

Re: 1. To determine whether Hon. President Trump and Congress need to request that Hon. Attorney General William Barr immediately assign a Special Federal Prosecutor to investigate the allegations to determine if indictments should be made against the Justices of the Supreme Court, excluding Justices Gorsuch and Kavanaugh, as well as against Attorney General Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, Judge Barbera, the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Judge Michel Pierson, the Administrator for the Eighth Circuit for Baltimore City Circuit Court, Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen Friedman, Judges who were appointed by the Governor, and against the and other Officers of the Court cited in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint of Judicial misconduct and/or my 706 emailed Addendum and counting for deliberately committing the alleged federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office, under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and intentionally committing the alleged federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and/or 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3. 2. To hold Hon. Gov. Hogan accountable for his obligation to immediately assign a Special Prosecutor to investigate these allegations to determine if indictments should be made against Judge Barbera, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, Judge Karen Friedman and/or the other Officers of the Court named in my Official Complaint of Judicial misconduct and/or my emailed Addendums for allegedly committing, deliberately, the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and the alleged federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and/or 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and breaching other federal statute, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 and/or committing the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. 3. To hold Hon. Gov. Hogan accountable and obligated to immediately remove Judge Michel Pierson, a Judge appointed by the Governor, from presiding over my present Civil Case and appoint another Judge to preside over my Motions in my present Civil Case because Judge Michel Pierson has been alleged by me to have deliberately and repeatedly committed the alleged federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, the alleged federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and breaching other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3, and the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071 as a result of deliberately attempting, at the moment two times, to have my Motions unlawfully removed from the record in the Baltimore City Circuit Court as cited in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint of alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson and my 1-4-19 Addendum to my Official Complaint, both mailed to the Hon. President Trump and Hon. Gov. Hogan and are emailed daily to the Whitehouse, Congress, Hon. Gov. Hogan, and other government officials), as well as my 3-5-19 emailed Addendum to

To: Hon. President Trump, Hon. Sen. Grassley, Hon. Sen. Kennedy, Hon. Sen. Graham, Hon. Sen. Tim Scott, Hon. Sen. Nunes, Hon. Sen. McConnell, and Hon. Sen. Cornyn, Hon. Sen. Jordan, Hon. Sen. Scalise, Hon. Sen. McCarthy, and Hon. William Barr, Attorney General

From: Diana R. Williams, Whistleblower

The evidence on my website, [www.donaldtrump.com](http://www.donaldtrump.com), in the Courts, in my Documentary, in the records of the Department of Justice (DOJ), and/or elsewhere will substantiate that the allegations in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint and/or my 706 emailed Addendums and counting to my Official Complaint (Exhibits 6 and 16, respectively, on my website) of deliberately and repeatedly committing the federal crimes of misteasance, maffeesance, and nonfeasance under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 committed federal crimes and of repetitiously and intentionally committing the federal crimes of depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments Rights and other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under US 18 242 against the Justices of the Supreme Court (excluding Justices Gorsuch and Kavanaugh), Chief Judge Barbera from the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Court of Special Appeals, former Attorney Generals Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch from the DOJ, other Officers of the Court, and other government officials. The evidence supporting today's 706<sup>th</sup> emailed Addendum and counting and which I daily email to Hon. President Trump at the Whitehouse, Congress, and other government officials, and sometimes to Hon. Gov. Hogan details how, in all the Administrative and/or Judicial proceedings that led up to my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, the 7 Justices of the Supreme Court, Attorney Generals Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, Chief Judge Barbera, other Officers of the Court, and other government officials have deliberately and repeatedly committed these alleged federal crimes. Prior to filing Motions in my present Civil Case, I did not know that, amongst other things, the alleged 2006, 2015, and 2016 deliberate judicial misconduct by the Supreme Court, the 2015 alleged intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera from the Court of Appeals of Maryland as cited in my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court and 2016 Petition for a Rehearing to the Supreme Court (Exhibits 7 and 9, respectively, on my website), and the 2014 and 2015 alleged judicial misconduct by former Attorney Generals Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, the other Officers of the Court, and the other government officials as asserted in my Official Complaint and/or emailed Addendums constitute intentional corruption and obstruction of justice and, thereby, the alleged federal crimes under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and alleged federal crimes under US 18 242. However, in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint and/or emailed Addendums, I did assert, amongst other things, such alleged judicial misconduct by these Officers of the Court and/or other government officials as follows.... " Federal law, 28 U.S.C & 363, assigns judicial misconduct when judges act in ways that are considered unethical or otherwise violate the judges' obligation of impartial conduct and

**NOTE: AS STATED IN OTHER MEMOS, BECAUSE OF MY FINANCIAL HARDSHIP, MY FAMILY SUPPORT THE MAINTENANCE COST OF MY WEBSITE, WHICH ALLOWS ME TO BE ABLE TO POST RELEVANT AND CRUCIAL DOCUMENTS THAT ARE ESSENTIAL IN SUPPORTING SUCH SERIOUS ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST THE SUPREME COURT, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ), CHIEF JUDGE BARBERA OF THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND, OTHER OFFICERS OF THE COURT, AND OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES**

Date: 3-27-19

my 12-20-18 Official Complaint which is, also, emailed daily to the Whitehouse, Congress, Hon. Gov. Hogan, and other government officials.

establishes means in which the complainant can have her complaint investigated and resolved. Thus, I, Diana R. Williams, would like for this letter to serve as an Official Complaint of Judicial Misconduct against the Supreme Court of the United States ("Supreme Court") due to the accompanying exhibits which substantiate that the Supreme Court abused their discretion in failing to conclude that the evidence accompanying my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court ("Petition") supports the allegation that the Court of Appeals of Maryland ("Court of Appeals") intentionally committed the prejudicial error of perjury and such substantiated allegation is a compelling reason to have had my Petition granted. Also, the evidence that accompanies my Petition substantiates the fact that the egregious deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals is such a departure from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, thereby, it was essential that the Supreme Court exercise its supervisory power. Furthermore, the evidence that accompanies my Petition substantiates the fact that it was vital for the Supreme Court to exercise their supervisory power because the egregious intentional prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals caused the Court of Appeals, a United States court of appeals, to sanction a departure by the panel of In Bane judges from the Circuit Court of Baltimore City ("lower court") from the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings that, again, make it mandatory that the Supreme Court exercise their supervisory power. Moreover, since the evidence overwhelmingly supports the fact that the Court of Appeals knowingly and willingly committed the egregious prejudicial error of perjury, the Supreme Court should have concluded that such intentional behavior was indicative of judicial misconduct, and thereby, was a compelling reason to find that the Court of Appeals violated the Code of Judicial Conduct for United States Judges because their deliberate egregious prejudicial error of perjury breached the following Canons of the Code of Judicial Conduct for United States Judges, namely, 1.) Canon 1-failing to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary. 2.) Canon 2 - failing to avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all activities. 3.) Canon 3 - failing to perform their duties of the office fairly, impartially, and diligently. Consequently, as a result of the Supreme Court's failure to determine that the substantiated allegation of the intentional prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals was a compelling reason to grant my Petition because such judicial misconduct infringes upon Canons 1, 2, and 3, of the Codes of Conduct for the U.S. Judges, I'm asserting that the Supreme Court, also, violated Canons 1, 2, and 3 of the Codes of Conduct for the U.S. judges because such a substantiated allegation of the deliberate prejudicial error or perjury by the Court of Appeals not only effects public trust in our judiciary system, but damages the credibility of our Government, challenges the integrity and independence of the judiciary, and gives the appearance of impropriety by the Supreme Court for failing to find that such a highly substantiated allegation of the intentional prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals is not a compelling reason to grant a Petition. Still too, the failure of the Supreme Court to determine that the deliberate prejudicial error by the Court of Appeal is not a compelling reason to grant a Petition is indicative that the Supreme Court can't perform their duties in office unfairly, impartially, and with diligence when it comes to addressing judicial misconduct against another branch of the Government... Still too, I'm requesting that the investigation be expanded to include an investigation of any deliberate misconduct by the former Attorney Generals of the U.S., namely, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, whose failure to uphold and defend the Constitution have negatively impacted any one of my Administrative and/or Judicial proceedings that led up to my 2015 and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. Attorneys Holder and Lynch did not take any

corrective against the lower courts, the Courts of Appeals, and/or the Supreme Court although I forwarded to their office my complaint and/or addendums in 2014 and 2015 which were accompanied by a voluminous amount of evidence used to substantiate the allegations of intentional obstructions of justice by these judicial branches of government (Exhibits 19-31 on my website).

