

On 8-6-18, I mailed to Hon. Gov. Hogan a signed copy of this letter and the accompanying Exhibit 1, which is copy of my Motions stamped and filed on 8-6-18 in the Baltimore City Circuit Court. Due to my extreme financial hardship, I'm only able to email unsigned copies of this letter and unsigned copies of my 8-6-18 filed Motions to the other government agencies. As soon as I'm financially able to do so, I hope to mail each agency copies of the letter and Motions.

As a Mathematics teacher who has been blessed to positively impact the lives of our leaders of tomorrow since 1981, I'm humbly grateful and honored, and although since 1996, I've been exposing lead poisoning in the public schools in Baltimore City and in Baltimore County and have suffered immense persecutions, including losing my home and livelihood, if I had to do it all over again and knowing the horrific injustices and afflictions I'm currently enduring, I would do so just to save one of our precious children from being exposed to lead poisoning. Also, as can be substantiated in the record of the Maryland Department of the Environment, since 1997, I've been blessed to be an accredited Lead Inspector, Clearance Examiner, Lead Abatement Contractor and, thus, under the Code of Maryland Regulation (COMAR), namely, COMAR 26.16.01.18(B)(1)(e), a Lead Expert. When I first began exposing lead poisoning in the public schools in Baltimore City in 1996, Martin O'Malley (hereinafter "O'Malley") was a member of the City Council and, thus, one of the owners of the lead hazardous schools in the city of Baltimore. In fact, issues relevant to my blowing the whistle about our children being exposed to lead poisoning by the owners of these public schools in Baltimore City were critical factors

Date: 8-6-18

Re: 1. OFFICIAL STATEMENT OF CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS AND OFFICIAL REQUEST THAT A SPECIAL PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED FROM HON. GOV. HOGAN'S AND A SPECIAL FEDERAL PROSECUTOR BE ASSIGNED FROM HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP AND CONGRESS TO INVESTIGATE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL, JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN, THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND, THE SUPREME COURT OF THE U.S., AND OTHER JUDGES IN MARYLAND REPEATEDLY OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE BY VIOLATING U.S CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AS A RESULT OF COMMITTING MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE IN THE CONDUCT OF THE OFFICE. 2. OFFICIAL REQUEST THAT HON. GOV. HOGAN IMMEDIATELY ASSIGN A JUDGE WHO WAS NOT APPOINTED BY MARTIN O'MALLEY TO PRESIDE OVER MY CIVIL COMPLAINT SINCE JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL IS ONE OF THE JUDGES WHO IS BEING ALLEGED IN THE OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE.

From: Ms. Diana R. Williams
 Administrator for Md. Courts
 Prosecutor of Md., Pamela Ortiz, Director for the Access to Justice Department, Ms. Pamela Harris, Inspector General, Marilyn Mosby, State's Attorney for Baltimore, Maryland, Emmet C. Davitt, State General, Rod Rosenstein, Deputy Attorney General, Chris Wray, Director of the FBI, Michael Harwich, Committee, Senator Bob Goodlatte, Chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, Jeff Session, Attorney To: Hon. Gov. Hogan, Hon. President Trump, Senator Chuck Grassely, Chairman of the Senate Judiciary

