

To: Gov. Of Maryland, Mr. Wes Moore

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams

Re: "3RD URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE" PETITION FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES AS STIPULATED IN

OUR 1ST AMENDMENT, THAT IS, FOR YOU, GOV. MOORE, TO EXERCISE YOUR EXECUTIVE POWERS AS GOV. OF MARYLAND, REPRESENTING A GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, BY WE THE PEOPLE, AND FOR WE THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND, WHO WILL UPHOLD OUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY ORDER:

1.) a Disqualification and Recusal of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judge over my appeal in the Banc Review of my initial civil litigation because the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments cited in the 7 Motions within my approximately 30-page 2-27-23 Motions (Exhibits 113 and 114 on my website, www.dianarwilliams.com) and the evidence of the Circuit Court's Order entered by the clerk on the Court's public-viewing website on 3-23-23 which responds to my 2-27-23 Motions by citing "Ordered that the motion (Paper 43) is DENIED. N.J." substantiate the material fact that the Court's 3-23-23 Finding and Order fail to disclose, consider, and resolve all of the very material facts and legal arguments cited in the body of my 2-27-23 Motions to the Court, which include the allegations of repeated and deliberate violations of my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and, thus, a breaching of the Rule of Law, by Judge Fletcher-Hill as a result of his intentional and: a.) for the eighth time, violating Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 and, thereby, has breached my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 for the eighth time in infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 for the eighth time. b.) for the fourth time, infringing upon Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) and Maryland Rule 2-311 (f), therefore, has breached my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, for the fourth time in breaching Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) and Maryland Rule 2-311(f) for the fourth time. c.) for the 4th time, violating Article IV of the Maryland Constitution and has, thus, for the 4th time breached my 14th Amendment Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 in violating Article IV of the Maryland Constitution for the 4th time. d.) and, has repeatedly infringed upon other federal and/or state laws and, thus, has continuously breached my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 by repeatedly violating other federal and/or state laws. 2.) an enforcement of Article IV in the Maryland Constitution which stipulates that a panel of 3 In Banc Judges presides over my Motions in my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation and that I have an oral hearing before the panel of In Banc Judges decides to accept or deny my Petition. 3.) that only judges who were not appointed by the former Gov. of Maryland, Martin O'Malley, and/or by Chief Judge Barbera, the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, preside over my In Banc Review because Martin O'Malley, Chief Judge Barbera, along with Judge Fletcher-Hill, all of the other presiding Judges over my present civil litigation and the appeal, other Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials are being alleged in my Motions, in my 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official Complaint, and/or in other Official Complaints to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, and/or infringed upon other federal and state laws.

Date: 4-5-23

I'm righteously indignant due to material fact that the evidence of the 3-21-23 Finding and Order of the Court entered by the clerk on the Circuit Court's public-viewing website on 3-23-23 which responds only to my 1st Motion of the 7 Motions within my approximately 30 page 2-27-23 Motions by simply citing "Ordered that the motion (Paper 43) is DENIED. N.J." without disclosing considering, and resolving all of the material facts and legal arguments in my 7 Motions dated 2-27-23. The 3-23-23 Order by the Court only denies my 1st Motion of the 7 Motions asserted in the Heading of my 2-27-23 Motions, which is my plea for a STAY on the Court's responding to my 6 other Motions until you, Gov. Wes Moore, had the opportunity to respond to my 2nd Urgent and Time Sensitive Pleading dated 2-17-23, which was sent by certified and regular mail to your office on this same date and which, too, amongst other petitions, pleads for you, Gov. Moore, to immediately Order disqualification and recusal of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judge for the same reasons cited in the "Re:" section of this missive.