As a result of having to be coerced to endure, again, my present Civil Case Pro Se, I've learned, amongst other things, that such alleged deliberate federal corruption as detailed in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint and my emailed Addendum against the Justices of the Supreme Court, Chief Judge Barbera, the DOJ, and/or other government officials obstructs justice, also, constitute violating the alleged federal crimes and, thus, in my 706<sup>th</sup> emailed Addendum and counting to the Whitehouse, Congress, RECORD OF THE COURTS, IN THE RECORD OF THE DOJ, IN MY DOCUMENTARY, AND ON MY WEBSITE, , WILL PROVE MY ALLEGATIONS THAT, EXCLUDING JUSTICE GORSUCH AND JUSTICE KAVANAUGH, THE SUPREME COURT OF THE U.S, THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND, OTHER JUDGES FROM THE APPELLANT COURTS, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL FROM BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUIT COURT, AND OTHER JUDGES FROM THIS LOWER COURT HAVE REPEATEDLY AND DELIBERATELY COMMITTED FEDERAL CRIMES UNDER U.S CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND UNDER USC 18 242".... "The alleged judicial conduct by the 7 Justices of the Supreme Court which resulted in these Justices breaching the two federal laws in 2006 and 2015 require more time to investigate, but it will take about 5 minutes to read the first 5 Exhibits on my website in order to see that the Court of Appeals of Maryland committed intentional perjury in 2015, which caused my appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland of the decision of the In Banc judges from the lower court to be dismissed premised on the deliberate perjury by the Court of Appeals of Maryland. Therefore, the Justices of the Supreme Court breached U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 1505 and USC 18 242 because they were obligated to uphold and defend my constitutional right and to exercise their supervisory power by taking corrective actions against the intentional prejudicial error of perjury by the Court of Appeals of Maryland. .... "Therefore, I'm requesting that the investigation into such serious allegations of deliberate judicial misconduct resulting in their committing these two federal crimes include the 7 Justices of the Supreme Court and the over 35 other Officers of the Court, who presided over one or more of my Administrative and/or judicial proceedings which culminated in my filing Petitions to the Supreme Court in 2006, 2015, and in 2016. The approximate 35 Officers of Court include the judges from the Courts of Appeals and judges from the Circuit Court, namely, Judge Julie Rubin, Judge Michael Pierson, Judge Edward Hargadon, Judge Videtta Brown, Judge Lawrence Fletcher-Hill, Judge Yolanda Tanner, Judge Shannon Avery, and Judge Sylvester Cox. Still too, I'm requesting that the investigation be expanded to include an investigation of any deliberate misconduct by the former Attorney Generals of the U.S., namely, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, whose failure to uphold and defend the Constitution have negatively impacted any one of my Administrative and/or judicial proceedings that led up to my 2015 and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. Attorneys Holder and Lynch did not take any corrective against the lower courts, the Courts of Appeals, and/or the Supreme Court although I forwarded to their office my complaint and/or addendums in 2014 and 2015 which were accompanied by a voluminous amount of evidence used to

substantiate the allegations of intentional obstructions of justice by these judicial branches of government (Exhibits 19-31 on my website). The substantiated assertions of obstructions of justice and, thus, committing of federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 1505 and USC 18 242 by these Officers of the Court include intentional perjury, conspiracy, infringing upon my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right, covering up for other government agencies' deliberate perjury, colluding with other government agencies, and/or breaching other Federal statutes and laws. Furthermore, I'm requesting that the investigation of intentional misconduct include the government officials in Maryland who were in a position of power and had motives for directly and/or indirectly influencing negatively my Administrative and/or judicial proceedings which ultimately led to my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions before the Supreme Court and the unlawful dismissal of my 2015 civil case." .....

The alleged intentional federal crimes against the Justices of the Supreme Court committed in 2006 and 2015 require more time for the requested federal and state Prosecutors to investigate to determine whether indictments should be rendered and, thus, I anticipate will take a while in order to launch a thorough investigation, but the 2016 alleged deliberate obstructions by the Justices of the Supreme Court in committing the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 1505, USC 18 242, and their deliberate violation of Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court can be proven in less than 10 minutes. To begin with, the evidence of their denying my 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court substantiates my allegations that the Justices of the Supreme Court failed to uphold their responsibility to defend my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and uphold Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court because the Appendix to my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court (Exhibit 7 on my website) included, amongst other things, the first 5 Exhibits on my website, which prove, undeniably, that, in 2015, Judge Barbera, the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, intentionally committed the alleged prejudicial error of perjury. Rule 10 in the Manual of the Supreme Court asserts that, when a United States court of appeals "has so far departed the accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings, or sanctioned such a departure by a lower court, as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervisory power", then the Justices of the Supreme Court must take corrective actions by exercising its supervisory power. As asserted in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint, emailed Addendums and/or in my 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, the Justices of the Supreme Court were, minimally, obligated to uphold my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and enforce Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court because the 2015 alleged deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera is not the "accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings" as cited in Rule 10 of the manual of the Supreme Court and every citizen of the U.S has a right to due process as outlined in the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, which includes having the right to appeal the decision of the lower court to the Court of Appeals in whatever state he/she resides in. The first 5 Exhibits on my website substantiate, undeniably, and with less than 5 minutes of reading, my allegation that, in 2015, Chief Judge Barbera intentionally committed the prejudicial error of perjury as stated in my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court. The Justices of the Supreme Court were obligated to defend my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and uphold Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court and determine whether the alleged 2015 prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera because the Justices know that, if the 5 Exhibits indeed substantiate the alleged intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera, then the Justices of the Supreme Court had to take immediate corrective actions against Chief Judge Barbera because not only did her

deliberate prejudicial error of perjury is indicative of deliberately obstructing justice but, also, depriving me of 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under US 18 242. Moreover, the Justices of the Supreme Court, as Officers of the Court, was cognizant of the fact that, if the alleged deliberate prejudicial error by Chief Judge Barbera was substantiated, then Chief Judge Barbera would be guilty of perverting justice because her alleged deliberate prejudicial error of perjury changed the whole outcome of my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland (Exhibit 11 on my website), namely, caused my right to have the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland not to be addressed and resolved, namely, the allegations that, in 2014, the In Banc judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that Martin O'Malley exercised executive authority over as the Governor of Maryland, has committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities. Thus, the Justices of the Supreme Court knew that they were obligated to defend my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment right and determine if the 5 Exhibits that accompanied my 2016 Petition substantiate my allegations that, in 2015, Chief Judge Barbera deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury and, if the evidence of the 5 Exhibits which accompanied my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court substantiated this allegation, then Chief Judge Barbera would, also, be guilty of intentionally committing the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and deliberately committing federal crimes of repetitiously and intentionally depriving me of my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under US 18 242. But, due to the denial of my 2016 Petitions by the Justices of the Supreme Court which pleaded for the Justices of the Supreme Court to determine if the 5 Exhibits substantiated that Chief Judge Barbera intentionally committed the prejudicial error of perjury in 2015, the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland have yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved.

As asserted in my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court, the 2015 alleged deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera has nothing to do with the issues raised in my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals, but is due to Chief Judge Barbera intentionally lying in stating in her 2015 Order that my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland was denied because it was filed late and then refusing to correct her prejudicial error of perjury after being referenced to the two Exhibits in the record as asserted in my 2015 Motion for Reconsideration (Exhibit 4 on my website) which, undeniably, prove her perjury. A third grader can determine in less than 10 minutes that the first 5 Exhibits on my website, the same exhibits that accompanied my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court, substantiates that Chief Judge Barbera deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury, given the material fact that Md. Rule 7-

104 allows one 30 days to file an appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland, with the first day starting once the clerk from the Baltimore City Court post the decision in the record of the court. The first exhibit on my website is a copy of the document that gives the date of the In Banc judges' last judgment, which was their denial on July 6, 2015 of my Motion for Reconsideration and a New Trial. The second exhibit is a copy of the docket receipt from the clerk of the Court of Appeals of Maryland which gives the date that my 2015 Petition for a Writ to the Court of Appeals was filed in the record of this court, namely, on August 3, 2015. The third exhibit is a copy of the 9-21-15 Order by Chief Judge Barbera of the Court of Appeals of Maryland which declares that my Writ was denied because it was filed late to the Court of Appeals of Maryland. The fourth exhibit is a copy of my Motion for Reconsideration to the Court of Appeals of Maryland which asserts that, amongst other things, the evidence in the record of this court, which are Exhibits 1 and 2 on my website, support the fact that my 2015 Writ was filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland prior to the 30-day expiration. The fifth exhibit on my website is a copy of Chief Judge Barbera's 11-23-15 Order, which still denied my 2015 Writ without any explanation as to why she still denied my Writ and which is further indicative of the fact that Chief Judge Barbera refused to correct her prejudicial error of perjury, even after receiving my Motion for Reconsideration which make references to the fact that Exhibits 1 and 2 are in the record of the Court of Appeals of Maryland and which further substantiate that my 2015 Writ was filed on time. As Officers of the Court, the Justices of the Supreme Court knew that, if no immediate corrective actions were taken by them in exercising their supervisory power, the alleged prejudicial error of deliberate perjury by Chief Judge Barbera would change the whole outcome of my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland, namely, that my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals would still remain denied, again, premised only on the alleged prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera and, secondly, the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland would continue to go undisclosed, unaddressed, and unresolved, namely, that the In Banc judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that Martin O'Malley, former Mayor of Baltimore City and one of the 2016 Democratic candidates for President who, again, as Governor of Maryland appointed Chief Judge Barbera in 2013 as the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, have intentionally committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities, which includes: 1. deliberately exposing our children to lead poisoning in Maryland schools since at least 1993 as substantiated in the record of the Supreme Court, in other courts, and in my Documentary entitled Thanks, Praise, Honor, and Glory to the TRIUNE GOD for Lead and Guidance in Documenting Lead Poisoning in the Schools and the Obstructions of Justice in Order to Conceal the Indisputable Truths. 2. being responsible for the health and safety of our children in public schools and as Mayor of Baltimore City, one of the owners of the lead-hazardous schools in Baltimore City documented in my Documentary and substantiated in the records of the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals of Maryland, and in Baltimore City Circuit Court, but have yet to fulfill his duty as one of the owners of these lead hazardous schools to test all of our children that may have been exposed to lead poisoning using the special X-Ray machine to determine the cumulative amount of lead in the bones