in all of my Administrative and/or Judicial proceedings which ultimately led to the filing of my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. My Documentary footnotes the evidence in the Supreme Court, the Courts of Appeals, and the lower courts to substantiate the fact that, included in my whistleblowing, was the evidence to support the fact that three public schools in Baltimore City exposed children to lead-based paint hazards, and one school had lead-tainted drinking water. The truth of the matter is that just the title of my Documentary alone gives the reader the essence of what's the Documentary is about, namely tracing the journey of my whistleblowing regarding lead hazards in schools since 1996, the obstructions of justice in my Administrative and Judicial proceedings, the afflictions that accompanied my exposing lead poisoning in the schools, and unmerited grace in the being victorious by the TRINE GOD. My Documentary is entitled Thanks, Praise, Honor, and glory to the TRINE GOD for Lead and Guidance in Documenting Lead Poisoning in the Schools and the Obstructions of Justice in Order to Conceal the Indisputable Truths. Moreover, in my 550 email addendums and counting (Exhibit 16 on my website, www.dicksonwilliams.com), which is totally financed by my family due to my severe financial hardship) to Hon. President Trump, Congress, and other government agencies, I allege that O'Malley and other government agencies deliberately committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal acts, and, therefore, amongst other things, I cite that " The evidence supporting my 5-6-16 complaint of judicial misconduct by the Supreme Court (Exhibit 6 on my website), in the other 549 email addendums to my complaint and counting (Exhibit 16 on my website), in the Courts of Appeals and lower courts, at the Department of Justice (DOJ), and in my Documentary, indisputably, affirm the allegations that these 8 Justices of the Supreme Court have deliberately obstructed justice in 2006, 2015, and in 2016 by intentionally committing judicial misconduct. Further, I'm 100% positive that the evidence will support the fact that such deliberate judicial misconduct by the 8 Supreme Court Justices have elements of criminal activities and, thus, these 8 Supreme Court Justices must not just be impeached and disbarred but, also, charged criminally. Moreover, the evidence will support the fact that Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Special Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals in Virginia, the lower courts, the Hearing Examiners, and the Administrative Law Judges (ALJ), also, deliberately committed judicial misconduct. Therefore, I'm requesting that the investigation into such serious allegations of deliberate judicial misconduct include the 8 Justices of the Supreme Court and the over 35 other Officers of the Court, who presided over one or more of my Administrative and/or Judicial proceedings which culminated in my filing Petitions to the Supreme Court in 2006, 2015, and in 2016 and where some of the main issues include allegations of intentional misconduct and/or potentially criminal activities by one of the 2016 Presidential Candidates, namely, Martin O'Malley (O'Malley). The approximate 35 Officers of Court include the Judges from the Courts of Appeals and Judges from the Circuit Court, namely, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Edward Hargadon, Judge Videtta Brown, Judge Lawrence Fletcher-Hill, Judge Yolanda Tanner, Judge Shannon Avery, and Judge Sylvester Cox. Still too, I'm requesting that the investigation be expanded to include an investigation of any deliberate misconduct by the former Attorney Generals of the U.S., namely, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, whose failure to uphold and defend the Constitution have negatively impacted any one

of my Administrative and/or Judicial Proceedings that led up to my 2015 and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. Attorneys Holder and Lynch did not take any corrective against the lower courts, the Courts of Appeals, and/or the Supreme Court although I forwarded to their office my complaint and/or addendums in 2014 and 2015 which were accompanied by a voluminous amount of evidence used to substantiate the allegations of intentional obstructions of justice by these judicial branches of government (Exhibits 19-31 on my website). The substantiated assertions of obstructions of justice by these Officers of the Court include intentional perjury, conspiracy, infringing upon my 14th Amendment Right, covering up for other government agencies' deliberate perjury, colluding with other government agencies, and/or breaching other Federal statutes and laws. Furthermore, I'm requesting that the investigation of intentional misconduct include the government officials in Maryland who were in a position of power and had motives for directly and/or indirectly influencing negatively my Administrative and/or Judicial Proceedings which ultimately led to my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions before the Supreme Court and the unlawful dismissal of my 2015 civil case." ... "Further, as I continued my crusade of whistleblowing germane to government agencies intentionally exposing children to lead poisoning in Baltimore City public schools, the need to test the shin bone of our children that were likely exposed to this poison using the special X-Ray machine, and the obligation for compensating the children that were injured from being exposed to lead poisoning, O'Malley was elected Mayor of Baltimore City and served 8 years in this capacity and then was elected as Governor of Maryland and, thus, served 8 years as the Chief Executive Officer of Maryland. During O'Malley's tenure as member of the City Council, Mayor, and Governor of Maryland, I sent many letters to him expressing, amongst other things, my crusade to end lead poisoning in the public schools, the need for the owners of public schools and other government agencies that are responsible for the health and safety of our children in public schools to test all of our children that may have been exposed to lead poisoning using the special X-Ray machine to determine the cumulative amount of lead in the bones, and the obligation of these same government agencies to compensate the students that were injured by this possibly deadly poison. Also, I forwarded memos to other government agencies, such as the newly elected Mayors, members of the City Council, MOSH, and the Health Department, again, voicing these same urgent concerns. As Governor of Maryland, O'Malley was the Chief Executive Officer who had the authority to appoint judges to the Court of Appeals of Maryland and to veto legislation passed by the Legislature."