The Court's 3-23-23 Order doesn't Order the disqualification and recusal of the Judge-in-Charge of the Civil Division at the Circuit Court, namely, Judge Fletcher-Hill, as being the presiding Judge over my 6 other Motions declared in my 2-27-23 Motions, nor does the 3-23-23 Order of the Court Orders Judge Fletcher-Hill's disqualification and recusal as the presiding Judge over my new Motions, which responds to the Court's 3-23-23 Finding and Order and which will be displayed on my website no later than 4-5-23 as Exhibit 116. Still too, the 3-23-23 Finding and Order of the Court fail to disclose consider, and resolve all of the material facts and legal arguments in the 7 Motions within my Motions, which, too, include my plea that the Court determines if my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 and, thus, the Rule of Law, have been repeatedly and deliberately breached due to the alleged repetitious and intentional violations of the Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c), and/or other federal and/or state laws by Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the former presiding Judges which substantiates my 2-27-23 Motions, which include my: a.) 8th Motion for Disqualification of the present presiding Judge, Judge Fletcher-Hill for infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a) and Maryland Rule 18.102.11 b.) 7th Motion for Hearing on her Motions. c.) 4th Motion for Disqualification of the present presiding Judge, Judge Fletcher-Hill for breaching Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c). d.) 5th Motion for all Orders by Judge Fletcher-Hill, by the panel of In Banc Judges, by Judge Michel Pierson, and/or by Judge Karen Friedman be deemed void as a matter of law under Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), under Maryland Rule 18.102.11 and/or under Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c).

Again, and as alleged in my new 4-5-23 Motions, in my 2-27-23 Motions, and in my other previous Motions, the evidence of the material facts and legal arguments stated in my Motions and the accompanying Exhibits in the record and on my website will substantiate the material facts that, since the beginning of my civil litigation in 2017 to the present, I've been coerced to file numerous Motions alleging repeated and deliberate breaching of my 14th Amendment Right and Civil Right under Title 18 U.S.C & Section, 242, therefore, the violations of the Rule of Law, by Judge Fletcher and all of the other Judges who formerly presided over my initial civil litigation and my appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation due to these Officers of the Court repetitiously and deliberately infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c),

Maryland Rule 2-311 (f), Maryland Rule 2-311, Article IV of the Maryland Constitution, committing of "Fraud Upon the Court", Treason to the Constitution, interference with Interstate Commerce and/or infringing upon other federal and/or state laws.

My previous Motions for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill, my Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, my Addendums to my Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, and/or my other Official Complaints in which Judge Fletcher-Hill's alleged violations of federal and state laws are included are on my website. My Motions, which include Motions for Disqualification against Judge Fletcher-Hill, my Official Complaint against Judge Fletcher-Hill, and/or other Addendums which include allegations against Judge Fletcher-Hill are in the record and/or can be located on my website as Exhibits 16, 36, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 71, 72, 77, 81, 94, 96, 98, 100, and/or 116. My 2-27-23 Motions, which include my 8th Motion for Disqualifications against Judge Fletcher-Hill, can be located on my website as Exhibits 113 and 114. The 3-23-23 Finding and Order by the Court responding to my 2-27-23 Motions can be located on my website as Exhibit 115. The Findings and Orders by Judge Fletcher-Hill can be viewed on my website as Exhibits 50, 51, 52, 67, 95, 97, 99, and 112. My Motions, which include my Motions for Disqualifications and/or Substitutions against the panel of In Banc Judges and/or in other Official Complaints and/or in other Addendums which include allegations against the panel of In Banc Judges are in the record and/or can be viewed from my website, namely, Exhibits 16, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 78, 80, 81, and/or Exhibit 94. The Findings and Orders by the panel of In Banc Judges can be viewed on my website as Exhibits, 73, 75, and 93. My Motions, which include Motions for Disqualifications and/or Substitutions against Judge Michel Pierson, my Official Complaint, and/or Addendums to my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson are in the record and/or can be viewed from Exhibits 16, 59, 61, 62, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 71, 72, 77, and/or Exhibit 81 on my website. The Findings and Orders by Judge Michel Pierson can be viewed on my website as Exhibits 59, 63, 66, and 70. My Motions, which include Motions for Disqualification and/or Substitution against Judge Karen Friedman, my Official Complaint, and/or my Addendums to my Official Complaint against Judge Karen Friedman are in the record and/or can be viewed on my website as Exhibits 16, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 49, 54, 55, 56, 71, 72, 77, and/or Exhibit 81. The Findings and Orders of Judge Karen Friedman can be viewed on my website as Exhibits 47, 48, and 49. My Official Complaint against Chief Judge Barbera, my Addendums to my Official Complaint against Chief Judge Barbera, and/or other Official Complaints and/or Addendums to other Official Complaints which include allegations against Chief Judge Barbera can be viewed from Exhibits 6, 16, 43, 45, 54, 56, 77, and/or Exhibit 81 on my website.