because the blood lead testing will not reveal the lead in the blood after about 45 days, but lead stays in the bones for nearly 30 years. 3. failing in his duty and obligation to evaluate and compensate all of the children that were exposed to such possibly deadly poison.

Before filing my 2016 Petitions to the Justices of the Supreme Court germane to, amongst other things, the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera from the Court of Appeals of Maryland, I filed a Complaint to Attorney Generals Eric Holder and/or Loretta Lynch, in regard to the material fact that the 5 Exhibits which accompanied my Complaint to the DOJ (Exhibit 31 on my website) substantiate that Chief Judge Barbera deliberately committed the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury which changed the whole outcome of my 2014 appeal. Prior to mailing this Complaint relevant to the alleged 2015 intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera, I mailed these two Attorney Generals other Complaints and Addendums with the supporting evidence to substantiate the allegations of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance against Judge Fletcher-Hill, the In Banc Judges from the Baltimore City Circuit Court who presided over my first appeal of Judge Fletcher-Hill's decision, as well as Complaints of these same alleged federal crimes against other judges in the Baltimore City Circuit Court (Exhibits 19-30 on my website). Moreover, as substantiated by my letters of Complaint and Addendums to the DOJ, I repeatedly requested the Attorney Generals, Eric Holder and/or Loretta Lynch, to assign FBI investigators to investigate my substantiated allegations of federal crimes against Chief Judge Barbera, Judge Fletcher-Hill, the In Banc Judges for Baltimore City Circuit Court, and against other Officers of the Court in Baltimore City Circuit Court. Former Attorney Generals Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch knew that they were obligated to defend my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment right and investigate the allegations in my Complaints and/or Addendums, allegations which I now understand thoroughly constitute deliberately committing the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and intentionally committing the federal crimes of depriving me of my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under US 18 242.

Before filing my 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, I informed Hon. Gov. Hogan of Maryland about the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera. On 12-2-15, I emailed my Official Complaint against the alleged prejudicial error of perjury against Chief Judge Barbera to Hon. Gov. Hogan's office and other government officials and emailed Addendums to my Official Complaint on 12-17-15 and 12-23-15 (Exhibits 13, 14, and 15, respectively, on my website). Moreover, since the issue of the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera is very critical and relevant material fact in my present Civil Case as well as the issue of the 2014 alleged federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill and the In Banc Judges as asserted in my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland, in my 4-6-18, 8-6-18, and 9-17-18 Motions (Exhibits 46, 52, and 57, and 58, respectively, on my website), I give more detail how and why these material facts and others substantiate my repeatedly pleading for disqualification and Substitution of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding judge over my Motions in my present Civil Case. In spite of my repeated motions for his disqualification and Substitution and citing causes for such pleadings, Judge Fletcher-Hill still chose to be the presiding over my Motions to my present Civil Case. Judge Fletcher-Hill took it upon himself to be the Officer of the Court to determine whether he should be disqualified from presiding over my Motions



and for a Substitution, knowing that these issues as well as the allegation of federal crimes were raised against him in these Motions. Judge Fletcher-Hill denied my 4-6-18, 4-27-18, 8-6-18 Motions without citing any valid justifications, findings, authorities, or laws to substantiate his 4-16-18, 7-23-18, and 9-4-18 Decisions and Orders (Exhibits 46, 52, and 57, respectively, on my website) which are his Findings to my 4-6-18, 4-27-18, and 8-6-18 Motions. Again, as substantiated in the material facts cited in these Motions, amongst other things, I pleaded for disqualification of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judge and motioned for a Substitution due to my questioning Judge Fletcher-Hill's integrity and impartiality as a result of my allegations of federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals in Maryland which has yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved. And, although Judge Michel Pierson, the Administrator for the Eighth Circuit for Baltimore City Circuit Court, granted my 4<sup>th</sup> Motions dated 9-17-18 (Exhibit 58 on my website) which, amongst other things, pleads, again, to disqualify Judge Fletcher-Hill from presiding over my Motions and for substitution and cited these same causes for motioning Judge Fletcher-Hill's disqualification and pleading for substitution, the Findings cited in Judge Michel Pierson's 12-7-18 Decision and Orders (Exhibit 59 on my website), which are his Findings from reading and considering my 9-17-18 Motions, substantiate that Judge Michel Pierson failed to disclose, consider, and resolve any of my legal arguments raised in my 9-17-18 Motions, including the legal argument that I had just causes for motioning for the disqualification of Judge Fletcher-Hill and for substitution, as well as valid reasons for questioning the integrity and impartiality of Judge Fletcher-Hill as the presiding Judge, which includes the material fact cited in my 9-17-18 Motions, namely, that, due to the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of deliberate perjury by Chief Judge Barbera, the issue raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland relevant to the 2014 allegations that, amongst other things, Judge Fletcher-Hill violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C. & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause and that such alleged federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill have yet to be considered, addressed, and resolved. I believe with 100% certainty that Judge Michel Pierson, as an Officer of the Court, knows that he had a duty to disclose, address, and resolve the critical legal arguments raised in my Motions in order to lawfully determine whether to grant or deny my Motions, and that he was obligated to include as a part of his Findings in his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders authorities, laws, and material facts to substantiate his finding that there was no merit to my legal arguments and ordering, lawfully, that my 9-17 Motions be "stricken" from the record and that my Civil Case be closed. Although Judge Michel Pierson was appointed to this elite position by Chief Judge Barbera in September of 2013 and expressed his appreciation and/or loyalty in citing in a newspaper article around September of 2013 that "I'm thankful for the confidence Chief Judge Barbera has shown in me with this appointment", Judge Michel Pierson still had a duty to determine if the 5 Exhibits that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions (Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively, on my website) substantiates the allegation that, because Chief Judge Barbera committed the deliberate prejudicial error of perjury in 2015, the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland relevant to Judge Fletcher-Hill's 2014 alleged federal crimes have yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved and, thus, support the fact that I had a just cause in questioning the integrity of Judge Fletcher-Hill as well as question the impartiality of Judge Fletcher-Hill presiding over any of my Motions or Civil Case. In his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders, Judge Michel Pierson needed to have revealed in his Findings my legal arguments, which includes determining whether the alleged 2015 intentional prejudicial error of perjury against Chief Judge Barbera caused the

issue raised in my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland, which includes the alleged 2015 Fletcher-Hill as well as question the impartiality of Judge Fletcher-Hill presiding over any of my Motions or Civil Case. Therefore, in the Findings in his 2-6-19 and 12-7-18 Decisions and Orders, Judge Michel Pierson had a duty to determine if Chief Judge Barbera did commit, intentionally, the prejudicial error of perjury by determining if: a.) the first exhibit that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions is copy of the document that gives the date of the In Banc judges' last judgment, which was their denial on July 6, 2015 of my Motion for Reconsideration and a New Trial. b.) the second exhibit that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions is a copy of the docket receipt from the clerk of the Court of Appeals of Maryland which gives the date that my 2015 Petition for a Writ to the Court of Appeals was filed in the record of this court, namely, on August 3, 2015. c.) the third exhibit that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions is a copy of the 9-21-15 Order of the Court of Appeals of Maryland which declares that my Writ was denied because it was filed late to the Court of Appeals of Maryland. d.) the fourth exhibit that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions is a copy of my Motion for Reconsideration to the Court of Appeals of Maryland which asserts that, amongst other things, the evidence in the record of this court, which are Exhibits 1 and 2 on my website, support the fact that my 2015 Writ was filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland prior to the 30-day expiration. e.) the fifth exhibit that accompanied my 12-17-18 Motions is the second denial of my 2015 Appeal by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, which would be indicative of the fact that Chief Judge Barbera refused to correct her prejudicial error of perjury, even after receiving my Motion for Reconsideration which make references to the fact that Exhibits 1 and 2 are in the record of the Court of Appeals of Maryland and which further substantiate that my 2015 Writ was filed on time.