As the Gov. of Maryland, O'Malley appointed members to the MSBE, one of the state government agencies who is one of the 6 Defendants in my 2014 civil case and is, also, one of the Defendants in my judicial proceedings which culminated in my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. Being the Governor and, thereby, Chief Executive Officer, O'Malley was, also, in charge of giving executive directions to 4 other government agencies who are, too, Defendants in my 2014 civil case as well, namely, the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation of Maryland, (DLLR), the MSDE, the Board of Education of Baltimore County (School Board), and the Maryland State Education Association (MSEA). Moreover, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the Chief Judge of Baltimore City Circuit Court who was appointed by O'Malley to

the 8th Circuit of Baltimore City, presided over my 2014 Civil Complaint of Fraud, which amongst other things, alleged that O'Malley, the 5 agencies cited above, and other government agencies deliberately exposed our children to lead poisoning since at least 1993 and have yet to test or compensate all the children that have been exposed to this potentially fatal toxic, is presently presiding over my 2017 Civil Complaint which, also, alleges that O'Malley's intentional exposure of our children to lead poisoning and his potential criminal acts have caused me tremendously intense emotional distress, which is part of the claim in my 2017 Civil Complaint. In my previous Motions to my present Civil Complaint (Exhibits 32 through 36 on my website and Exhibits 38 through 42 on my website) and in my Motions filed on 8-6-18, I've repeatedly motioned for substitution and disqualification of Judge Fletcher-Hill from presiding over my civil case because I questioned the impartiality of Judge Fletcher-Hill, not only due to his close relationship with and loyalty to O'Malley as a result of being appointed to such an elite position as one of the judges to the Eighth Circuit, but, also, for the following other reasons, namely, that: 1. In my 2015 appeals of Judge Fletcher-Hill's 2015 decision to grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint of Constructive Fraud, I stated that Judge Fletcher-Hill breached my 14th Amendment right, violated Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint which, amongst other things, exposed the material fact that O'Malley and the 6 Defendants intentionally committed misconduct and potentially criminal acts by deliberately exposing our children to lead-tainted drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards since at 1993, and have yet to test or compensate all the children that have been exposed to this potentially fatal toxic. 2. Judge Fletcher-Hill knew that, amongst other things, in my 2014 civil case, I assert that O'Malley and the Defendants intentionally committed misconduct and potential criminal acts and that 5 of the 6 Defendants are state agencies in Maryland of whom O'Malley, when he was the Governor of Maryland, exercised executive power over, namely, the Maryland State Board of Education, the Department of Labor, Licensing, and Regulation of Maryland, the Maryland State Board of Education, the Board of Education of Baltimore County, and the Maryland State Education Association. 3. I alleged in my 2015 Motion for Reconsideration to the In Banc Judges in the Circuit Court (Exhibit 32 on my website), in my 2015 Writ to the Court of Appeals of Maryland (Exhibit 11 on my website), and/or in my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court (Exhibit 7 on my website) that I provided the irrefutable evidence to support the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, the Federal Supremacy Clause of the U.S., and my 14th Amendment Right by unlawfully granting the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint in order to cover up the relevant and material facts that O'Malley and other government officials intentionally committed misconduct and possibly criminal activities. 4. I assert that, of all of my appeals that led up to my filing 3 different Petitions to the Supreme Court in 2006, 2015, and 2016, it is my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court that would have taken the Justice of the Supreme Court less than 10 minutes to read in order to determine that the Court of Appeals of Maryland deliberately committed perjury which caused the issues raised in my appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland never to be addressed or resolved by this court, which includes the assertion that the In Banc Judges committed perjury, breached my