The evidence of my 2-27-23 Motions substantiate the allegation that the clerk fraudulently declared on the Circuit Court's public-viewing website on 3-6-23 as "Doc. No./Seq. No. 43/0" that the Heading in my 2-27-23 Motions, a legal document, states "Motion for the Court to Stay Motions, with Exhibits". The evidence of my actual 2-27-23 Motions substantiate that the Heading of my 2-27-23 Motions is entitled "(1.) MOTION FOR THE COURT TO STAY THE OTHER MOTIONS CITED BELOW UNTIL GOV. MOORE RESPONDS TO THE PETITIONER'S 2-17-23 CERTIFIED LETTER, WHICH PLEADS THAT GOV. MOORE EXERCISES HIS EXECUTIVE POWER AND ORDER AN IMMEDIATE STAY ON JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL BEING THE PRESIDING JUDGE AND ORDER AN IMMEDIATE RECUSAL OF JUDGE

My other two "URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PLEADINGS" to you, Gov. Moore, were, also, sent by certified and/or regular mail on 1-10-23 and 2-17-23, respectively. As declared in these two URGENT PLEADINGS, in my new 4-5-23 Motions, in my 2-27-23 Motions, in other Motions, and in my 2nd Addendum to my 2-18-20 Official Complaint to our Hon. President, the evidence in the record of the court, on my website, and/or that will be admitted into evidence during Discovery and/or during my requested jury trial will substantiate the allegations that the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City from at least 1993 to the present, namely, Kurt Schmoke, Martin O'Malley, Sheila Dixon, Stephanie Rawlings, Catherine Pugh, Jack Young, and Brandon Scott and every member of the City Council of Baltimore from 1993 to the present, Chief Judge Barbera, Judge Fletcher-Hill and all of the other presiding judges over my initial civil litigation and on the appeal in the In Banc Review of my initial civil litigation, other Officers of the Court, former Governors of Maryland, namely, Larry Hogan and Martin O'Malley, our present Governor of Maryland, Wes Moore, and/or other governmental officials have: 1.) allowed our children to be exposed to lead-contaminated drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards since at least 1993 and are, thereby, being alleged to have since 1993 intentionally breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091, and/or infringed upon other federal and state laws. 2.) for almost 3 decades ignored the alleged heinous crimes of infringing upon Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C. & 1091 – Genocide and/or the attempt and conspiracy to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18

FLETCHER-HILL AS THE PRESIDING JUDGE DUE TO HIS BREACHING FOR THE EIGHTH TIME FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND FOR THE FOURTH TIME VIOLATING MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5 (C). 2.) 8TH MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL FOR VIOLATIONS OF FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 AND, THUS, FOR THE EIGHTH TIME, COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT", TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION, INTERFERENCE WITH INTERSTATE COMMERCE, AND BREACHING THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND HER CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY REPETITIOUSLY VIOLATING FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11. 3.) 4TH MOTION FOR DISQUALIFICATION AGAINST JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL FOR VIOLATIONS OF MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C) AND, THUS, FOR THE FOURTH TIME, COMMITTING "FRAUD UPON THE COURT" AND BREACHING THE PETITIONER'S 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHT AND CIVIL RIGHT UNDER TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 BY CONTINUOUSLY INFRINGING UPON MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11 5(C). 4.) 3RD MOTION TO HAVE A PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES TO CONTINUE TO PRESIDE OVER THE PETITIONER'S IN BANC REVIEW AS MANDATED UNDER ARTICLE IV & 22 OF THE MARYLAND CONSTITUTION, AND TO SELECT JUDGES WHO WERE NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY AND/OR BY CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA, BOTH OF WHOM ARE BEING ALLEGED TO HAVE BREACHED FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – GENOCIDE AND/OR HAVE ATTEMPTED AND/OR CONSPIRED TO INFRINGE UPON FEDERAL U.S. CODE, 18 U.S.C & 1091 - GENOCIDE. 5.) 5TH MOTION FOR ALL ORDERS BY JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, BY THE PANEL OF IN BANC JUDGES, BY JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, AND BY JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN BE DEEMED VOID AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER FEDERAL STATUTE 28 U.S.C & 455(A) AND UNDER MARYLAND RULE 18.102.11. 6.) 3RD MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 7.) 7TH MOTION FOR A HEARING ON THE MOTIONS AS MANDATED UNDER MARYLAND RULE 2-311"