I was determined to FIGHT THE GOOD FIGHT OF FAITH in spite of my righteous anger against Judge Michel Pierson due to my strong belief that Judge Michel Pierson's 12-7-18 Decision and my 9-19-18 Motions substantiate my alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson and proves that his Findings in his 12-7-18 Decision and Order are unfounded, unlawful, has no law or material facts to support his lawfully ordering to "strike" my 12-17-18 Motions from the record and close my present Civil Case. Thus, on 12-20-17, I mailed my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson to Hon. President Trump and Hon. Gov. Hogan (Exhibit 61 on my website) alleging federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson, and in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson, amongst many other things, I state that..."I, Diana R. Williams, the Complainant, would like for this letter to serve as my Official Statement Of Allegations of Federal Crimes Against Judge Michel Pierson, namely, the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under US Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and the federal crimes of breaching the my 7<sup>th</sup> And 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and other federal statutes, laws, and Canons Under 18 USC 242. Also, my official requests are that: 1.) a state prosecutor be assigned by Hon. Gov. Hogan and a federal prosecutor be assigned by Hon. President Trump and Congress to investigate the allegations that Judge Michel Pierson obstructed justice by violating the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S Code Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and federal crimes of depriving Ms. Williams, the Complainant, of her 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statutes, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under 18 USC 242. 2.) Hon. Gov. Hogan immediately assigns a judge to preside over Ms. Williams' Motions and/or civil case, not a judge assigned by Judge Michel Pierson or a judge that was appointed

by Judge Barbera, Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, or a judge appointed by O'Malley. 3.) each of the Gov. Officials cited above attend and/or send a representative to attend the Motion Hearing, if the Court grants Ms. Williams' Motion for a Hearing on the 12-7-18 Decision and Order by Judge Michel Pierson. .... "After taking almost two and a half months to decide my 9-17-18 Motions, Judge Michel Pierson justified his decision for striking my 9-17-18 Motions and closing my civil case by citing just one phrase in his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders. Contrary to this one independent sentence stated in his 12-7-18 Decisions and Orders, the evidence of the material facts declared in my highly substantiated 9-17-18 Motions prove that, in his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders, Judge Michel Pierson deliberately committed the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office, under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and committed the federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving the Plaintiff of her 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 by 1.) committing the prejudicial error of perjury by erroneously citing in his 12-7-18 Decision and Order that my 9-17-18 Motions have no merit, insinuating no legal grounds and/or no legal arguments to merit my Motions and, therefore, ordering that my civil case to be closed. 2.) failing to execute his responsibility as the Officer of the Court to cite any of the numerous relevant material facts in my 9-17-18 Motions, especially my 2 legal arguments and the material facts as substantiated by the evidence to support the legal arguments and provide merit to my Motions. 3.) failing to uphold his duty as the Officer of the Court to state a single material fact, legal ground or authority in his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders that refute the material facts cited in my 9-17-18 Motions. 4.) failing to uphold his legal requirement and responsibility as the Officer of the Court to disclose, address, and resolve the two legal arguments and all of the substantiated material facts to support my legal arguments as asserted in my 9-17-18 Motions. 5.) failing to perform his duty and legal requirement as an Officer of the Court to enforce Canons 1, 2, and 3, 28 U.S.C & 455 and Federal law, 28 U.S.C & 363, and to uphold and defend my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and other federal laws and statutes as obligated as an Officer of the Court. 6.) exhibiting judicial misconduct and wrong doing by committing perjury, violating the Plaintiff's 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights, and other federal laws and statutes. 7.) failing to lawfully and properly execute federal laws and statutes as the Officer of the Court....."

On 1-4-19, I mailed my Addendum to my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson (Exhibit 62 on my website) to Hon. President Trump and Hon. Gov. Hogan alleging not only alleging the federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 1505 and USC 18 242 against Judge Michel Pierson but, also, alleging the federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071 by failing to cite in his 12-7-18 Decision and Order one valid material fact and/or legal authority or ground or law that justifies his ordering my 9-17-18 Motions to be "stricken" from the record and ordering my present Civil Case to be closed, but rather choosing to intentionally attempt to conceal, remove, destroy, and tamper with evidence, namely, my 9-17-18 Motions by ordering that my Motions be "stricken" or

removed from the record unlawfully. Consequently, in my 1-4-19 mailed Addendum, I assert, amongst other things that... "During the celebration of CHRISTMAS, JESUS CHRIST'S BIRTHDAY FOR ME AND OTHER CHRISTIANS, it was revealed to me new federal criminal allegations against Judge Michel Pierson as a result of his deciding not to just deny my 9-17-18 Motions but to strike or remove my 9-17-18 Motions from the record and close my civil case without citing any material facts as supported by evidence to substantiate that my legal arguments in my Motions have legal grounds and authorities and, thereby, no merit. By simply stating that there is no merit to my Motions without given a single clarification, substantiated material fact, legal ground or authority that would validate his 12-7-18 Orders, and thereby, and by abusing his power by striking or removing my 9-17-18 Motions and closing my civil case premised on his unsupported and unmerited one-sentence statement, I'm alleging that Judge Michel Pierson knowingly and willfully acted corruptly and was attempting to: 1.) remove my 9-17-18 Motions from the record and, thereby, destroy the evidence which would substantiate the relevant and material fact that his one-independent clause was grossly insufficient to justify striking my 9-17-18 Motions and closing my civil complaint. 2.) conceal the material facts cited in my 9-17-18 Motions and supported by the evidence that substantiate my legal arguments. 3.) conceal the fact that he committed the prejudicial error of perjury by erroneously citing in his 12-7-18 Decision and Order that my 9-17-18 Motions have no merit, insinuating no legal grounds and/or no legal arguments to merit my Motions and, therefore, ordering that my civil case to be closed. 4.) conceal the fact that he failed to execute his responsibility as the Officer of the Court to cite any of the numerous relevant material facts in my 9-17-18 Motions, especially my 2 legal arguments and the material facts as substantiated by the evidence to support the legal arguments and provide merit to my Motions. 5.) conceal the fact that he failed to uphold his duty as the Officer of the Court to state a single material fact, legal ground or authority in his 12-7-18 Decision and Orders that refute the material facts cited in my 9-17-18 Motions and substantiate his one-independent clause, namely, that "The Motion is without merit and is part of a pattern of such filings by the Plaintiff." 6.) conceal the fact that he failed to uphold his legal requirement and responsibility as the Officer of the Court to disclose, address, and resolve the legal arguments and all of the substantiated material facts to support my legal arguments as asserted in my 9-17-18 Motions. 7.) conceal the fact that he failed to perform his duty and legal requirement as an Officer of the Court to enforce Canon 1, 2, and 3, 28 U.S.C. & 455 and Federal law, 28 U.S.C. & 363, and to uphold and defend my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and other federal laws and statutes as obligated as an Officer of the Court. 8.) conceal his exhibiting judicial misconduct and wrong doing by committing perjury, violating my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights, and other federal laws and statutes. 9.) conceal the fact that he failed to lawfully and properly execute federal laws and statutes as the Officer of the Court. 10.) conceal the fact that he can't justify his lack of providing legal grounds and authorities in his 12-7-18 Decision and Order and that the two other Officers of the Court who presided over my Motions, also, failed to provide any legal grounds and authorities in their Decisions and Orders for denying my Motions."