14th Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill violated my 14th Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that O'Malley and other government officials intentionally committed misconduct and possibly criminal activities. 5. I state that the deliberate perjury by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, which caused the issues raised in my Petition to the Court of Appeals of Maryland, never to be addressed or resolved, is that the evidence support the allegation that the Court of Appeals of Maryland lied under oath in their Order dated 9-21-15 by citing that my Writ to their court was denied because it was filed late, and the Court of Appeals of Maryland deliberately lied under oath after refusing to correct their lie after being referenced in my Motion for Reconsideration to the two exhibits in their record which, undeniably, support the fact that the Court of Appeals of Maryland committed perjury. 6. I declare that, in my 2016 Petition for a Rehearing to the Supreme Court (Exhibit 9 on my website), the Justices of the Supreme Court were obligated by Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court to exercise their supervisory power and take corrective actions against the Court of Appeals because intentional perjury is not the accepted and usual judicial proceedings by any court. 7. In order to determine that the first 5 exhibits on my website, which accompanied my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court, and which the Justices of the Supreme Court could have read in less than 10 minutes in order to determine that the Court of Appeals of Maryland deliberately committed perjury, I cite that it's essential to know that Maryland Rule 7-104 allows 30 days to file an appeal to the Court of Appeals, with the first day starting on the day that the last judgment of the Circuit Court is filed in the record by the clerk. The first exhibit (Exhibit 1 on my website) is copy of the document that gives the date of the In Banc judges' last judgment, which was their denial on July 6, 2015 of the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and a New Trial. The second exhibit (Exhibit 2 on my website) is a copy of the docket receipt from the clerk of the Court of Appeals which gives the date that my 2015 Petition for a Writ to the Court of Appeals was filed in the record of this court, namely, on August 3, 2015. The third exhibit (Exhibit 3 on my website) is a copy of the Court of Appeals' Order dated 9-21-15 which declares that my Writ was denied because it was filed late to the Court of Appeals of Maryland. The fourth exhibit (Exhibit 4 on my website) is a copy of my Motion for Reconsideration to the Court of Appeals of Maryland which asserts that, amongst other things, the evidence in the record of this court, namely, Exhibits 1 and 2, support the fact that my Writ was filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland prior to the 30-day expiration. The fifth exhibit (Exhibit 5 on my website) is the second denial of my Writ by the Court of Appeals of Maryland. Consequently, the second denial by the Court of Appeals of Maryland is indicative of the fact that this court refused to correct their prejudicial error, even after receiving my Motion for Reconsideration which make references to the fact that Exhibits 1 and 2 were already in the record of the Court of Appeals of Maryland and which further substantiate that my Writ was filed on time. H. I state that, because of the intentional judicial misconduct by the Courts and/or deliberate misconduct by O'Malley and other government officials, all of my civil litigations were unlawfully dismissed and, thus, I have yet to receive

justice for the 21 years of injustices and emotional distress I have endured and that I continue to endure emotional distress as I consider the possible negative health effects of thousands of children in Maryland public schools that have been exposed to lead poisoning since 1993 by O'Malley and by other government officials, who are responsible for the health and safety of children in public schools, but have intentionally exposed our children to lead-tainted drinking water and/or lead-based paint hazards and have yet to test or compensate all the children that have been exposed to this potentially fatal toxic.

