 2017, in my Motions, and in my 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official Complaint to our Hon. President to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or violated other federal and state laws.

Date: 6-20-23

I'm righteously indignant due to material facts that, since I sent my first "URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION" by certified and regular mail on 1-10-23, followed by my 2nd and 3rd URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PLEADINGS on 2-17-23 and 4-5-23, respectively, I have yet to hear and/or receive any response to my PETITIONS FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, THAT IS, FOR YOU, GOV. MOORE, to exercise your Executive Powers as Gov. of Maryland, representing a GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, BY WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND, WHO WILL UPHOLD OUR 1st AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY appoint a Special Prosecutor to investigate, disclose, and resolve the allegations that the presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding Judges who have presided over my initial civil litigation and/or over my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation in the Circuit Court, whose Civil Complaint was filed in 2017, have repeatedly and deliberately since 2018 violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and, thus, breached the Rule of law, because the voluminous evidence in the record and on my website, ^{www.dianawilliams.com} ~~www.dianawilliams.com~~, the evidence of the facts stated in and/or the lack thereof of facts asserted in the 6-8-23 and 3-23-23 Findings and Orders of the presiding Judge, Judge John Nugent, the evidence of the facts declared in and/or the lack thereof facts cited in the 2-16-23, 12-16-22, 8-4-22, and 6-21-22 Findings and Orders of Judge Fletcher-Hill (Exhibits 141,138, 112, 99, 97, and 95, respectively, on my website), and the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments stated in the my 6-19-23 Motions (Exhibit 142 on my website) and in my 4-5-23, 2-

27-23, 12-27-22, 8-11-22, 6-24-22, and 4-7-22 Motions (Exhibits 139, [113 and 114 are my 2-27-23 Motions], 100, 98, 96, and 94, respectively, from which Judge John Nugent and Judge Fletcher-Hill respond to in their Findings and Orders cited above, substantiate the material facts, namely, that these Officers of the Court repetitiously and intentionally violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, therefore, the Rule of Law due to their repetitious and intentional breaching of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11. Moreover, since the evidence, indisputably, substantiate that Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding Judges have repeatedly and deliberately infringed upon my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, thus, the Rule of Law due to their repetitious and intentional violations of Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., & 455(a), these Officers of the Court are mandated under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C. & 455(a) to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges, to set aside their judgments, and to deem each of their Orders as void due to the appearance of their being impartial and/or biased as presiding Judges as a result of being appointed to the elite positions by Martin O'Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged in my Civil Complaint and in the appeal to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or infringed upon other federal and state laws.

My approximately 35-page Motions dated 6-20-23, which respond to Judge John Nugent's 6-8-23 Findings and Orders, asserts in detail the material facts and legal arguments which substantiate that Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding Judges have, since I filed my initial Motion for Disqualification in 2018 against the first presiding Judge, Judge Karen Friedman, repeatedly and intentionally violated my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, thus, breached the Rule of Law, by failing to voluntarily disqualify and recuse themselves as presiding Judges, to set aside their judgments, and to deem each of their Orders as void as mandated due to the appearance of their being impartial and/or biased as presiding Judges as a result of being appointed to the elite positions by Martin O'Malley and/or by former Chief Judge Barbera, both of whom are being alleged in my Civil Complaint and in the appeal to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or infringed upon other federal and state laws. The Heading of my 6-19-23 Motions is entitled "1. 1st MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE JOHN NUGENT FOR VIOLATING THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 DUE TO HIS COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. 2.) 1st MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE 6-8-23 and 3-23-23 JUDGMENTS OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT DUE TO HIS VIOLATIONS OF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 AS A RESULT OF HIS: A.) VIOLATING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 IN FAILING TO DISQUALIFY AND RECUSE HIMSELF AS A PRESIDING JUDGE DUE TO THE APPEARANCE OF HIM BEING IMPARTIAL AND/OR BIASED BECAUSE OF HIS APPOINTMENT BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, WHO IS BEING

ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE . B.) VIOLATING ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO HAVE A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S MOTIONS, MOTIONS FROM HER IN BANC REVIEW. C.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 6-8-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPONDS TO THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL AND ALL OF THE OTHER REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL AND ALL OF THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES DUE TO THEIR REPEATEDLY AND INTENTIONALLY BREACHING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, THUS, REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, AND/OR INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE. D.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 6-8-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPONDS TO THE PETITIONER'S 4-5-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND DELIBERATELY VIOLATED BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL AND THE OTHER FORMER PRESIDING JUDGES OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW DUE TO THEIR REPEATED AND INTENTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION IN FAILING TO GRANT THE PETITIONER AN ORAL HEARING BEFORE THE PANEL DECIDED TO DENY THE PETITIONER'S PETITION FOR AN IN BANC REVIEW. E.) FAILING TO DISCLOSE, CONSIDER, AND RESOLVE IN HIS 6-8-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER, WHICH RESPONDS TO THE PETITIONER'S 4-5-23 MOTIONS, IF THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 WERE REPETITIOUSLY AND INTENTIONALLY INFRINGED UPON BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL DUE TO HIS REPEATED AND DELIBERATE VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C). 3.) 1ST MOTION TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT FILED ON 3-23-23 SINCE THERE IS NO WRITTEN OR STAMPED SIGNATURE OF JUDGE JOHN NUGENT ON THE 3-21-23 FINDINGS AND ORDER. 4.) 2ND MOTION THAT JUDGE JOHN NUGENT ORDERS THE CLERK TO FILE ON THE CIRCUIT COURT'S WEBSITE THE HEADING OF THE PETITIONER'S MOTIONS VERBATIM. 5.) 5TH MOTION TO HAVE A PANEL OF 3 IN BANC JUDGES TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY AND/OR BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, BOTH OF WHOM ARE BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED TO AND/OR CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE. 6.) 7TH MOTION FOR ALL JUDGMENTS BY JUDGE JOHN NUGENT, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES, JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, AND/OR BY JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN BE SET ASIDE AND DEEMED VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A). 7.) 5TH MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 8.) 9TH MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS MANDATED UNDER"