My 2-15-19 Motions responding to Judge Michel's 2-6-19 Decision and Order was filed on this same

day in Baltimore City Circuit Court (Exhibit 64 on my website) and has as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson and my 1-4-19 Addendum to my Official

complaint as a means informing Judge Michel Pierson of the alleged federal crimes he is being accused of, as a means to substantiate the material facts in my 2-15-19 Motions which support the material fact that Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Orders which orders the striking of my 12-17-18

Motions is unlawful and unsubstantiated. One of my pleadings within my 2-15-19 Motions request for a hearing on Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Order, and I welcome every named individual above to attend this hearing or send a staff person from your office to the hearing, and I will inform you of the hearing date if I'm granted my request. Moreover, I'm 100% certain that, in my 2-15-19 Motions, as well as in my 12-17-18 Motions, in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson, in my 1-4-19 Addendum, I prove, unequivocally, the allegation that Judge Michel Pierson deliberately

committed the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and committed the federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, states, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 and has repeatedly, deliberately, excessively, and egregiously abused his power and has displayed total contempt for the Rule of Law by not only repetitiously and intentionally violating federal statutes, namely, US Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, US Code 18 242, but, also, knowingly acted corruptly in committing the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. And, as a result of Judge Michel Pierson's committing these same alleged federal crimes as

substantiated by the Findings in his 2-6-19 Decision and Orders which responds to my 12-17-18 Motions, on 3-5-19, I emailed my 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum to my Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson (Exhibit 65 on my website) to the Hon. President Trump using the Whitehouse email address, and, also, emailed Hon. Gov. Hogan, Congress, and other government officials. Again, I believe, with 100% certainty that, Judge Michel Pierson's ordering in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order to, again, strike my 12-17-18 Motions was done unlawfully and that Judge Michel Pierson, again, deliberately committed additional perjury to substantiate his decision and order, in addition to employing the same alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 1505 and USC 18 242 and the alleged criminal offenses under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. In my 3-5-19 emailed Addendum, I allege that the evidence of the material facts cited in my 2-15-19 Motions which responds to Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Order support the fact that

Judge Michel Pierson has for the second time has not only committed an additional prejudicial error of perjury, but has, also, for the second time has committed the same alleged the federal crimes, and has unlawfully stricken my 12-17-18 Motions, which, also, pleads to have a hearing on his 12-7-18 Decision and Order. Thus, in my 3-5-19 emailed Addendum, amongst other things, I assert that... " although all of the alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson are not difficult to prove, again, I believe that even a third grader can prove from just reading the Headings in my 12-17-18 Motions which correspond to the Findings in Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Order (Exhibit 60 and 63, respectively, on my website) that Judge Michel Pierson committed the prejudicial error of perjury which changed the whole outcome of my 12-17-18 Motions. As substantiated by the Findings in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order, Judge Michel Pierson asserts that "The Court has read and considered the Plaintiff's Motion" and "The Plaintiff seeks no action from this Court" and, therefore, ordered that my 12-17-18 Motions be "stricken" from the record. However, the Headings in my 12-17-18 Motions as

cited verbatim in my Motions declares "MOTION FOR HON. GOV. HOGAN TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT

A JUDGE TO HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, BUT IF THIS MOTION IS DENIED, MOTION TO STILL HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, MOTION TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT A JUDGE TO DETERMINE IF THE MATERIAL FACTS CITED IN THESE MOTIONS SUBSTANTIATE THAT JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, THE ADMINISTRATOR OVER THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUIT COURT: (1.) INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND HAS DELIBERATELY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER 18 USC 242, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242. AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND PLAINTIFF'S 7<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> NEEDS TO BE REFERRED TO BE INVESTIGATED BY A SPECIAL STATE PROSECUTOR DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF HIS DELIBERATELY COMMITTING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND OF HIS DELIBERATELY COMMITTING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFFS' 7<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, AND MOTION TO HAVE APPOINTED JUDGE BY HON. GOV. HOGAN TO RECONSIDER GRANTING ALL OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS". Consequently, it's obvious that Judge Michel Pierson deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury since he states in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order that he read and considered my Motions. Thus, Judge Michel Pierson was fully cognizant of the fact that he was committing perjury in citing in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order that I did not seek the action from this Court because my second Motion in the Headings of my 12-17-18 Motions clearly state that if my first Motion is denied then I "MOTION TO STILL HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL'S PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS" Thus, in my 3-5-19 emailed Addendum, I cite that... "Although all of the alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson are not difficult to prove as cited in my Official Complaints and Addendum, I believe that even a third grader can prove from just reading the Headings in my 12-17-18 Motions which correspond to the Findings in Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Order (Exhibit 60 and 63, respectively, on my website, ) that Judge Michel Pierson committed the prejudicial error of perjury which changed the whole outcome of my 12-17-18 Motions. As substantiated by the Findings in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order, Judge Michel Pierson asserts that "The Court has read and considered the Plaintiff's Motion" and "The Plaintiff seeks no action from this Court." and, therefore, ordered that my 12-17-18 Motions be "stricken" from the record. However, the Headings in my 12-17-18 Motions as cited verbatim in my Motions declares "MOTION FOR HON. GOV. HOGAN TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT A JUDGE TO HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, BUT IF THIS MOTION IS DENIED, MOTION TO STILL HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL'S PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS, MOTION TO EXPEDITIOUSLY APPOINT A JUDGE TO DETERMINE IF THE MATERIAL FACTS CITED IN THESE MOTIONS SUBSTANTIATE THAT JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, THE ADMINISTRATOR OVER THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY CIRCUIT COURT: (1.) INTENTIONALLY COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, PART 1, CHAPTER 73 & 1505 AND HAS DELIBERATELY

COMMITTED THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF BREACHING THE PLAINTIFF'S 7<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242. (2.) NEEDS TO BE REFERRED TO BE INVESTIGATED BY A SPECIAL STATE PROSECUTOR DUE TO PLAINTIFF'S SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF HIS DELIBERATELY COMMITTING THE FEDERAL CRIMES OF MISFEASANCE, MALFEASANCE, AND NONFEASANCE UNDER US CODE, TITLE 18, CHAPTER 73 & 7<sup>TH</sup> AND 14<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENTS RIGHTS AND OTHER FEDERAL STATUTES, LAWS, AND CANONS UNDER 18 USC 242, AND MOTION TO HAVE APPOINTED JUDGE BY HON. GOV. HOGAN TO RECONSIDER GRANTING ALL OF THE PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS" Consequently, it's obvious that Judge Michel Pierson deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury since he states in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order that he read and considered my Motions. Thus, Judge Michel Pierson was fully cognizant of the fact that he was committing perjury in citing in his 2-6-19 Decision and Order that I did not seek the action from this Court because my second Motion in the Headings of my 12-17-18 Motions clearly state that if my first Motion is denied then I " MOTION TO STILL HAVE A HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF JUDGE MICHEL'S PIERSON'S 12-7-18 DECISION AND ORDERS". Moreover, since I filed my Motions on 2-15-19 in response to Judge Michel Pierson's 2-6-19 Decision and Order, which is stamped by the Court to substantiate that my 2-15-19 Motions is in the record of the Court, I do not need the Governor or any other government official to assist me with my Motions. However, I believe that it is your obligation, Hon. Gov. Hogan to investigate the substantiated allegations against Judge Michel Pierson has cited in my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson and my 1-4-19 Addendum (as well as the alleged federal crimes against Judge Barbera, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and Judge Karen Friedman), both of which were mailed to your office on these dates and are part of my 2-15-19 Motions are filed and therefore accessible to the public, you and other government officials can go on my website to Exhibit 60 to understand in detail how I substantiated the allegations that, for the second time, Judge Michel Pierson has deliberately committed the alleged federal crimes cited in the Headings of my 12-17-18 Motions.

Until I hear from your office, I will include this email as part of my daily email "Reminders" to your office as well as the other government official cited above. Thus, I look forward to your immediate response to this urgent matter. ..."

Daily, I send "Reminders to the Whitehouse, Hon. Gov. Hogan, Congress, and other government officials, namely, my 2<sup>nd</sup> Addendum dated 3-5-19 to my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson, my 1-4-19<sup>th</sup> Addendum dated 1-4-19 to my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Michel Pierson, my 12-20-18 Official Complaint against Judge Barbera, and other Officers of the Court, and my 4 emailed Addendums to this Complaint (Exhibits 65, 62, 61, 43, 44, 45, 54, 55, and 37, respectively, on my website. Still too, on 3-9-18, I mailed my Official Complaint against federal crimes against Judge Karen Friedman, another Officer of the Court who presided over my present Civil Case (Exhibit 37 on my website). Most of these complaints and addendums include, amongst other things, the material fact that the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury by Judge Barbera and the material fact that alleged