On 3-9-18, I mailed my Official Complaint of Judicial Misconduct and Obstruction of Justice against Judge Friedman to Hon. President Trump, Congress, and others (Exhibit 37 on my website) due to Judge Friedman, who was the judge who initially presided over my 2017 Civil Complaint, repeatedly committing judicial misconduct and obstructions of justices. In my Motions and in my 3-9-18 Official Complaint of Judicial Misconduct and Obstruction of Justice against Judge Friedman to President Trump and Congress, I justified why I questioned the impartiality of Judge Friedman and Judge Fletcher-Hill presiding over my present civil case and, also, declare the following material facts about Judge Friedman and Judge Fletcher-Hill: "...Ms. Williams is alleging that Judge Friedman's unlawful denial of her Motions and Responses in order to grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss Ms. Williams 2017 Civil Complaint is similar to Judge Fletcher-Hill's 2015 unlawful denial of her Motions and Responses order to grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss her 2014 Civil Complaint of Constructive Fraud (hereinafter 2014 Civil Complaint"). In both Civil Complaints, the allegations that O'Malley and other government intentionally committed misconduct and likely criminal acts are raised as relevant and material facts to the civil cases. Moreover, Ms. Williams alleges that, in both Civil Complaints, Judge Friedman and Judge Fletcher-Hill violated her 14th Amendment right and/or other state and/or federal laws in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss her civil cases, knowing that the reasons cited by the Defendants for dismissing her Civil Complaints had no legal grounds or authorities. Ms. Williams asserts that the material facts cited in her 1st Motion, in her 2nd Motion, in her 1st Response, and in her 2nd Response substantiate that Judge Friedman unlawfully granted the Defendants' motions to dismiss her 2017 Civil Complaint, and, the material facts asserted in her Motions and Responses in the Circuit Court in 2015 support the fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill unlawfully granted the Defendants' motions to dismiss her 2014 Civil Complaint. Ms. Williams is stating that, unless transparency is prevalent, her 2017 Civil Complaint will continue to follow the same illegal and unlawful acts by the Officers of the Court as her 2014 Civil Complaint. Ms. Williams asserts that the Defendants' motions to dismiss her 2014 Civil Complaint were upheld by Judge Fletcher-Hill, although Judge Fletcher-Hill knew that the evidence substantiated the fact that the Defendants' grounds for dismissal not only breached Ms. Williams' 14th Amendment right but, also, violated 2 other federal laws, namely, Federal Law, 42 U.S.C & 1983 and Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, which are asserted in Ms. Williams' May 2015 Motion for Reconsideration or a New Trial to the In Banc Judges from the Circuit Court".... And, because Judge Fletcher-Hill continues to erroneously state in his Decisions and Orders that there is no merit in any of the arguments in my Motions, continues

to misstate and misrepresent the material facts cited in my Motions, and continue to suppress the material facts in my Motions by failing to disclose, consider, and resolve the relevant issues raised in my Motions, all of my Motions and the supporting evidence are posted on my website including my 8-6-18 Motions entitled Motion For Substitution To Have A Judge Appointed By Governor Hogan To Determine If The material Facts Cited Below Substantiate That Judge

Fletcher-Hill Has Repeatedly Breached U.S. C Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 And That There Is No Evidence Or Reasonable Inference From The Evidence To Justify Judge Fletcher-Hill's 7-26-18 Decision Because Judge Fletcher-Hill's Decision Is Contrary To The Law, Motion For Substitution To Have A Special Judge To Determine If The Material Facts Below Substantiate That Judge Fletcher-Hill And Judge Karen Friedman Need To Be Referred For Impeachment By Governor Hogan, Sanctioned By The Judicial Commission, Disqualified From Presiding Over The Plaintiff's Civil Case, And Be Referred For Investigation By A Special Prosecutor Relevant to Allegations Of Obstructing Justice Under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1515, Motion To Have All Orders By Judge Fletcher-Hill And Judge Karen Friedman Dismissed, And A Motion To Have The Special Judge For A Substitution And/or Judge Alfred Nance For A Substitution To Consider Granting All Of The Plaintiff's Motions (hereinafter "1st Motions").

