To: Honorable Governor Hogan, Honorable Lt. Governor Rutherford, General Assembly

of Maryland, Mayor of Baltimore City, City Council Members of Baltimore City,

Congress Committee on the Judiciary Republican and Democrats, Department of Justice,

Congressmen and Congress women representing Maryland, 2016 Presidential

Candidates, the 2016 Mayoral Candidates for Baltimore City, and the public

From: Diana R. Williams

Re: Plea for a fair, transparent, impartial, and expeditious investigation of my urgent

complaint of Gross, Intentional Misconduct in Office by the Court of Appeals of

Maryland and a request for an immediate resolution due to the dire inhumane conditions

being inflicted upon the Complainant, Diana R. Williams

Date: 12-23-15

As I celebrate Christmas, which for myself and other Christians, includes rejoicing in the remembrance of the significance of the birth of my LORD and SAVIOR, JESUS CHRIST, I pray that peace, love, and joy will overflow for you, Honorable Governor Hogan, the other Public Servants listed above, the public, and the world. Moreover, as a Christian, my peace, love, and joy don’t stem from my circumstances as cited in my complaint (Attachment 1) and my addendum to this initial complaint (Attachment 2) which allege intentional gross Misconduct in Office by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, but from the promises made by my HEAVENLY FATHER in the WORD OF GOD, such as cited in Proverbs 12:19, namely, The lip of truth shall be established forever: but a lying tongue is but for a moment.” Consequently, it is my hope that a fair, transparent, impartial, and expeditious investigation, resolution, and corrective action will be completed within the next few weeks, since the evidence is easily attainable to substantiate that the Court of Appeals of Maryland (“Court of Appeals”) **a.)** intentionally committed perjury, an egregious prejudicial error, in citing in their Order dated 9-23-15 that my Petition for Writ of Certiorari (“Writ”) was denied due to being filed late because they knew that the documents that are part of their record, unequivocally, proved that my Writ was filed in a timely fashion. **b.)** intentionally failed to correct the egregious prejudicial error of perjury after being made aware in my 9-24-15 Motion for Reconsideration (Attachment 3) of this irrefutable evidence which substantiate that they lied; moreover, the Court of Appeals know that they had a duty to enforce all statutes, laws, and Maryland rules, and as Officers of the Supreme Court of Maryland, the Court of Appeals is cognizant that perjury is a crime. **c.)** intentionally demonstrated such disrespect for the rule of law which mandates the egregious prejudicial error of perjury be corrected since it changes the whole outcome of the case. **d.)** intentionally prevented justice from taking place because they knew that if they had corrected their egregious prejudicial error of perjury, then my Writ would have had to be granted because the only reason cited by the Court of Appeals for denying my Writ was due to their lying in their Order by stating that my Writ was filed late. **e.)** intentionally ignoring their duty, as Officers of the Court, to enforce the law and correct the egregious prejudicial error of perjury because this prejudicial error changed the whole outcome of the case; in other words, had the prejudicial error of perjury been corrected, this Court would have been forced to grant my Petition for Writ and, thereby, the Defendants’ plea to the Court of Appeals to have my civil complaint against them dismissed would have had to be denied. **f.)** intentionally causing me to continue to endure inhumane suffering and other injuries by lying and, thus, denying my plea to correct the prejudicial error of perjury as asserted in my Motion for Reconsideration because they knew that their denial of this third Petition for Writ would mean that I would have exhausted my remedy in Maryland Courts for the 3rd time without ever having my due process right granted as stipulated in the 14th Amendment of our Constitution. In other words, the evidence before the Court of Appeals that accompanied my three different Petitions for Writs, representing three different litigations in which the key element in each litigation was my 2011 termination as a Mathematic teacher, will prove that, in three different proceedings which encompasses at least 17 different hearings and/or appeals in which over 30 different Officers of the Court have presided, I have been denied my due process right as afforded by the 14th Amendment to have considered, disclosed, and resolved my substantiated defense as to how my termination breached specific articles and sections of the bargained agreement. In the first two Administrative and judicial proceedings, I was denied having my defense considered, disclosed, and resolved by my employer and the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulations. In the third judicial proceeding in which I filed a civil complaint in order to, again, attempt to have my defense considered, disclosed, and addressed, I was denied, again, my 14th Amendment right. It is unimaginable and hard to believe and conceive that, here in the United States of America where justice should prevail, I’ve been coerced to undergo, Pro Se, exhausting three different judicial proceedings, and not a single one of the over 30 Officers of the Court, including the Court of Appeals, has allowed my highly substantiated defense to be considered, disclosed, or resolve in their Court as cited in my 3rd Petition for Writ of Certiorari (Attachment 4). But, the evidence in the record of the Court of Appeals will, unquestionably, substantiate this fact.

Since you, Honorable Governor Hogan is Maryland’s chief executive officer, it is your responsibility not only to appoint judges to the State judiciary, but to serve the public interest, along with the other Public Servants listed above and, thus, ensure that Maryland’s laws are executed effectively which includes acting to preserve, protect, and extend the privileges and obligations provided to the citizens of the Maryland by the State Constitution. Thus, regardless of fact that the allegation of intentional misconduct in office is against the Court of Appeals of Maryland, who represents the judicial branch of government and were appointed by the former Governor who is one of the 2016 Democratic Presidential candidates, Martin O’Malley, it is my prayer that your office would not be biased but uphold the truth based strictly on the evidence in the record. Furthermore, despite the fact that the Attorney General is serves as the legal counsel to the Governor, the Legislature (the General Assembly), and all State departments, boards, and most commissions and who is, also, representing two of the Defendants named in my civil complaint which is presently dismissed due to the alleged egregious prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, my plea is that your office will be transparent, unbiased, and make your decision relative to the allegations against the Court of Appeals of Maryland premised on the substantial evidence. Moreover, as asserted in my addendum to my initial complaint, I’m requesting that, if the evidence supports the allegations against these Officers of the Court, then you would exercise your executive power to remove each of judges from office and grant my Petition for Writ since the only reason it was denied was due to the perjury committed by the Court of Appeals. Moreover, I’m requesting that, if the evidence substantiates the fact that the judges from the Court of Appeals committed the alleged misconduct in office and/or committed intentionally gross misconduct in office and, thus, damaged their credibility, then any decision that the Court of Appeals have made against any of petitions in which I’ve challenged in Motions for Reconsideration or in a Petitions for Writs to the Supreme Court of the United States, be revisited.

I look forward to hearing from your office and the offices of the other Public Servants soon. I can be reached at

Sincerely,