2014 federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill must be disclosed, addressed, and resolved and is essential in determining whether my 4-6-18, 4-27-18, 8-6-18, 9-17-18, 12-17-18, and 2-15-19 Motions in my present Civil Case should be granted. And, although Ms. Pamela Ortiz, the Director, Access To Justice Department For The Administrative Office Of The Courts emailed me on 2-2-19 with an apparent threat in insisting that I cease and cease from sending my emails, at the top of each email that is sent daily, I invite her to respond to my emails so that I can discontinue sending her these emails and thus my most recent "Note" at the top of each of my most recent emails cites "DAY 55 REMINDER THAT ON 1-8-19, I RECEIVED A REPLY FROM MY "84<sup>TH</sup> REMINDER OF MY 8-27-18 3<sup>RD</sup> EMAILED ADDENDUM" FROM MS. PAMELA ORTIZ, THE DIRECTOR, ACCESS TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, CITING "Please cease and desist from sending a large number of emails to this address. I will review the emails sent earlier and respond, if appropriate." HOWEVER IN RESPONSE TO HER REPLY, ON 1-8-19, I ASSERTED THAT "I LOOK FORWARD TO THE DAY WHEN HON. GOV. HOGAN, THE MD. COURTS AND ALL OF THE OTHER GOV. AGENCIES CITED ABOVE WHO HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO RESPOND TO THESE EMAILS WILL DO SO. SINCE THIS IS MY 84TH REMINDER EMAIL AND I'VE YET TO HEAR FROM HON. GOV. HOGAN, THE MD. COURTS, AND/OR OTHER GOV. AGENCIES IN RESPONSE TO THE URGENT ISSUES RAISED IN THE EMAILS, I WILL CONTINUE TO SEND REMINDER EMAILS AND I HOPE THAT ALL WILL SOON RESPOND." FURTHERMORE, SINCE IT IS CERTAINLY MOST "APPROPRIATE" FOR THE DIRECTOR, ACCESS TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, TO RESPOND TO MY SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST JUDGES IN MARYLAND COURTS AND OTHER OFFICERS OF THE COURT, I LOOK FORWARD TO MS. PAMELA ORTIZ, THE DIRECTOR, ACCESS TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE COURTS, IMMEDIATE RESPONSE. THEREFORE, MY DAILY REMINDERS, I PRAY, WILL HELP MS. ORTIZ AND THE OTHER GOV. OFFICIALS CITED BELOW TO EXPEDITE THEIR WRITTEN RESPONSES." Still too, I have even called Gov. Hogan's office and talked with his staff for constituent services who confirmed to me that Hon. Gov. Hogan has received these documents. Also, in a conversation with another of Hon. Gov. Hogan's assistant on 2-2-19, I referred her to my website and spent less than five minutes in proving to her that the 2015 alleged intentional prejudicial error of perjury against Judge Barbera was true and I pleaded with her to plead with Hon. Gov. Hogan to spend 5 minutes so that she could show him this evidence. I, too, pleaded that she would inform Hon. Gov. Hogan that I'm waiting for his response to my Official Complaints and emailed Addendums. I believe that Hon. Gov. Hogan, Ms. Pamela Ortiz, and the other government officials in Maryland who receive my daily "Emailed Reminders" know that, in order to determine whether Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher-Hill, and Judge Karen Friedman have committed the alleged federal crimes, they would be coerced to do something they don't want to do, namely, determine if due to the alleged 2015 deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by Judge Barbera, the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland have yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved, namely, that the in Banc Judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts



that O'Malley, former Governor of Maryland who appointed Judge Barbera in 2013 as the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, and one of the 2016 Democratic Candidates for the President of the U.S, and other government officials intentionally committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities.

Since I've been waiting since 2016 for a response from Hon. Gov. Hogan, I don't believe that he or any of the other state government official will ever respond unless they are coerced by you, Hon.

President Trump, and Congress coerce him to uphold his duty to determine whether he needs to assign a Special State Prosecutor to determine whether indictments need to be placed against Judge Michel Pierson, Chief Judge Barbera, Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen Friedman after determining whether these serious allegations of federal crimes are substantiated, namely, the alleged federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office under U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, the alleged federal crimes of depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, states, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under 18 USC 242, the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. And, as I cited in my email to Fox News in Maryland on 1-23-19, I reiterate to each of you, namely, "BEFORE CONTINUING, I WANT TO MAKE IT ABUNDANTLY CLEAR THAT I DO NOT NEED FOX NEWS TO ASSIST IN ANY MANNER IN MY PRESENT CIVIL CASE, I JUST NEED FOX NEWS AND OTHER MEDIA TO EXPOSE THE FACT THAT HON. GOV. HOGAN MUST ADDRESS AND RESOLVE THE

**SUBSTANTIATED ALLEGATIONS OF FEDERAL CRIMES AGAINST JUDGE BARBERA, JUDGE MICHEL PIERSON, JUDGE FLETCHER-HILL AND JUDGE KAREN FRIEDMAN AS CITED IN MY OFFICIAL COMPLAINTS TO HIS OFFICE, HON. PRESIDENT TRUMP, AND CONGRESS AGAINST THESE OFFICERS OF THE COURT".....**

As of the date of this letter, I have yet to hear from Hon. Gov. Hogan or any government official germane to such serious alleged federal crimes. Therefore, as asserted in my complaints and/or Addendums, it's my plea that Hon. President Trump and Congress would send a letter to Hon. Gov. Hogan mandating that he uphold his duty to assign a Special State Prosecutor to determine whether indictments are necessary in the allegations of repeated, horrific federal crimes against these Officers of the Court as alleged in Official Complaints and/or Addendums. Hon. President Trump, I believe that you know what it's like to be falsely accused, persecuted, and coerced to endure injustices, and I was elated to hear on Sunday, March 24, 2019, you were completely exonerated on allegations of conspiracy and coordinating with Russia and obstruction of justice even though it took over 675 days for Special Counsel Mueller to determine that the evidence do not support these allegations. Moreover, I was very pleased to hear that Hon. Attorney General William Barr and Deputy Attorney General Hon. Rosenstein concluded that there was insufficient evidence to substantiate that you obstructed justice. Thus, I'm sure that you, Hon. President Trump, can relate to my having to endure over 365 days times 20 years or over 7,300 days of being denied my due process right as confirmed in the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of our great Constitution.

Although as a whistleblower, I've lost my home, my livelihood, have been blacklisted due to my whistleblowing, and have lost other materialistic things due to my continued whistleblowing, I will never lose my integrity or give up on the truth being revealed here in this earthly realm in the TRUMP

GOD'S perfect timing. Thus, even within all the afflictions I've undergone for over twenty years and continue to endure, as asserted in my 706<sup>th</sup> emailed Addendum, "I'm blessed to be a Mathematics teacher for over 35 years who has, too, been accredited for over 20 years by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) as an Inspector Technician, and Clearance Examiner, a Lead Abatement Contractor and, thus, under the Code of Maryland Regulation (COMAR), namely, COMAR 26.16.01.18(B)(1)(e), a Lead Expert. Further, I have been exposing lead poisoning in Baltimore City Public Schools since 1996 and lead poisoning in Baltimore County Schools since around 2003. The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore City (City Council) own the public schools in Baltimore City. Some of our local and state government agencies that are responsible for ensuring that our children are not exposed to this potentially deadly toxic in the public schools include the our Governor, the Mayor, the City Council, the Baltimore City Public Schools System (BCPSS), the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners (School Commissioners), the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), the Maryland Occupational Safety and Health (MOSH), and the Health Department of Baltimore City (Health Department). When I first began exposing lead poisoning in the public schools in Baltimore City in 1996, O'Malley was a member of the City Council and, thus, one of the owners of the lead hazardous schools in the city of Baltimore. In fact, issues relevant to my blowing the whistle about our children being exposed to lead poisoning by the owners of these public schools in Baltimore City were critical factors in all of my Administrative and/or judicial proceedings which ultimately led to the filing of my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court. My Documentary footnotes the evidence in the Supreme Court, the Courts of Appeals, and the lower courts to substantiate the fact that, included in my whistleblowing, was the evidence to support the fact that three public schools in Baltimore City exposed children to lead-based paint hazards, and one school had lead-tainted drinking water. Further, as I continued my crusade of whistleblowing germane to government agencies intentionally exposing children to lead poisoning in Baltimore City public schools, the need to test the shin bone of our children that were likely exposed to this poison using the special X-Ray machine, and the obligation for compensating the children that were injured from being exposed to lead poisoning, O'Malley was elected Mayor of

**Baltimore City and served 8 years in this capacity and then was elected as Governor of Maryland and, thus, served 8 years as the Chief Executive Officer of Maryland".** Moreover, as stated in my 8-6-18 Official Complaint alleging federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill and other Officers of the Court (Exhibit 43 on my website) mailed on this date to Hon. President Trump and Hon. Gov. Hogan of Maryland, and which are emailed daily to the Whitehouse, Hon. Gov. Hogan's office, and to other government officials... "As a Mathematics teacher who has been blessed to positively impact the lives of our leaders of tomorrow since 1981, I'm humbly grateful and honored, and although since 1996, I've been exposing lead poisoning in the public schools in Baltimore City and in Baltimore County and have suffered immense persecutions, including losing my home and livelihood, if I had to do it all over again and knowing the horrific injustices and afflictions I'm currently enduring, I would do so just to save one of our precious children from being exposed to lead poisoning." ...