Further, as evidence from my 6-20-23 Motions, which has this 4th URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE Petition" attached as Exhibit 2, these same allegations cited above and given more detail material facts that substantiate allegations against Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the former presiding judges over my initial civil litigation and in the appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation. Amongst other material facts and legal arguments, in my 6-19-23 Motions, I declare

"Moreover, the Petitioner's argues that the evidence in the record, the evidence on the Petitioner's website, and evidence that will be admitted during Discovery and revealed during the Petitioner's requested jury trial support the material facts, namely, the allegations that Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and all of the other former presiding Judges over the Petitioner's appeal in the Banc Review of her initial civil litigation and the Judges who presided over her initial litigation, which include Judge Fletcher-Hill, have continued to deliberately and unlawfully deny the Petitioner's Motions, which include repeated Motions for Disqualification against Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other formerly presiding Judges for deliberately and repetitiously violating the Petitioner's 14th Amendment Right and her Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and, thereby, infringing upon the Rule of Law due to their repetitiously and deliberately breaching Federal Statute 28 U.S.C., § 455(a), Maryland Rule, Maryland Rule 5(c), and/or violating other federal and/or state laws. in order to, ultimately, grant the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Petitioner's 2017 Civil Complaint in order to attempt to continue to conceal the critical material facts which will be revealed during the hearing, which include the allegations that: 1.) in her 2015 Motion for Consideration to the Court of Appeals and in her 2016 Petition to the Supreme that the first 5 Exhibits on the her website, namely, Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 which can be read in about 5 minutes, prove, indisputably, that, in 2015, Chief Judge Barbera deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury, which is "Fraud Upon the Court". 2.) Due to alleged 2015 prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera, the issues raised in the Petitioner's 2015 Writ to the Court of Appeals have yet to be disclosed, considered, and resolved, which includes the material facts that the Petitioner alleges that the evidence that accompanied her 2015 Writ to the Court of Appeals, the evidence on her website, and/or the evidence in the record of the Petitioner's 2014 Civil proceedings in the Circuit Court substantiate the allegations, namely that, in their 2014 the Findings, the In Banc Judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached the Petitioner's 14th Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to cover up the material facts that Judge Fletcher-Hill violated the Petitioner's 14th Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' Motions to dismiss the Petitioner's 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that Martin O'Malley, who was Mayor of Baltimore City from 1999 to 2007 and, thus, one of the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, along with the other Mayors of Baltimore City from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and Brandon Scott, and the other owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, namely, every member of the City Council who was a member between 1993 until the present, and/or other governmental officials who are responsible for the health and safety of our children are being alleged to have breached Federal U.S Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide- and/or have attempted and/or conspired to infringe upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide, committed misconduct in office, and/or other criminal activities by: a.) having exposed our children to lead-tainted drinking water and/or lead-based hazards since at least 1993. b.) having yet to fulfill their duty and obligation to test all of our children that may have been exposed to lead poisoning using the special X-Ray machine to determine the cumulative amount of

CC: Hon. President, Military Tribunal, Judge John Nugent, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Public

Sincerely,

Governor Moore, your response is critical to my "4th URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PETITION".

O'Malley, former Chief Judge Barbera, and/or Kurt Schmoke. official and the Special Prosecutor have no connection or unique and close relationship to Martin Prosecutor, but another government official appoint the Special Prosecutor and that the government SENSITIVE PETITION. Therefore, I'm not only requesting that you do not appoint the Special Special Prosecutor to investigate, disclose, and resolve the issues raised in my 4th URGENT AND TIME other criminal acts, it appears that you, Gov. Moore, may be impartial and/or biased in appointing a and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or being alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted Schmoke was your Mentor. Since there is such a close relationship with you and Kurt Schmoke who is I've discovered that you interned under former Mayor Kurt Schmoke in the late 1990's and that Kurt Governor Moore, I've done some background research on your career and, amongst other things,

criminal activities for several decade. Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide, committed misconduct in office, and/or other Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide- and/or have attempted and/or conspired to infringe upon and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have breached Federal U.S. compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, the Officers of the Court, indirectly exposed our babies to such potential poison. f.) and/or having accepted bribes and/or governmental officials, who are being alleged to have deliberately, repeatedly, directly and/or century, to prosecute the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other criminal acts against the owners of the public schools. e.) having refused, for nearly a quarter of a alleged heinous crimes of misconduct in office, crimes against humanity, and/or other possible children that were exposed to such potentially deadly poison. d.) having for decades ignored the it can possibly kill you. c.) failing in their duty and obligation to evaluate and compensate all of the days. Lead stays in the bones for nearly 30 years. Lead is a poison, and when it becomes hazardous, lead in the bones because the blood lead testing will not reveal the lead in the blood after about 45