If it's the TRINNE GOD'S will, my new Motions dated 4-5-23 shall be posted as Exhibit 116 on my website, no later than 4-5-23, although as of 12:00 p.m. on 4-4-23, I have yet to receive my copy of

The evidence of the letter sent to the Clerk of the Court, which is Exhibit 105 on my website, substantiates the material fact that I've sent by certified mail and regular mail letters to the Clerk of the Court, Mr. Xavier Conway, informing him, amongst other things, that a legal document, namely, the Heading in my Motions should be stated, verbatim, on the Circuit Court's website, which is publicly viewed, and I, also, requested his assistance in mandating that the clerk file my Heading, verbatim, as proclaimed in my Motions in order to prevent the Clerk of the Court and the other clerks from being alleged to deliberately and repeatedly commit fraud. Moreover, in my new 4-5-23 Motions, I plead that the Court ORDERS the clerk to file, verbatim, the Heading in my 4-5-23 Motions, a legal document that is publicly viewed on the Circuit Court's website.

and/or conspired to violate Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide. being alleged to have breached Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and/or by Chief Judge Barbera to preside over my new Motions, since both of these individuals are deny my Petition. 4.) the appointment of 3 judges who were not appointed by Martin O'Malley Banc Review and that I have an oral hearing before the panel of 3 In Banc Judges decides to grant or Constitution which mandates the appointment of a panel of 3 In Banc Judges to preside over my In Fletcher-Hill presiding on my new 4-5-23 Motions 3.) the enforcement of Article IV of the Maryland Right and my Civil Right under Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242, and the Rule of Law. 2.) a Stay on Judge Maryland Rule 18.102.11 5 (c) and, thus, repetitiously and intentionally violating my 14th Amendment intentionally infringing upon Federal Statute 28 U.S.C & 455(a), Maryland Rule 18.102.11, and Judge Fletcher-Hill from unlawfully presiding over my new Motions as a result of his repeatedly and UPHOLD OUR 1ST AMENDMENT RIGHT AND IMMEDIATELY ORDER: 1.) a disqualification and refusal of a GOVERNMENT OF WE THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE, AND FOR THE PEOPLE OF MARYLAND WHO WILL NAMELY, THAT YOU, GOV. MOORE, exercise your Executive Powers as Gov. of Maryland, representing submitting my 3rd PETITION FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES AS STIPULATED IN OUR 1ST AMENDMENT, certified mail to you on 2-17-23 and was sent by regular mail on the same day. Thus, again, I'm day is critical. My 2nd URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PLEADING AND/OR PETITION" was sent by PETITION" which was sent by certified mail to you on 4-5-23 and was sent by regular mail on this same Governor Moore, your response to this 3rd URGENT AND TIME SENSITIVE PLEADING AND/OR

U.S.C & 1091, commit misconduct in office, and/or other possible criminal acts against the owners of the public schools. 3.) refused for over a quarter of a century, to prosecute the owners of the schools, the Officers of the Court, and/or other governmental officials whose being alleged to have deliberately, repeatedly, directly and/or indirectly exposed our babies to such potential poison for almost 3 decades. 4.) and/or accepted bribes and/or compensation to let the owners of the public schools in Baltimore City, other Officers of the Court, and/or other government officials walk free who have been alleged to have violated Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091 – Genocide and/or have attempted and conspired to breach Federal U.S. Code, 18 U.S.C & 1091, committed misconduct in office, and/or other criminal acts.

the 3-21-23 Finding and Order by the Court that the clerk has posted on the Circuit Court's website as being mailed to me on 3-23-23. I will post as Exhibit 115 the Court's 3-23-23 Finding and Order on my website as soon I receive my copy.

Sincerely,

Cc: Hon. President, Military Tribunal, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Clerk of the Court, Public