Thus, I'm declaring with 100% certainty, that my 1st Motions and the previous Motions, along with the Exhibits cited as supporting evidence, which are given the corresponding Exhibit

Numbers as listed on my website, will substantiate that Judge Fletcher-Hill, in his Decisions and Orders, repeatedly committed perjury, misstates and misrepresents the material facts cited in my all of my Motions, suppressed the material facts asserted in all of my Motions by failing to disclose, consider, and resolve the issues raised in my all of my Motions. Further, I'm 100% positive that the material facts asserted in my 1st Motions and other Motions, along with the evidence in the record and on my website, which includes my email addendums to President Trump, Congress, and other, will prove that Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen Friedman, the Court of Appeals of Maryland and other judges in Maryland have, under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, these Officers of the Court committed misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in office. Still too, the voluminous evidence in the record of the Court, in my

Documentary, on my website, and the attachments that accompanied the Plaintiff's numerous addendums to her 4-27-18 certified complaint to the Governor, the President, Congress, and other government agencies, will support the material fact that other judges, including the Justices of the Supreme Court (except Justice Gorsuch), along with Judge Fletcher, Judge Karen Friedman, and the Court of Appeals of Maryland have, also, under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 committed the criminal offenses of misfeasance, malfeasance, and

nonfeasance in conduct of the office. Consequently, I'm requesting that my 1st Motions, Exhibit 1 attached to this letter be used to further substantiate these allegations that that these Officers of the Court not be allowed to escape justice any longer because of they are not above the law and because the evidence will support their repeated criminal offenses and, thus, their being a need to prosecute these judges to the fullest extent of the law, including assigning jail time if appropriate so that public's confidence and trust in the integrity our judicial system can be restored. And, due to my present financial hardship and, thus, inability to afford to make copies

of the colossal evidence on my website, again, a website solely supported by my family, I'm pleading that the President, the Governor, and other agencies make copies of any and all documents on my website that substantiate that Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Friedman, the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Supreme Court, and other judges have, indeed, and for many years under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 § 1505 committed the criminal offenses of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office.

Moreover, I'm pleading that the Hon. Gov. Hogan would assign a judge that was not appointed by Martin O'Malley, former Governor of Maryland, to determine whether the material facts asserted in my 1st Motions and previous Motions substantiate that a Special Prosecutor needs to be assigned to determine whether the material facts asserted in the Plaintiff's Motions, along with the supporting evidence, substantiate my allegation that Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen Friedman (hereinafter "Judge Friedman"), the Court of Appeals of Maryland, and other judges in Maryland have, under definition of U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 § 1505, repeatedly obstructed justice by repeatedly committing misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office. Moreover, I'm requesting that the Hon. Gov. Hogan immediately assign a judge not appointed by Martin O'Malley to decide my 1st Motions because I question the impartiality of Judge Fletcher-Hill presiding over my civil case, because I have repeatedly motioned Judge Fletcher-Hill to grant my right to Substitution and Disqualifications but Judge Fletcher-Hill has repeatedly denied my Motions for Substitution and Motion for Disqualification each time, and because Judge Fletcher-Hill is one of the judges who is being alleged in this complaint to have deliberately obstructed justice in violating U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 § 1505 by repeatedly committing misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of office.

Although I recognize that it may take some time to fully launch an unbiased and transparent investigation of my allegations against Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen Friedman, the Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court of the U.S., and the other judges in Maryland, due to urgency of having another judge to preside over my 8-6-18 Motions and ultimately my present civil case, I will daily send this letter and attachment of my 1st Motions as a "Reminder" of the need to expedite my request for another judge to preside over my present Civil Complaint.

Attachment

Sincerely,