Since the Justices of the Supreme Court, again, excluding Justice Gorsuch and Justice Kavanaugh, refused to grant my 2016 Petitions which would have compelled the Justices of the Supreme Court to determine if the 5 Exhibits that accompanied my 2016 Petition substantiated my allegation that Chief Judge Barbera knowingly and willingly committed the 2015 alleged prejudicial error of perjury, Chief Judge Barbera, the In Banc Judges from the Baltimore City Circuit Court, and Judge Fletcher-Hill may have assumed that there would never be another litigation where it would be necessary to disclose, address, and resolve the allegation that, in 2015, Chief Judge Barbera deliberately committed the prejudicial error of perjury which caused the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved, namely, the allegation that, in 2014, the In Banc Judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that Martin O'Malley, one of the 2016 Democratic Candidates for President, who, again, appointed Chief Judge Barbera in 2013 as the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, intentionally committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities. Moreover, the Justices of the Supreme Court may have assumed, also, that the issue of their denial of my 2016 Petitions to their Court would never be a critical issue in any future litigation where it would disclosed that, because the Justices of the Supreme Court denied my 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, the issue of the 2015 alleged intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera have yet to be disclosed, addressed, and resolved which, in turn, caused the issues raised in my 2015 appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland would continue to go undisclosed, unaddressed, and unresolved, namely, that in 2014, the In Banc Judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the

Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that Martin O'Malley, former Governor of Maryland for 8 years, who, again, appointed Chief Judge Barbera in 2013 as the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, knowingly and willfully committed misconduct in office and potentially criminal activities. Still too, the Justices of the Supreme Court may have thought that they would never have to be held accountable for the allegations that, in 2016, the Justices of the Supreme Court failed in their obligation to uphold my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and enforce Rule 10 in the manual of the Supreme Court and thus determine if the 5 Exhibits which accompanied my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court substantiate the 2015 alleged deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera since such judicial misconduct is unlawful and constitute federal crimes and such alleged corruptions are not the "accepted and usual course of judicial proceedings" as cited in Rule 10 of the manual of the Supreme Court and because such alleged obstruction of justice deprive a citizen of the U.S of his/her right to due process as outlined in the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment. But, as mentioned previously, through DIVINE PROVIDENCE OF THE TRIUNE GOD, the issue of the alleged judicial conduct by the Justices of the Supreme Court is a very critical and relevant material fact in my present Civil Case, as well as the 2015 alleged deliberate prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera and the alleged 2014 federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill and the In Banc Judges due again, to the fact that because of the 2015 alleged intentional prejudicial error of perjury by Chief Judge Barbera, the issue raised in my 2015 Appeal to the Court of Appeals of Maryland has never been disclosed, addressed, and resolved, namely, that, in 2014, the In Banc Judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that O'Malley and other government officials intentionally committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities.

As Officers of the Court, the Justices of the Supreme Court, Attorney Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, Judge Barbera, Judge Michel Pierson, Judge Fletcher Hill, the In Banc Judges from the Circuit Court in Baltimore City, Judge Karen Friedman, Martin O'Malley who is, also, a lawyer, and the other Officers of the Court asserted in my 5-6-16 Official Complaint of judicial misconduct and/or in my 706<sup>th</sup> emailed Addendum and counting that deliberate obstruction of justice is corruption and can be classified as nonfeasance, misfeasance, or malfeasance. These Officers of the Court know that misfeasance can include the failure of an Officer of the Court to act where there was a duty to act; misfeasance can include conduct by an Officer of the Court that is lawful but inappropriate' misfeasance can include the performance of a duty by an Officer of the Court in an improper and lawful manner. Moreover, these Officers of the Court are cognizant of the fact that malfeasance is at a higher level of wrongdoing than nonfeasance or misfeasance, that malfeasance is intentional conduct that is wrongful or unlawful, especially by officials or public employees, and that malfeasance in office is often grounds for a for cause removal of an elected official by statute or recall election. Further, these Officers of the Court are knowledgeable of the fact that, if they are found guilty of knowingly engaging in obstruction of justice,

their penalty, though depending on the law under which they were convicted, can be a sentence of up to 20 years in prison. Further, these Officers of the Court, are aware of the fact that 18 U.S. Code § 2071 declares under concealment, removal, or mutilation (a) and (b) cites that (a) Whoever willfully and do so takes and carries away any record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both. (b) Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. The Justices of the Supreme Court, Attorney Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, Judge Barbara, Judge Michel Pierson, the In Banc Judges, Judge Fletcher Hill, the other Officers of the Court, Martin O'Malley know that 18 U.S. Code § 1512 (c) cites that whoever corruptly in altering, destroying, mutilating, or concealing a record, document, or other object, or attempts to do so, with the intent to impair the object's integrity or availability for use in an official proceeding; or otherwise obstructs, influences, or impedes any official proceeding, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than 20 years, or both. Thus, Judge Michel Pierson who as a lawyer has practiced both criminal and civil law is very cognizant of the fact that each time the evidence substantiate that he deliberately and unlawfully ordered my Motions be stricken from the record, he is intentionally committing the alleged federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505, the alleged federal crimes of depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 under 18 USC 242, the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071, thereby, subjecting himself to possibly a 20 year imprisonment each time. Thus far, the evidence, undeniably, substantiate my allegations that Judge Michel Pierson has deliberately and unlawfully ordered my Motions to be stricken from the record on two separate occasions. And, although I will be rightfully indignant if Judge Michel Pierson decides to, again, strike my most recent Motions dated 2-15-19, I will not be surprised because it appears that he doesn't believe that Hon. Gov. Hogan or anyone else will take corrective actions against him although I've filed my Official Complaint against him and the Addendums which, amongst other things, plead that Hon. Gov. Hogan and Hon. President Trump to determine if indictments Judge Michel Pierson for allegedly committing, deliberately, the federal crimes of misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office, under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505 and committing the alleged federal crimes under 18 USC 242 by depriving me of my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and by breaching other federal statute, laws, and Canons 1, 2, and 3 and/or committing the alleged federal crimes under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1512 and under U.S. Code Title 18 Part 1 Chapter 101 & 2071. But, I believe that you, President Trump and Congress will coerce Hon. Gov. Hogan to address and resolve these alleged federal crimes against Judge Michel Pierson, Chief Judge Barbera, and other Officers of the Court here in Maryland.

Because of the continuous unlawful striking of my Motions in my present Civil Case by Judge Michel Pierson and the 7 other alleged unlawful denials of my Motions by Judge Fletcher-Hill and Judge Karen Friedman, because of the 3 denials of my Petitions by the Supreme Court in 2006, 2015, and 2016, because of the refusals of the DOJ to investigate my numerous Complaints and Addendums to my Complaints of federal crimes against the Chief Judge Barbera and other Officers of the Court, as well as the alleged federal crimes against Judge Fletcher-Hill, the In Banc Judges, and the other Officers of the Court, because of the fact that for over 20 years I have had to endure working Pro Se on my litigations that led up to my filing my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, and because of the continuous denials of my Civil Complaints, Motions, and/or Appeals in all of my the Administrative and Judicial Proceedings that led up to my 2006, 2015, and 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court, I'm mentally exhausted, at times have been discouraged, disappointed, righteously indignant, have lost all faith and confidence in our judicial system, the DOJ, and our government in general, and was tempted to give up. But, the TRIUNE GOD would not allow me to lose hope but led me to write and mail to Hon. President Obama and Congress my 5-6-16 Official Complaint of Judicial misconduct against the Justices of the Supreme Court, Chief Judge Barbera, the other Officers of the Court, and the other government officials and to continue sending my emailed Addendums daily to Hon. President Trump through the Whitehouse email, to Congress, and other government officials until I hear from Hon. President Trump, Congress, or any of the other government officials informing me that they will assign a Special Prosecutor to investigate these serious allegations of federal crimes by the Supreme Court, the DOJ, Chief Judge Barbera, and the other Officers of the Court or government officials cited above. Therefore, as long as there is breath in my body, I will continue to send emails until I get a response to the horrific allegations of federal crimes against the Justices of the Supreme Court, Attorneys Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch, Chief Judge Barbera, and other government officials. Thus, I urge each of you to read my detailed 5-6-16 Official Complaint and my 706 detailed emailed Addendums and counting to my Official Complaint to get a more complete picture of the horrendous injustices and afflictions that I and the forgotten children have endured for over 2 decades. It is my prayer that the Hon. William Barr who officially became the Attorney General for the DOJ around 2-15-19, would be in charge of reopening my Complaints and Addendums to the DOJ and investigate the alleged federal crimes against the Justices of the Supreme Court, the former Attorney Generals, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch from the DOJ, Judge Barbera, the other Officers of the Court named above, and the other government officials cited in my Official Complaint and/or emailed Addendums. Also, it is my prayer that Justice Gorsuch and Justice Kavanaugh would be allowed to, first of all, review my 2016 Petitions to the Supreme Court to determine if my Petitions should have been granted since it will only take about 5 minutes to determine that the alleged prejudicial error by Chief Judge Barbera is valid and grant me the compensatory and punitive damages requested in my 2014 Civil Case. Moreover, it is my plead that Justices Gorsuch and Kavanaugh be allowed to review my 2015 and 2006 Petitions to this Court to determine whether these Petitions should have been granted as well and, thus, also grant me the compensatory and punitive damages requested in these Civil Cases.

