

1. Plaintiff's Notice for In Banc Review was filed with this Court on January 11, 2020.
2. The underlying lawsuit was dismissed by this Court by Order entered on December 26, 2017. (Docket Entry #5/1).
3. Maryland Rule 20551(g)(1) states, *inter alia*,
(a) *Generally*. The panel . . . on motion of any party, shall dismiss an in ban review if . . . the notice for in ban review was . . . not timely filed . . .
4. Despite the ongoing barrage of Motions, Plaintiff in essence is requesting the In Banc Panel to review the dismissal of her complaint. As such, Plaintiff should have filed her Notice for In Banc Review within ten (10) days of the

support thereof states as follows:

COME NOW Defendants Hameedullah Virk ("Virk") and Tichi Property, LLC ("Defendant Tichi Property") [Defendant Virk and Defendant Tichi Property may hereinafter collectively be referred as the "Defendants"] by and through their undersigned counsel, Larry H. Kirsch, Esquire and the Law Office of Larry H. Kirsch pursuant to Md. Rule 2-551(g)(1), and file their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Request for In Banc Review as Being Untimely Filed and ins

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR
IN BANC REVIEW AS BEING UNTIMELY FILED**

Case No. 24-C-17-004535

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
FOR BALTIMORE CITY

DIANA R. WILLIAMS		Defendants.
Plaintiff,	vs.	HAMEEDULLAH VIRK, et al.

26, 2017 dismissal not more than two (2) years after her Complaint was

dismissed.

5. Plaintiff's filing for In Banc Review is thus untimely filed and the Court and

Panel should dismiss Plaintiff's Request for In Banc Review.

Respectfully submitted,

Larry H. Kirsch, Esquire

402 Long Trail Terrace

Rockville, Maryland 20850

(202) 237-6600

Larry@lkirschlaw.com

Counsel for Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 3rd day of February, 2020, a photocopy of the foregoing

was mailed first class, postage prepaid to Plaintiff, Diana R. Williams, 131 Calvin Hill Court,
Baltimore, Maryland 21222.

Larry H. Kirsch