Last but not least, below is a copy of my 9-24-18 emailed a letter, which paraphrased my messages I left on 9-21-18 with the Whitehouse switchboard operator, on the telephone and/or with staff persons for Congressmen Grassely, Goodlatte, Kennedy, Graham, Scott, Nunes, and Gowdy. Mr. Eric, a staff

I gave my website address, namely, \_\_\_\_\_, to substantiate that, in less than 5 minutes, one can determine with absolute certainty that the first 5 Exhibits on my website prove that Court of Appeals of Maryland committed the prejudicial error of intentional perjury in 2015. As declared in my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court (Exhibit 7 on my website), my 2016 Petition for a Rehearing to the Supreme Court (Exhibit 9 on my website), in my official 2016 of judicial misconduct by the Supreme Court to Hon. President Trump and Congress (Exhibit 6 on my website), in my 585 emailed addendums and counting (Exhibit 16 on my website), in my 8-6-18 official letter and emailed addendums to Hon. Gov. Hogan, Hon. President Trump, Congress, and other government agencies (Exhibits 43, 44, 45, 54, and 55 on my website), and/or in my 9-17-18 Motions to Hon. Gov. Hogan (Exhibit 58 on my website), the justices of the Supreme Court were obligated by Rule 10 in the manual

to uphold and defend other federal states and laws. Also, I stated that, of the 3 years of alleged federal crimes by the Supreme Court, the easiest to prove is the 2016 federal corruptions. crimes under USC 18 242 as a result of violating my 7th and 14th Amendments Rights, as well as failing committing misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office and federal have committed federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1505 as a result of namely, that in 2006, 2015, and 2016, the Justices of the Supreme Court, excluding Justice Gorsuch, Court nominee, but I have yet to be allowed to come before Congress and declare my allegations, given four means of being able to verbalize her allegations against Hon. Judge Kavanaugh, a Supreme Congressmen Goodlatte, Graham, and Gowdy, I'm righteously indignant that the accuser has been Brian from Congressman Kennedy's office, and in the messages left on the answering service of Ms. Katlin from Congressman Grassley's office, to Ms. Elizabeth from Congressman Scott's office, to As asserted in my telephone message to the female switchboard operator from the Whitehouse, to

**NOTE: THE ATTACHMENT IS THE SIGNED COPY OF THIS SAME MEMO**

**JUDICIARY WEBSITE.**

**NOTE: MR. ZACK COULD YOU PLEASE MAKE SURE THAT CONGRESSMEN GRASSLEY, GOODLATTE, KENNEDY, GRAHAM, NUNES, AND GOWDY RECEIVE COPIES OF THIS MEMO AND THE ATTACHMENT. I'M NOT SURE THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED THE MEMO THROUGH THE**

**Date: 9-24-18**

**Re: Paraphrasing my message to your offices on 9-21-18**

**From: Diana R. Williams**

**and Gowdy**

**"To: Hon. President Trump, Congressmen Grassley, Goodlatte, Kennedy, Graham, Tim Scott, Nunes,**

**the following:**

person from Sen. Scott's office, after giving me his email address, informed me that he would ensure that all of these individuals receive the email. But, in case he didn't, this email which I have not sent to anyone else as of yet nor have I mailed this letter to anyone other than the names on this letter, cites

of the Supreme Court to exercise their supervisory power and take corrective actions against the Court of Appeals of Maryland because intentional perjury is not the accepted and usual judicial proceedings by any court, and it is a crime as defined by U.S Code, Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 73 & 1505. Furthermore, in these documents, I state that the Supreme has an obligation and responsibility to uphold and defend my 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Rights and other federal statutes and laws that the evidence substantiate were violated by the Court of Appeals of Maryland's prejudicial error of intentional perjury. Moreover, as I assert in these documents, the Highest Court in the Land is mandated to uphold and defend the Constitution by exercising its supervisory power in taking corrective actions against the Court of Appeals of Maryland because the deliberate prejudicial error of perjury caused the issues raised in my 2015 Writ to the Court of Appeals to yet be disclosed, considered, and resolved by any court, and, thus, a denial of my right to have an appeal of the lower court's decision to be heard by the Court of Appeals of Maryland or any appellant court. As evidenced by my 2015 Writ to the Court of Appeals of Maryland (Exhibit 11 on my website), the issues raised in my appeal include the fact that the In Banc judges from Baltimore City Circuit Court committed perjury, breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and infringed upon Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause to cover up the material fact that Judge Fletcher-Hill from the Baltimore City Circuit Court violated my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Right and breached Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1983, Federal Law 42 U.S.C & 1985, and the Federal Supremacy Clause in order to unlawfully grant the Defendants' motions to dismiss my 2014 Civil Complaint, which would have revealed the relevant and material facts that O'Malley, former Governor of Maryland and one of the 2016 Democratic Candidates for the President of the U.S, and other government officials intentionally committed misconduct in office and possibly criminal activities. Moreover, as alleged in these documents, the Department of Justice, namely, Attorney Generals, Eric Holder and Loretta Lynch are, also, being alleged to have violated these same federal statutes because these Attorneys failed to investigate and take corrective actions against the Court of Appeals of Maryland as well as the other Officers of the Court whom I alleged in my letters to Attorney Holder and Lynch (Exhibits 19, 20, and 21 on my website), along with the supporting evidence, to have committed misfeasance, malfeasance, nonfeasance, and breached my 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment right and other federal statutes and laws. The DOJ received copies of these same 5 Exhibits, along with other relevant documents but still failed to even investigate my substantiated allegation.

The first 5 Exhibits on my website will substantiate that the Court of Appeals of Maryland lied under oath in their Order dated 9-21-15 by citing that my Writ to their court was denied because it was filed late, and the Court of Appeals of Maryland deliberately lied under oath after refusing to correct their lie after being referenced in my Motion for Reconsideration to the two exhibits in their record which, undeniably, support the fact that the Court of Appeals of Maryland committed perjury. In order to determine that the first 5 exhibits on my website, which accompanied my 2016 Petition to the Supreme Court, it's essential to know that Maryland Rule 7-104 allows 30 days to file an appeal to the Court of Appeals, with the first day starting on the day that the last judgment of the Circuit Court is filed in the record by the clerk. The first exhibit (Exhibit 1 on my website) is copy of the document that gives the date of the In Banc judges' last judgment, which was their denial on July 6, 2015 of the Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration and a New Trial. The second exhibit (Exhibit 2 on my website) is



a copy of the docket receipt from the clerk of the Court of Appeals which gives the date that my 2015 Petition for a Writ to the Court of Appeals was filed in the record of this court, namely, on August 3, 2015. The third exhibit (Exhibit 3 on my website) is a copy of the Court of Appeals' Order dated 9-21-15 which declares that my Writ was denied because it was filed late to the Court of Appeals of Maryland. The fourth exhibit (Exhibit 4 on my website) is a copy of my Motion for Reconsideration to the Court of Appeals of Maryland which asserts that, amongst other things, the evidence in the record of this court, namely, Exhibits 1 and 2, support the fact that my Writ was filed in the Court of Appeals of Maryland prior to the 30-day expiration. The fifth exhibit (Exhibit 5 on my website) is the second denial of my Writ by the Court of Appeals of Maryland. Consequently, the second denial by the Court of Appeals of Maryland is indicative of the fact that this court refused to correct their prejudicial error, even after receiving my Motion for Reconsideration which make references to the fact that Exhibits 1 and 2 were already in the record of the Court of Appeals of Maryland and which further substantiate that my Writ was filed on time. The Court of Appeals of Maryland knows that I had a right to appeal my case to their court and that they should have corrected their prejudicial error of perjury after I wrote my Motion for Reconsideration which clearly supports the fact that the Court of Appeals had committed perjury.

As substantiated in my official 2016 complaint of judicial misconduct by the Supreme Court to Hon. President Trump and Congress, in my the 585 emailed addendums and counting, in my 8-6-18 official letter and emailed addendums to Hon. Gov. Hogan, Hon. President Trump, Congress, and other government agencies, the Justices of the Supreme Court are not the only Justices that I'm alleging to have committed federal crimes under U.S. Code, Title 18, Part 1 Chapter 73 & 1505 as a result of committing misfeasance, malfeasance, and nonfeasance in the conduct of the office and under USC 18 242 by violating 7th and 14th Amendments Rights, as well as uphold and defend other federal statutes and laws. In these documents, amongst other things, I've requested that the President and Congress assign a federal prosecutor to investigate these allegations of federal crimes against the Supreme Court, the Department of Justice, and the Judges from the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland, the 4th Circuit Court of Appeals, and Judges from the Baltimore City Circuit, Martin O'Malley, and all other government agencies that they find have committed such horrific federal crimes; some of federal crimes have been committed for almost 20 years by some of agencies.

I look forward to your response soon.

Continue to be blessed!!"

I look forward to your responses, and I'm pleading that each of you at least let me know that your are in receipt of my letter and will do an investigation. My address is 131 Calvin Hill Court, Baltimore, Md. 21222. My email address is . Although I personally can't afford a telephone presently, you can leave a message at this number, namely, 410-868-6013.

Cc: First Lady Mrs. Trump